

County of Santa Clara
Office of the County Clerk-Recorder
Business Division

County Government Center
70 West Hedding Street, E. Wing, 1st Floor
San Jose, California 95110 (408) 299-5688



Santa Clara County Clerk – Recorder's Office
State of California



Document No.: 1096
Number of Pages: 40
Filed and Posted On: 10/14/2016
Through: 11/13/2016
CRO Order Number:
Fee Total: 0.00

REGINA ALCOMENDRAS, County Clerk – Recorder
by Raymund Reyes, Deputy Clerk – Recorder, *R.R.*

CEQA DOCUMENT DECLARATION

ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE RECEIPT

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:

1. LEAD AGENCY: City of Sunnyvale
2. PROJECT TITLE: Classics Communities at Aster Avenue (File #2016-7439) SJ
3. APPLICANT NAME: Classics Communities PHONE: 650-496-4162
4. APPLICANT ADDRESS: 1068 E. Meadow Circle, Palo Alto, CA 94303 (project address: 1122 Aster Ave., Sunnyvale, CA 94085)
5. PROJECT APPLICANT IS A: ☐ Local Public Agency ☐ School District ☐ Other Special District ☐ State Agency ☒ Private Entity
6. NOTICE TO BE POSTED FOR 30 DAYS.
7. **CLASSIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT**

a. PROJECTS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO DFG FEES

- | | | |
|--|-------------|---------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1. <u>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT</u> (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21152) | \$ 3,070.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <u>NEGATIVE DECLARATION</u> (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21080(C)) | \$ 2,210.25 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <u>APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION</u> (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD ONLY) | \$ 850.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <u>PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS</u> | \$ 1,043.75 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <u>COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE</u> (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE)
Fish & Game Code §711.4(e) | \$ 50.00 | \$ 0.00 |

b. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES

- | | | |
|---|----------|---------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) | \$ 50.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) | | |
| DOCUMENT TYPE: <input type="checkbox"/> ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> NEGATIVE DECLARATION | \$ 50.00 | \$ 0.00 |

c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES

- | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> NOTICE OF PREPARATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOTICE OF INTENT | NO FEE | \$ NO FEE |
|---|--------|-----------|

8. OTHER: _____ FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$ _____

9. TOTAL RECEIVED..... \$ 0.00

*NOTE: "**SAME PROJECT**" MEANS **NO** CHANGES. IF THE DOCUMENT SUBMITTED IS NOT THE SAME (OTHER THAN DATES), A "NO EFFECT DETERMINATION" LETTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE **SUBSEQUENT** FILING OR THE APPROPRIATE FEES ARE REQUIRED.

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND ATTACHED TO THE FRONT OF ALL CEQA DOCUMENTS LISTED ABOVE (**INCLUDING COPIES**) SUBMITTED FOR FILING. WE WILL NEED AN ORIGINAL (WET SIGNATURE) AND THREE COPIES. (YOUR ORIGINAL WILL BE RETURNED TO YOU AT THE TIME OF FILING.)

CHECKS FOR ALL FEES SHOULD BE MADE PAYABLE TO: SANTA CLARA COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

PLEASE NOTE: FEES ARE ANNUALLY ADJUSTED (Fish & Game Code §711.4(b); PLEASE CHECK WITH THIS OFFICE AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE LATEST FEE INFORMATION.

"... NO PROJECT SHALL BE OPERATIVE, VESTED, OR FINAL, NOR SHALL LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERMITS FOR THE PROJECT BE VALID, UNTIL THE FILING FEES REQUIRED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION ARE PAID." Fish & Game Code §711.4(c)(3)

(Fees Effective 01-01-2016)



PLANNING DIVISION
CITY OF SUNNYVALE
P.O. BOX 3707
SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707

File Number: 2016-7439

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This form is provided as a notification of an intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

PROJECT TITLE:

Classics Communities at Aster Avenue

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

FILE #: 2016-7439
Location: 1122 Aster Ave. (APNs: 213-01- 014 and 213-65- 001 through 213-65- 10)
Proposed Project: SPECIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT to redevelop a 1.66-acre industrial site into 34 three-story townhomes, and VESTING TENTATIVE MAP to subdivide the site into 34 townhome lots and one common lot.
Applicant / Owner: Classic Communities / Franz and Kathaleen Mortensen et al (multiple owners)
Environmental Review: Mitigated Negative Declaration

WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The **Mitigated Negative Declaration**, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

This **Mitigated Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on Monday, November 14, 2016. Protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a **Mitigated Negative Declaration** will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

HEARING INFORMATION:

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

Monday, November 14, 2016 at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

TOXIC SITE INFORMATION:

(No) listed toxic sites are present at the project location.

