

CEQA Checklist

Project Title	265 Sobrante Way Office/R&D building
Lead Agency Name and Address	City of Sunnyvale P.O. Box 3707, Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707
Contact Person	Shétal Divatia, Senior Planner
Phone Number	(408) 730-7467
Project Location	265, 285 Sobrante Way Sunnyvale, CA 94086 (APN: 165-27-002)
Applicant's Name	Sobrante Properties, LLC
Zoning	Perry Park Specific Plan -Mixed Industry Core (PPSP/MIC)
General Plan	Perry Park
Other Public Agencies whose approval is required	Santa Clara County - Airport Land Use Commission

BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project requires a Peery Park Plan Review Permit to allow redevelopment of a 3.5-acre industrial/office site with a four-story 12,717-sq. ft. office building with surface and underground parking resulting in 80 percent FAR.

The project is subject to the Peery Park Specific Plan (PPSP). On September 20, 2016, the City Council adopted the PPSP and certified the related Program Environmental Impact Report (EIR). This project was included as a near-term development project in the EIR analysis. The City Council adopted a Statement of Overriding Considerations and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP).

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Existing Site Conditions

The 3.5-acre site is currently developed with two one-story R&D office buildings totaling 45,470 square feet. The buildings were constructed in 1973. Prior to the adoption of the PPSP, the site was zoned M-S (Industrial and Service). The site has several planning permits, including Use Permits for motorcycle sales, outdoor seating, and retails for a variety of tenants at the site.

The project site is bound by N. Pastoria Ave. on the west, Sobrante Way on the east, Central Expressway on the north and a neighboring industrial site on its south. The site has three access

points, two off Pastoria Avenue and one from Sobrante Way. No public sidewalks exist on the project's frontage on Sobrante Way and Pastoria Avenue. The site has mature trees along its perimeter and parking lot.

Surrounding Land Uses and Setting

The site is bound by Central Expressway on the north, a one-story industrial office building on the south, industrial office buildings across Sobrante Way on the east, and single family homes on Pastoria Avenue. A residential neighborhood consisting of single family homes is located across Pastoria Avenue.

On-Site Development

The project would demolish the existing two buildings and build a new 4-story, 121,715 square foot-office R&D building with one level of underground parking and associated site improvements consisting of surface parking, landscaping and required facilities and amenities.

The site will be served by three driveways, two on Pastoria Avenue and one on Sobrante Way. The project retains the existing driveway on Sobrante Way, relocates one of the two driveways on Pastoria Avenue and retains the second driveway on Pastoria Avenue. The proposed building is located on the northeast portion of the site closer with parking on the southwest portion of the site that is closer to adjacent residential uses. The proposed solid waste enclosure is located on the northern portion of the site that is closer to Central Expressway.

Phase 1 Environmental Assessment

The site was an agricultural orchard till 1974 when it was developed with the two light industrial buildings. The adjacent four single family homes and the residential neighborhood west of Pastoria Avenue were developed in the 1950s. A Phase I Environmental Assessment reports that there are several tenants at the site that either generate hazardous waste or utilize hazardous materials. All hazardous waste materials are safely being removed from the site and no residual material is expected to remain at the site. There is no evidence of spillage or improper storage of waste materials. The site is noted to have low environmental risk and there is not recommendation for additional work on the site.

Construction Activities and Schedule

On-Site Demolition and Construction: Construction activities include full demolition of all existing buildings and paving on the project site and construction of the four story-office building with associated on-site and off-site improvements. The project will be subject to the Sunnyvale Municipal Code requirements for construction noise and hours of construction contained in Chapter 16.08.030.

Construction of the project is estimated to span 18 months. Demolition and site work will span approximately two months. The remaining time will include construction of the buildings, on-site improvements and off-site improvements. Construction will not include deep pile foundations or pile driving, jackhammers or other extremely high noise-generating activities or significant vibration.

Off-site Improvements: Existing curb cuts and driveways off Sobrante Way and Pastoria Avenue will either be moved/retained, and sidewalks and landscaping will be installed, as per City/Perry Park Specific Plan standards. Standard water, sewer, right-of-way and utility upgrades will be provided as required by the Municipal Code.

