



City of Sunnyvale

Excerpt Meeting Minutes - Final Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission

Thursday, February 19, 2026

6:30 PM

Online and Redwood Conference Room,
City Hall, 456 W. Olive Ave., Sunnyvale,
CA 94086

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Wilson called the meeting to order at 6:33 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Present 6 - Chair Jonathan Wilson
Vice Chair Bryce Beagle
Commissioner Alex Bonne
Commissioner Dan Hafeman
Commissioner Leia Mehlman
Commissioner Diyi Zhu

Absent 1 - Commissioner Geeta Gollakota

A [26-0334](#) Discussion of Eligible Projects for Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 Application

Think Le, Transportation Engineer, and Lillian Tsang, Principal Transportation Engineer/Planner, went over the BPAC's suggested list of projects to use TDA Article 3 funding.

Commissioner Mehlman asked about the following:

- Whether the BPAC had previously requested columns on the list that had approximate costs involved with the suggested projects
- Whether she had previously suggested a TDA-funded study for the development of bicycle-pedestrian infrastructure on Fair Oaks Avenue
- The rationale for staff not recommending Item 7

Mr. Le, Ms. Tsang and Angela Obeso, Transportation & Traffic Manager responded.

Vice Chair Beagle asked about the following:

- Why a study would be needed on Item 10

- What the warrant study process looks like

Ms. Obeso responded.

Commissioner Bonne departed at 6:56 p.m.

Council Liaison Sell asked about the following:

- How the BPAC determines what proposed projects should go through Council
Priority Projects

Ms. Obeso responded.

Vice Chair Beagle asked about the following:

- The rationale for staff not recommending Item 8

Ms. Tsang and Ms. Obeso responded.

Commissioner Zhu asked about the following:

- The ordering of the list of suggested projects

Ms. Tsang responded.

Commissioner Hafeman asked about the following:

- Whether the 2026 TDA funding will cover Items 1 through 4

Ms. Tsang and Ms. Obeso responded.

Public Comment opened at 7:08 p.m.

No speakers.

Public Comment closed at 7:08 p.m.

Vice Chair Beagle commented on the following:

- The importance of Item 9 and wanting to move it to the front of the queue for the
crosswalk warrant study if possible

Commissioner Hafeman commented on the following:

- Whether aspects of Item 5 could merge into Item 4

Council Liaison Sell commented on the following:

- Fair Oaks Avenue signalization

Summary of proposed TDA Article 3 BPAC project ideas, justification for projects that are not eligible and/or not recommended by staff.

Ms. Obeso provided the following information for the BPAC's reference:

5. Improve Pedestrian Access to Fair Oaks Park

Studies are not allowable with TDA Article 3 funds, so a study of possible pedestrian access methods would not be eligible. Possible usage of TDA funds may include implementation of related items already identified in the Active Transportation Plan (ATP). This could potentially be eligible and recommended.

6. Reduce pork chop island on:

- Westbound El Camino Real at Murphy Avenue
- Westbound El Camino Real at Frances Street
- Reduce pork chop islands at both locations

This proposed idea is not recommended by staff because this is not in the ATP and staff recommends advancing projects already identified in ATP. Since this is located along El Camino Real, which is Caltrans right-of-way, this idea has been relayed to Caltrans for consideration in future improvements.

7. Class I/IV Maintenance Equipment (Street Sweeper)

- Purchase a street sweeper to maintenance Class I/IV bicycle infrastructure

This proposed idea is not recommended because it was included in 2025/26 FY's budget adoption. City Council approved overtime funding for manual sweeping/cleaning of current Class I/IV bike lanes and purchase of a sweeper when there's a critical mass of sufficient Class I/IV bike lanes. Usage of TDA Article 3 funds for a purchase of a street sweeper would be financially duplicative and not yet necessary.

8. Supplemental Funding for Red Curb Program

- Use TDA funding to supplement Red Curb Painting Program

This proposed idea is not recommended because budget is already allocated in a previous FY budget adoption. Staff, materials, and equipment for installation of red curbs have already been hired and procured, and implementation is currently in progress. TDA Article 3 funds cannot be used for City staff time, which is the main schedule limitation at this time. While the idea was to help expedite the implementation of red curbs, staff recommends continuing on the current schedule so that future maintenance remains sustainable, rather than having a large quantity of red curbs needing replacement at the same time.

9. Crossing Improvement at Bernardo & Olive Avenues

- Install a marked crosswalk
- Install a new RRFB

This proposed idea is not recommended because this would require a warrant analysis, which is considered a planning activity and not eligible for TDA Article 3 funding. This idea is also already included in our resident request study list and will be evaluated by staff as part of core services. Commission asked for more information about what's needed to complete this request, so staff will present more information at the April meeting. However, this will remain as not recommended.

10. Visibility Improvements for Bicyclists at Borregas Avenue at Bridges

- Improve visibility of bicyclists when coming off of the bridge (potentially a mirror)

This proposed idea is not eligible because research of the possible improvement tools available and an evaluation of the best device for this specific location are considered a planning activity, which is not eligible for TDA Article 3 funding. Commission recommended installation of a mirror, and staff recommends that research and evaluation be performed to ensure the most appropriate device is implemented.

11. Temporary Pedestrian Mall on McKinley Avenue

- Temporary Pedestrian Mall on McKinley Avenue between Murphy Avenue to Taaffe Street and consider Taaffe Street to Aries Way as well.

This proposed idea is not eligible because it requires a planning study, which is not eligible for TDA Article 3 funding. Additionally, this idea is not in the ATP and would require City Council approval prior to allocating staff time and prior to implementation.

12. Crossing Guard Signage

- Install signage to obey crossing guards

This proposed idea is not eligible because there is not a standard California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD) signage for this purpose. CA MUTCD is the state standard and requirements for signage types (including message, color, size, etc.). Anything differing from these standards requires a study and formal approval prior to implementation on public roadways. Therefore this would require an evaluation to develop the custom signage and propose a trial usage of a new sign, then would need formal approval through the California Traffic Control Devices Committee (CTCDC). All of this effort is considered planning and is not eligible for TDA Article 3 funding.

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Wilson adjourned the meeting at 9:09 p.m.