Circulated On October 14, 2016

Signed: 
Gerri Caruso, Principal Planner

Project Title	Classics Communities at Aster Avenue
Lead Agency Name and Address	City of Sunnyvale P.O. Box 3707, Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707
Contact Person	Noren Caliva-Lepe, Senior Planner
Phone Number	(408) 730-7659
Project Location	1122 Aster Avenue Sunnyvale, CA 94085 (APNs: 213-01-014 and 213-65-001 through 213-65-10)
Applicant's Name	Classic Communities (applicant) / Franz and Kathaleen Mortensen et al (multiple property owners)
Zoning	M-S/ITR/R-3/PD (Industrial and Service/Industrial to Residential/Medium Density Residential/Planned Development)
General Plan	Industrial to Residential Medium Density
Other Public Agencies whose approval is required	None

BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Special Development Permit to redevelop a 1.66-acre industrial site into 34 three-story townhomes, and **Vesting Tentative Map** to subdivide the site into 34 townhome lots and one common lot.

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Surrounding Uses and Setting: The project site is mid-block on Aster Avenue, between East Evelyn Avenue to the west and Willow Avenue to the east and is located within the Industrial-to-Residential (ITR) zoning district. Several properties have already transitioned to residential uses, including medium density (approximately 22 to 24 dwelling units per acre) townhomes to the east and south of the project site. A few industrial sites within the ITR zoning district have yet to transition to residential uses, such as a 16.2-acre industrial property north of the project site currently occupied by Calstone/Peninsula Building Materials. The site is bound by the El Camino Storm Drain Channel (owned by Santa Clara Valley Water District or SCVWD) along the south property line. The Lawrence Caltrain Station is located less ½ a mile away to the northeast. The project site is located within the Lawrence Station Area Plan (LSAP) area currently under review.

On-site Development: The site is currently developed with two buildings containing 10 industrial condo units in each building, for a total of 20 industrial condo units. The proposed project includes demolition of existing buildings and the majority of existing trees on the project site and directly in the public right-of-way. The applicant proposes to construct 34 three-story townhomes within eight

buildings. Each townhome will contain four bedrooms and a two-car garage. The common lot will contain surface parking spaces, trash enclosures, landscaping and open space, circulation areas, and a 12.5-foot multi-use trail easement along the west property line connecting to the future El Camino Storm Drain Channel trail. Two driveways will provide vehicular access to Aster Avenue. Pedestrian walkways allow for access throughout the site and to the public street frontage.

Construction Activities and Schedule: Construction activities include full demolition of all existing buildings and paving on the project site and construction of 34 townhome units with associated on-site and off-site improvements. The project will be subject to the Sunnyvale Municipal Code requirements for construction noise and hours of construction contained in Chapter 16.08.030.

Construction of the project is estimated to span approximately 18 months, which is typical for a project of this size. Demolition is likely to commence May 2017. Demolition and site work will span approximately six months. The remaining time will include construction of buildings, on-site improvements and off-site improvements. Construction will not include deep pile foundations or pile driving or other extremely high noise-generating activities or significant vibration.

Off-site Improvements: The existing curb cuts will be removed and replaced with new curb cuts in compliance with current standards. New sidewalks, street trees, and street lights will be installed in the public right-of-way, per City standards. Standard water, sewer, right-of-way and utility upgrades will be provided as required by the Municipal Code.