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except “No Impact” answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A “No Impact” answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A “No Impact” answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. “Potentially Significant Impact” is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more “Potentially Significant Impact” entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
4. “Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated” applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from “Potentially Significant Impact” to a “Less Significant Impact.” The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, “Earlier Analysis,” may be cross-referenced).
5. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
6. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
7. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
8. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are “Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated,” describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
9. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a “**Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR**” or “**New Significant Impact**” as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics and Visual Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources & Historic Structures | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation, Circulation & Traffic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions | <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities & Infrastructure |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |

CEQA Section 15168 - Program EIR.

(c) Use With Later Activities. Subsequent activities in the program must be examined in the light of the program EIR to determine whether an additional environmental document must be prepared.

(4) Where the subsequent activities involve site specific operations, the agency should use a written checklist or similar device to document the evaluation of the site and the activity to determine whether the environmental effects of the operation were covered in the program EIR **(see checklist for further information):**

Does the Project have additional potential environmental effects that were not covered in a Program EIR? Yes

No

Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? Yes

No

Mandatory Findings of Significance? Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? Yes

No

Mandatory Findings of Significance? Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? Yes

No

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant environmental effect on the environment that has not been considered in the Peery Park Specific Plan EIR, Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Plan

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment not covered in the Peery Park Specific Plan Program EIR, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment not covered in the Peery Park Specific Plan Program EIR, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a “potential significant impact” or “potentially significant unless mitigated” impact on the environment not covered in the Peery Park Specific Plan Program EIR, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Checklist Prepared By: Shétal Divatia

Date: 1/10/2018

Title: Senior Planner

City of Sunnyvale

Signature:

Environmental Checklist

This Environmental Checklist compares the environmental impacts that would result from the implementation of the proposed project to the impacts previously identified for the site under the implementation of the PPSP, to determine whether the proposed project's environmental impacts were adequately addressed in the PPSP EIR per CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162 and 15168, as described under *Section 3.0* above.

The checkboxes in the Environmental Checklist indicate whether the proposed project would result in environmental impacts, as described below:

- **Equal or Less Severity of Impact than Previously Identified in PPSP EIR** – The severity of the specific impact of the proposed project would be the same as or less than the severity of the specific impact described in the PPSP EIR.
- **Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR** – The proposed project's specific impact would be substantially greater than the specific impact described in the PPSP EIR.
- **New Significant Impact** – The proposed project would result in a new significant impact that was not previously identified in the PPSP EIR.

Where the severity of the impacts of the proposed project would be the same as or less than the severity of the impacts described in the PPSP EIR, the checkbox for **Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in PPSP EIR** is checked. Where the checkbox for **Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR** or **New Significant Impact** is checked, there are significant impacts that are:

- Due to substantial changes in the project (CEQA Guidelines Section 15162[a][1]);
- Due to substantial changes in circumstances under which the project will be undertaken (CEQA Guidelines Section 15162[a][2]); or
- Due to substantial new information not known at the time the EIR was certified [CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162(a)(3)].

A discussion of the project's impact under the thresholds identified for reach resource follows the checklist.

Aesthetics

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.1 Aesthetic and Visual Resources Impact AES-1</i>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.1 Aesthetic and Visual Resources Impact AES-4</i>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.1 Aesthetic and Visual Resources Impact AES-2</i>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which will adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.1 Aesthetic and Visual Resources Impact AES-6</i>

Agricultural and Forestry Resources

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
d) Result in a loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>

Air Quality

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.2 Air Quality Impact AQ-4</i>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.2 Air Quality Impact AQ-1</i>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is classified as non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.2 Air Quality Impact AQ-5</i>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.2 Air Quality Impact AQ-5</i>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.2 Air Quality</i>

Biology

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>

Cultural Resources

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource as defined in §15064.5?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.3 Cultural Resources Impact CR-2</i>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource as defined in §15064.5?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.3 Cultural Resources Impact CR-4</i>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site, or unique geologic feature?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.3 Cultural Resources Impact CR-3</i>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.3 Cultural Resources Impact CR-4</i>