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
4. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
5. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
6. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
7. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
8. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
9. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geology/Soils | <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing | |

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE (see checklist for further information):

Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

☐ Yes
☒ No

Mandatory Findings of Significance? Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

☐ Yes
☒ No

Mandatory Findings of Significance? Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

☐ Yes
☒ No

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. ☐

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. ☒

I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. ☐

I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. ☐


I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. ☐

Checklist Prepared By: Noren Caliva-Lepe

Date: 10/13/2016

Title: Senior Planner

City of Sunnyvale

Signature: 

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
1. Aesthetics -Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, historic buildings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map, Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, and Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
2. Aesthetics -Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings including significant adverse visual changes to neighborhood character	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map, Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, and Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
3. Aesthetics -Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map, Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, and Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
4. Population and Housing - Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure) in a way that is inconsistent with the Sunnyvale General Plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map, and Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
5. Population and Housing -Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, and Housing Chapter 5 of the Sunnyvale General Plan and General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
6. Population and Housing -Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Housing Chapter 5 of the Sunnyvale General Plan and General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
7. Land Use Planning - Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
8. Land Use Planning conflict - With the Sunnyvale General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) area or related specific plan adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Title 19 (Zoning) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19&frames=off
9. Transportation and Traffic - Result in inadequate parking capacity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Parking Requirements (Section 19.46) in the Sunnyvale Municipal Code http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19-4-19_46&frames=off

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
10. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moffett Field AICUZ , Sunnyvale Zoning Map, Sunnyvale General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
11. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	There are no private airstrips in or in the vicinity of Sunnyvale
12. For a project within the vicinity of Moffett Federal Airfield, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Santa Clara County Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP)
13. Agricultural Resources - Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale Zoning Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
14. Noise - Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the Noise Sub-Element, Noise limits in the Sunnyvale Municipal Code, or applicable standards of the California Building Code?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, SMC www.sunnyvaleplanning.com 19.42 Noise Ordinance http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19&frames=off Noise Study by Charles M. Salter Associates, Inc., dated 4/13/16 (rev 8/24/16)
15. Noise -Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description Project Construction Schedule Noise Study by Charles M. Salter Associates, Inc., dated 4/13/16 (rev 8/24/16)
16. Noise - A substantial permanent or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description Noise Study by Charles M. Salter Associates, Inc., dated 4/13/16 (rev 8/24/16)
17. Biological Resources - Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
Game or U.S Wildlife Service?					
18. Biological Resources -Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
19. Biological Resources -Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description Biological Resources Review by Zentner and Zentner, dated 3/25/16
20. Biological Resources -Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMC 19.90 Tree Preservation Ordinance Sunnyvale Inventory of Heritage Trees Project Plans Tree Inventory Report by Michael L. Bench, dated 10/24/16
21. Biological Resources -Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan and General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
22. Historic and Cultural Resources - Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource or a substantial adverse change in an archeological resource?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Sunnyvale Inventory of Heritage Resources The United States Secretary of the Interior's "Guidelines for Rehabilitation" Criteria of the National Register of Historic Places
23. Historic and Cultural Resources - Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5(b), CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(e) Project description CHRIS/NWIC Letter, dated 5/30/16 Cultural Resources Evaluation by Archaeological Resource Service, dated 5/21/16

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
24. Public Services - Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or expanded public schools, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable performance objectives?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The following public school districts are located in the City of Sunnyvale: Fremont Union High School District, Sunnyvale Elementary School District, Cupertino Union School District and Santa Clara Unified School District.
25. Air Quality - Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the BAAQMD air quality plan? How close is the use to a major road, hwy. or freeway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 2011 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Sunnyvale General Plan (Environmental Management Chapter) www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
26. Air Quality - Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 2011 Thresholds AB 32
27. Air Quality -Would the project conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of any agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 2011 Thresholds Sunnyvale Climate Action Plan 2014 AB 32 Project Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist
28. Air Quality -Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 2011 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
29. Air Quality -Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 2011 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
30. Air Quality -Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 2011 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Screening Level Health Risk Assessment by Firstcarbon

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
					Solutions, dated 4/22/16
31. Seismic Safety -Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
32. Seismic Safety - Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
33. Seismic Safety-Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
34. Seismic Safety-Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if “Less Than Significant” with or without mitigation:

4. Population and Housing (No Impact) – The General Plan and Zoning designations for the site allow for industrial to residential transition with medium density, up to 24 dwelling units per acre or 40 units maximum for the site. General Plan Policy HE-4.3 requires new development to build to at least 75% of the maximum zoning density or 30 units minimum for the site. The proposed project is consistent with the General Plan and Zoning by providing 34 residential units. Therefore, the project will not induce substantial population growth in a way that is inconsistent with the existing General Plan or Zoning.

14 – 16. Noise – A noise study for the project was prepared by Charles M. Salter Associates, Inc., dated April 13, 2016 and revised August 24, 2016. The study is available for review at the City of Sunnyvale’s Community Development Department, Monday through Friday between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. Noise measurements were conducted between March 25 and April 2, 2016. The study evaluated existing and future noise conditions at the property in order to determine indoor, outdoor, and construction-related noise impacts. The noise environment was dominated by vehicular traffic on Aster Avenue and the existing industrial operation of Calstone/Peninsula Building Supply.

Indoor Noise (Less than Significant with Mitigation): The California Code of Regulations, administered during the Building Permit process, limits interior noise levels to 45 dB with doors and windows closed. To achieve the interior noise limit, the study recommends the following mitigation measures:

MITIGATION

WHAT:

- (1) All windows and doors must be sound-rated per Figure 2 of the noise study, with Sound Transmission Class (STC) 31 units labeled as X01, X08-15, and X28, and STC 28 for units labeled as X02-03, and

X29-X30. The STC ratings are for full assemblies (glass and frame) and tested sound-rated assemblies should be used.

- (2) Where windows need to be closed to achieve an indoor DNL of 45 dB, an alternative method of supplying fresh air (e.g., mechanical ventilation) must be provided for each residential unit.

WHEN: These mitigations shall be incorporated into conditions of approval for the Special Development Permit (SDP) prior to its final approval by the City's Planning Commission. The conditions will become valid when the SDP is approved and prior to building permit issuance.

WHO: The project applicant or property owner shall be solely responsible for implementation and maintenance of these mitigation measures.

HOW: The conditions of approval will require these mitigation measures to be incorporated into the construction plans. The construction plans sheets containing noise reduction mitigation must be wet-stamped by a noise consultant/acoustician to verify compliance.

Outdoor Noise (No Impact): The Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan considers outdoor noise levels below DNL 60 dB to be "normally acceptable" for backyards, large balconies and common recreation areas. Outdoor noise levels of 60 to 75 dB are considered "conditionally acceptable" if features or measure to reduce noise exposure have been incorporated. Front yard areas not used to fulfill the City's usable open space requirement are not subject to the General Plan noise standards. The common open space for the development is located in the southwest corner of the site, behind the proposed homes and adjacent to the El Camino Storm Drain Channel. Noise levels within the common open space area are expected to be DNL 53 dB, below the DNL 60 dB goal. Therefore, no mitigation is required.

Construction-Related Noise (Less than Significant with Mitigation): Construction will not include deep pile foundations or pile driving or other extremely high noise-generating activities or significant vibration. Most construction equipment causes intermittent noise levels between 80 dBA and 90 dBA at a distance of 50 feet; therefore, nearby noise-sensitive locations could experience construction noise that is louder than the ambient traffic noise. Through the implementation of the current Sunnyvale Municipal Code construction noise regulations, standard conditions of approval and mitigation measures recommended by the noise study below, construction-related noise and vibration impacts will be less than significant.

MITIGATION

WHAT:

- (1) The Contractor will instruct all applicable trades to endeavor to keep compressors, etc. as close to the interior portions of the buildings as reasonably possible.
- (2) Back-up beepers will be used only when required by law or as required to provide a safe work environment. Spotters or flaggers will be used in lieu of back-up beepers to direct backing operations when allowable.
- (3) Equipment and trucks used for project construction must utilize the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds, whenever feasible).
- (4) Construction-related traffic will be routed along major roadways and away from sensitive receptors where feasible.
- (5) Construction equipment will be well maintained and used judiciously to be as quiet as practical.
- (6) Developer shall require that subcontractors make efforts to mitigate sound transmission to be neighboring properties through the use of mufflers or other deadening methods.