Geology and Soils

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
<p>a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as described on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? (Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.) ii. Strong seismic ground shaking? iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? iv. Landslides? 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that will become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Section 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.4 Greenhouse Gas Impact GHG-1</i>
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.4 Greenhouse Gas Impact GHG-1</i>

Hazards and Hazardous Materials

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials Impact HAZ-2</i>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, will it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, will the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials Impact HAZ-3</i>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, will the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i>
g) Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i>

Hydrology and Water Quality

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there will be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells will drop to a level which will not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which will result in substantial erosion or siltation on-or off-site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which will result in flooding on-or off-site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which will exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which will impede or redirect flood flows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>

Land Use

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.6 Land Use and Planning Impact LU-1</i>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.6 Land Use and Planning Impact LU-2</i>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.6 Land Use and Planning Impact LU-3</i>

Mineral Resources

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that will be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 4.4 Areas Found Not to be Significant</i>

Noise

Would the project result in:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.7 Noise Impact NOI-2</i>
b) Exposure of persons to, or generation of, excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.7 Noise Impact NOI-2</i>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.7 Noise Impact NOI-3</i>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.7 Noise Impact NOI-4</i>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, will the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.7 Noise Impact NOI-5</i>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, will the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.7 Noise Impact NOI-5</i>

Population and Housing

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.8 Population and Housing Impact PH-1</i>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.8 Population and Housing Impact PH-1</i>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.8 Population and Housing Impact PH-1</i>

Public Services

	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire Protection? Police Protection? Schools? Parks? Other Public Facilities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.9 Public Services Impact PH-1-3</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility will occur or be accelerated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.9 Public Services Impact PH-1-3</i>
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.9 Public Services Impact PH-1-3</i>

Transportation, Circulation, and Traffic

Would the project:	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.10 Transportation</i>
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.10 Transportation</i>
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.10 Transportation</i>
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible land uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.10 Transportation</i>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.10 Transportation</i>
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.10 Transportation</i>

Utilities and Service Systems

Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.11 Public Services Impact UT-1</i>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.11 Public Services Impact UT-2</i>
c) Require or result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.11 Public Services Impact UT-2</i>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.11 Public Services Impact UT-2</i>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.11 Public Services Impact UT-5</i>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.11 Public Services Impact UT-6</i>
g) Comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Section 3.11 Public Services Impact UT-7</i>

Mandatory Findings of Significance

	Equal or Less Severity of Impact Previously Identified in the PPSP EIR	Substantial Increase in Severity of Previously Identified Significant Impact in PPSP EIR	New Significant Impact	Where the Impact was Analyzed in the PPSP EIR
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

Sunnyvale General Plan Consolidated in (2011)
generalplan.InSunnyvale.com

- Community Vision
- Land Use and Transportation
- Community Character
- Housing
- Safety and Noise
- Environmental Management
- Appendix A: Implementation Plans

City of Sunnyvale Climate Action Plan 2014

City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code:

- Title 8 Health and Sanitation
- Title 9 Public Peace, Safety or Welfare
- Title 10 Vehicles and Traffic
- Title 12 Water and Sewers
- Chapter 12.60 Storm Water Management
- Title 13 Streets and Sidewalks
- Title 16 Buildings and Construction
 - Chapter 16.52 Fire Code
 - Chapter 16.54 Building Standards for Buildings Exceeding Seventy –Five Feet in Height
- Title 18 Subdivisions
- Title 19 Zoning
 - Chapter 19.28 Downtown Specific Plan District
 - Chapter 19.29 Moffett Park Specific plan District
 - Chapter 19.39 Green Building Regulations
 - Chapter 19.42 Operating Standards
 - Chapter 19.54 Wireless Telecommunication Facilities
 - Chapter 19.81 Streamside Development Review
 - Chapter 19.96 Heritage Preservation
- Title 20 Hazardous Materials

Specific Plans:

- Peery Park Specific Plan 2016

Environmental Impact Reports:

- Futures Study Environmental Impact Report
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental Impact Report
- Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact Study (supplemental)
- Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement Center Environmental Impact Report (City of Santa Clara)

- Downtown Development Program Environmental Impact Report
- Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact Report
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental Impact Report
- East Sunnyvale ITR General Plan Amendment EIR
- Palo Alto Medical Foundation Medical Clinic Project EIR
- Luminaire (Lawrence Station Road/Hwy 237 residential) EIR
- NASA Ames Development Plan Programmatic EIS
- Mary Avenue Overpass EIR
- Mathilda Avenue Bridge EIR
- Peery Park Specific Plan EIR

Maps:

- General Plan Map
- Zoning Map
- City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
- Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
- Santa Clara County Assessor's Parcel
- Utility Maps
- Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) Study Map
- 2010 Noise Conditions Map

Legislation / Acts / Bills / Resource Agency Codes and Permits:

- Subdivision Map Act
- Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit
- Santa Clara County Valley Water District Groundwater Protection Ordinance
- Section 404 of Clean Water Act

Lists / Inventories:

- Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
- Heritage Landmark Designation List
- Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory
- Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State of California)
- List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale
- USFWS / CA Dept. F&G Endangered and Threatened Animals of California
<http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnndb/pdfs/TEAnimals.pdf>
- The Leaking Underground Petroleum Storage Tank List www.geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov
- The Federal EPA Superfund List
www.epa.gov/region9/cleanup/california.html

- The Hazardous Waste and Substance Site List
www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Cortese_List.cfm

Guidelines and Best Management Practices

- Storm Water Quality Best Management Practices Guidelines Manual 2007
- Sunnyvale Citywide Design Guidelines
- Sunnyvale Industrial Guidelines
- Sunnyvale Single-Family Design Techniques
- Sunnyvale Eichler Guidelines
- Blueprint for a Clean Bay
- Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD) Guidelines and Standards for Land Use Near Streams
- The United States Secretary of the Interior 's Guidelines for Rehabilitation
- Criteria of the National Register of Historic Places

Transportation:

- California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual
- California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
- California Department of Transportation Standard Plans & Standard Specifications
- Highway Capacity Manual
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Trip Generation Manual & Trip Generation Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Traffic Engineering Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Manual of Traffic Engineering Studies
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Transportation Planning Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Manual of Traffic Signal Design
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Transportation and Land Development
- U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways & CA Supplements
- California Vehicle Code
- Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program and Technical Guidelines
- Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short Range Transit Plan
- Santa Clara County Transportation Plan
- Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division
- Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System
- Sunnyvale Zoning Ordinance – including Titles 10 & 13

- City of Sunnyvale General Plan – land Use and Transportation Element
- City of Sunnyvale Bicycle Plan
- City of Sunnyvale Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program
- Valley Transportation Authority Bicycle Technical Guidelines
- Valley Transportation Authority Community Design & Transportation – Manual of Best Practices for Integrating Transportation and Land Use
- Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan
- City of Sunnyvale Deficiency Plan
- AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets

Public Works:

- Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works
- Storm Drain Master Plan
- Sanitary Sewer Master Plan
- Water Master Plan
- Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara County
- Geotechnical Investigation Reports
- Engineering Division Project Files
- Subdivision and Parcel Map Files

Miscellaneous Agency Plans:

- ABAG Projections 2013
- Bay Area Clean Air Plan
- BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds

Building Safety:

- California Building Code,
- California Energy Code
- California Plumbing Code,
- California Mechanical Code,
- California Electrical Code
- California Fire Code
- Title 16.52 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 16.53 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 16.54 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 19 California Code of Regulations
- National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards

OTHER :

Project Specific Information

- Project Description
- Sunnyvale Project Environmental Information Form
- Project Development Plans dated 8/22/17
- Project Construction Schedule
- Project Draft Storm Water Management Plan
- Project Tree Inventory & Pre-Construction Report by Ray Morneau, dated 1/23/2016
- Project Tree Preservation Plan (in project plans)
- Project Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment by Michael E Gingrass dated 5/17/2011