- (7) All internal combustion-driven equipment will be equipped with mufflers that are in good condition and appropriate for the equipment.
- (8) Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines will be prohibited when feasible.
- (9) “Quiet” models of air compressors and other stationary noise sources will be used where the technology exists.
- (10) Hydraulically or electrically powered equipment will be used and pneumatically powered equipment will be avoided where feasible. However, where use of pneumatic tools is unavoidable, an exhaust muffler shall be used. Quieter procedures shall be used, such as drills rather than impact equipment, wherever feasible.
- (11) Stationary noise-generating equipment will be located as far as possible from sensitive receptors when adjoining construction sites. Temporary noise barriers or partial enclosures will be constructed to acoustically shield such equipment where feasible.
- (12) Signs must be posted at the construction site that include permitted construction days and hours, a day and evening contact for the job site, and a day and evening contact number for the on-site complaint and enforcement manager, and the City’s Building Safety Division, in the event of problems.

WHEN: These mitigations shall be incorporated into conditions of approval for the Special Development Permit (SDP) prior to its final approval by the City’s Planning Commission. The conditions will become valid when the SDP is approved and prior to building permit issuance.

WHO: The project applicant or property owner shall be solely responsible for implementation and maintenance of these mitigation measures.

HOW: The conditions of approval will require these mitigation measures to be incorporated into the construction plans.

19. Biological Resources – Migratory Fish or Wildlife (Less than Significant with Mitigation) – A biological resources review was prepared by Zentner and Zentner, dated March 25, 2016. The study surveyed the site for the presence of, and the potential for, wildlife and special status species. The site was also assessed for the use and potential use by nesting raptors and other migratory birds. The study concluded that the site does not provide suitable habitat for special status plant or wildlife species and no special status species were observed. A small number of common birds were observed perching in the trees on-site or flying over the site; however, no active nests were found. Existing large pines and redwoods provide potential nesting habitat for raptors and other migratory birds. Therefore, the following mitigation measures are recommended to reduce potential impacts on biological resources:

MITIGATION

WHAT:

- (1) If construction commences anytime during the nesting/breeding season of native bird species (typically February through August), a qualified biologist must conduct a preconstruction survey of the project vicinity for nesting/breeding birds within 14 days prior to the start of construction activities. The intent of the survey is to determine if active raptor nests or other species protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act are present within the construction zone or within 250 feet of construction zone for raptors and 50 feet of the construction zone for other migratory birds. The survey area must include all trees and shrubs within zones that have the potential to support nesting birds.
- (2) If active nests are found in the area that could be directly affected or are within 250 feet of construction for raptors and 50 feet for other migratory birds, a no-disturbance buffer zone must be

created around active nests during the breeding season or until a qualified biologist determines that all young have fledged. Once the young have fledged, tree removal and other construction activities may commence.

WHEN: These mitigations shall be incorporated into conditions of approval for the Special Development Permit (SDP) prior to its final approval by the City's Planning Commission. The conditions will become valid when the SDP is approved and prior to building permit issuance.

WHO: The project applicant or property owner shall be solely responsible for implementation and maintenance of these mitigation measures.

HOW: The conditions of approval will require these mitigation measures to be incorporated into the construction plans.

20. Biological Resources – Tree Preservation (Less than Significant) – The City Arborist and applicant's development team met at the project site on August 24, 2016. In addition, a Tree Inventory Report was prepared by Michael L. Bench, dated August 24, 2016. A total of 53 trees were included in the report, including 40 trees on the project site, nine street trees, and four trees on adjacent private properties.

Of the 40 trees on the project site, 35 of the trees are considered to be "protected under Sunnyvale Municipal Code Chapter 19.94. A protected tree is defined as having a trunk size of at least 38 inches in circumference, as measured 4.5 feet from the ground. The applicant proposes to remove 30 of the 35 protected trees on the project site, including 14 trees due to poor health, 16 trees due to conflicts with the planned location of new buildings and infrastructure. Protected trees for removal primarily consist of Canary Island Pine, Chinese Elm and Camphor trees, which are generally located in the middle of the site. The most prominent trees on the property are a grove of Coast Redwood trees located in the southwest corner of the site. The applicant proposes to save these trees and incorporate them into the common open space for the development. Protected trees for removal are subject to the City's Tree Replacement Standards, which requires planting of replacement trees or payment of an in-lieu fee. The applicant's preliminary landscaping plan includes 84 replacement trees that range in size from 15-gallon to 36-gallon trees. The proposed replacement trees are in accordance with the City's Tree Replacement Standards and will lessen the impact to a less than significant level. In addition, standard tree protection measures listed in the Tree Inventory Report will also ensure the survival of trees for protection during construction.

The nine street trees include five Canary Island Pine, three Carob, and one Deodar Cedar. The Canary Island Pine and Carob trees are generally in good health; however, due to their close proximity to the face of curb and extensive root system, saving these trees are not feasible in order to install required right-of-way improvements. In addition, the City Arborist agrees that trees would not survive the proposed grading up of the property and installation of new infrastructure associated with the proposed project. Per the City's standard requirements, new street trees will be planted along the project frontage. The City Arborist has requested that the Deodar Cedar street tree along the east property line be saved, which is noted for preservation on the applicant's preliminary landscaping plan. The ability to save the tree depends on the root systems, which will require further investigation by the City Arborist during the construction phase.

23. Historic and Cultural Resources (Less than Significant with Mitigation) – The project construction will include grading and land disturbance. A records search by the California Historical Resources Information System/Northwest Information Center of Sonoma State University (CHRIS/NWIC) was conducted for the project area on May 3, 2016. Research revealed a moderate potential of identifying unrecorded Native American resources. As recommended in the CHRIS letter, a supplemental Cultural Resources Evaluation was prepared by Archeological Resource Service, dated May 21, 2016, to further evaluate the possibility of archeological and cultural resources.

Assembly Bill (AB) 52 requires local jurisdictions to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for Specific Plans, General Plan Amendments, or if tribes have specifically requested notification. The proposed project does not include a Specific Plan or General Plan Amendment. As of the date of preparation of this Initial Study, no tribes have requested notification.

The study notes that surface examination did not produce any evidence of significant cultural resources; however, reports of buried resources exist within the project vicinity (not on the project site). The following mitigations are recommended to reduce the potential impact to less than significant level:

MITIGATION

WHAT:

- (1) On the first day of excavation, grading, trenching, and other earth disturbing operations, a qualified cultural resource professional must conduct an on-site meeting of all equipment operators, workers, and supervisors on the project. The meeting must address the nature of cultural deposits in the Sunnyvale area, and what constitutes a potentially significant discovery. The workers must be instructed to stop work in the vicinity of suspected discoveries and call for an inspection. Artifacts that are typically found associated with prehistoric sites include humanly modified stone, such as obsidian or chert flakes or groundstone, shell, bone or other cultural materials such as charcoal, ash and burned rock indicative of food procurement or processing activities. Prehistoric domestic features include hearths, fire pits, or house or floor depressions, whereas mortuary features are typically represented by the presence of human skeletal remains. Historic artifacts potentially include all by-products of human land use greater than 50 years of age.
- (2) If cultural deposits or features, or human interments, are encountered during the project, all further excavation, grading and trenching work associated with the project must be monitored by an archaeologist and, if the discover is prehistoric, a Native American monitor.

WHEN: These mitigations shall be incorporated into conditions of approval for the Special Development Permit (SDP) prior to its final approval by the City's Planning Commission. The conditions will become valid when the SDP is approved and prior to building permit issuance.

WHO: The project applicant or property owner shall be solely responsible for implementation and maintenance of these mitigation measures.

HOW: The conditions of approval will require these mitigation measures to be incorporated into the construction plans.

24. Public Services – Schools (Less than Significant) – The project site is located in the Sunnyvale Elementary School District and the Fremont Union High School District. In both districts, all new residential developments are required to fully offset their anticipated impact on demand for schools by paying a school impact fee as set by the Districts. The City requires evidence of school impact fee payment prior to issuance of building permits. Therefore, impacts on public schools will be less than significant.

27. Air Quality – Greenhouse Gases (No Impact) – A "Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist" was completed for the project, which provides further analysis related to project greenhouse gas emissions. The Checklist demonstrates conformance with the City's adopted Climate Action Plan; therefore, the project is not expected to have an impact on greenhouse gas emissions.

30. Air Quality – Pollutants (Less than Significant with Mitigation) – A Screening Level Health Risk Assessment was prepared by Firstcarbon Solutions, dated April 22, 2016. The purpose of the study was to determine whether toxic air contamination (TAC) emissions from surrounding sources would exceed health risk significance thresholds identified by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). Emission sources identified in the study include Reed Avenue, Evelyn Avenue, Lawrence Expressway, Calstone/Peninsula Building Materials and the Caltrain corridor.

The study found that the new residents of the development would experience cancer risks in excess of the BAAQMD's cancer risk significance threshold of 10 in one million from the operation of the Caltrain from the operation of the Caltrain rail line corridor (14.1 in one million). The following mitigations are recommended to reduce the potential impact to less than significant level:

MITIGATION

WHAT:

- (1) Proposed buildings or individual dwelling units must be equipped with air ventilation systems that are designed to meet or exceed a minimum efficiency reporting value (MERV) of 13. The MERV rating is used to describe the system's filter performance at reducing particulates from outdoor air prior to entering the building. The MERV 13 rating represents the filter's performance through multiple stages of dust loading for particulates ranging from 0.3 to 10 microns in size.
- (2) Air filters must be maintained, repaired, and/or replaced in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations or on an as-needed basis.
- (3) Building occupants and owners shall be provided written notification of filter operational and maintenance requirements.

WHEN: These mitigations shall be incorporated into conditions of approval for the Special Development Permit (SDP) prior to its final approval by the City's Planning Commission. The conditions will become valid when the SDP is approved and prior to building permit issuance.

WHO: The project applicant or property owner shall be solely responsible for implementation and maintenance of these mitigation measures.

HOW: The conditions of approval will require these mitigation measures to be incorporated into the construction plans.

Transportation	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
35. Exceeds the capacity of the existing circulation system, based on an applicable measure of effectiveness (as designated in a general plan policy, ordinance, etc.), taking into account all modes of transportation including nonmotorized travel and all relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian walkways, bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/
36. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measurements, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/
37. Results in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in air traffic levels or a change in flight patterns or location that results in substantial safety risks to vehicles, bicycles, or pedestrians?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
38. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
39. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit or nonmotorized transportation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/
40. Affect the multi-modal performance of the highway and/or street and/or rail and/or off road nonmotorized trail transportation facilities, in terms of structural, operational, or perception-based measures of effectiveness (e.g. quality of service for nonmotorized and transit modes)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/

Transportation	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
41. Reduce, sever, or eliminate pedestrian or bicycle circulation or access, or preclude future planned and approved bicycle or pedestrian circulation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/
42. Cause a degradation of the performance or availability of all transit including buses, light or heavy rail for people or goods movement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/

Further Discussion if “Less Than Significant” with or without mitigation:

35. Transportation and Traffic (Less than Significant) – Development proposals require preparation of a transportation impact analysis (TIA) if more than 100 net new peak hour trips in either the AM (7:00AM – 9:00AM) or PM (4:00PM-6:00PM) peak hour are estimated. The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Manual is the standard reference document prescribed by the Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program TIA Guidelines for estimating trip generation from land development. These guidelines are used by all cities in Santa Clara County for determining the necessity for traffic analysis. Per the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition (“ITE Manual”) the City’s Division of Transportation and Traffic estimates that the project will result in -2 net new AM peak hour trips and 0 net new PM peak hour trips. Thus, the proposed project would either generate fewer or the same number of trips than the existing land use. The project is also subject to the recently-adopted residential Transportation Demand Management (TDM) requirements. Therefore, impacts on transportation and traffic will be less than significant.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Noren Caliva-Lepe Date: 10/13/2016

Building	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
43. Hydrology and Water Quality - Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map Effective 5/18/09 www.sunnyvaleplanning.com , California Building Code, Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
44. Hydrology and Water Quality - Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map Effective 5/18/09 www.sunnyvaleplanning.com , California Building Code, Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
45. Hydrology and Water Quality - Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1995 ABAG Dam Inundation Map www.abag.ca.gov , California Building Code, Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
46. Geology and Soils -Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale Municipal Code 12.60, Storm Water Quality Best Sunnyvale Management Practices Guideline Manual
47. Geology and Soils -Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, www.sunnyvaleplanning.com California Plumbing, Mechanical, and Electrical Codes and Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
48. Geology and Soils -Be located on expansive soil, as defined by the current building code, creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California Plumbing, Mechanical, and Electrical Codes and Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

Further Discussion if “Less Than Significant” with or without mitigation:

General Discussion: The California Building Code contains a series of building code requirements to address safety issues regarding seismic shaking, flooding, and soil types. In addition, Title 16.62 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code requires a series of measures for provisions to reduce flood-related hazards to buildings. These standards are suggested by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and required by code by the City of Sunnyvale. These standards must be met for a building permit to be issued.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Noren Caliva-Lepe Date: 10/13/2016

Engineering	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
49. Utilities and Service Systems: Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
50. Utilities and Service Systems: Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
51. Utilities and Service Systems: Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
52. Utilities and Service Systems: Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
53. Utilities and Service Systems: Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
54. Utilities and Service Systems: Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
55. Hydrology and Water Quality - Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/

Engineering	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
56. Hydrology and Water Quality - Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RWQCB, Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ City of Sunnyvale Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
57. Hydrology and Water Quality - Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RWQCB, Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ City of Sunnyvale Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
58. Hydrology and Water Quality - Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Santa Clara Valley Water District Groundwater Protection Ordinance www.valleywater.org
59. Hydrology and Water Quality - Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems in a manner which could create flooding or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RWQCB, Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ City of Sunnyvale Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
60. Hydrology and Water Quality - Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RWQCB, Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ City of Sunnyvale Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
61. Utilities and Service Systems: Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Engineering	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
62. Public Services Infrastructure? Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if “Less Than Significant” with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Noren Caliva-Lepe Date: 10/13/2016

Public Safety	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
63. Public Services Police and Fire protection - Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
64. Public Services Police and Fire protection - Would the project result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California Building Code SMC Section 16.52 Fire Code

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Noren Caliva-Lepe Date: 10/13/2016

Public Safety – Hazardous Materials	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
65. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
66. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Title 20 of the City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code Phase I/II Environmental Site Assessment prepared by Tetra Tech, Inc., dated 4/07/16
67. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale Zoning Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
68. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	State of California Hazardous Waste and Substances Site List (Cortese List), Department of Toxic Substance Control
69. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if “Less Than Significant” with or without mitigation:

66. Hazards and Hazardous Materials (No Impacts) – A Phase I/II Environmental Site Assessment was prepared by Tetra Tech, Inc., dated April 7, 2016. The study is available for review at the City of Sunnyvale’s Community Development Department, Monday through Friday between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m.

The study notes that four Superfund sites (regulated by the Environmental Protection Agency) are located within a mile of the project site and one CERCLIS site (Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act) is located within half a mile from the project site. Based on the distance and location being down-gradient from the project site, the sites are not expected to impact the project site. The study also found no evidence of the presence of Recognized Environmental Conditions. Given long-standing use of the property for industrial purposes the following mitigations are recommended to reduce the potential impact to less than significant level:

MITIGATION

WHAT:

- (1) Soils must be screened at the time of slab demolition for indications of potential soil impacts.
- (2) If soil is to be off-hauled prior to development, it must first be profiled (tested) to ensure the soil is properly reused or disposed.

WHEN: These mitigations shall be incorporated into conditions of approval for the Special Development Permit (SDP) prior to its final approval by the City's Planning Commission. The conditions will become valid when the SDP is approved and prior to building permit issuance.

WHO: The project applicant or property owner shall be solely responsible for implementation and maintenance of these mitigation measures.

HOW: The conditions of approval will require these mitigation measures to be incorporated into the construction plans.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Noren Caliva-Lepe Date: 10/13/2016

Community Services	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
70. Public Services Parks? Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Community Character Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
71. Recreation - Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Community Character Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
72. Recreation - Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Community Character Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Noren Caliva-Lepe Date: 10/13/2016

City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

Sunnyvale General Plan Consolidated in (2011)

generalplan.InSunnyvale.com

- Community Vision
- Land Use and Transportation
- Community Character
- Housing
- Safety and Noise
- Environmental Management
- Appendix A: Implementation Plans

City of Sunnyvale Climate Action Plan 2014

City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code:

- Title 8 Health and Sanitation
- Title 9 Public Peace, Safety or Welfare
- Title 10 Vehicles and Traffic
- Title 12 Water and Sewers
- Chapter 12.60 Storm Water Management
- Title 13 Streets and Sidewalks
- Title 16 Buildings and Construction
 - Chapter 16.52 Fire Code
 - Chapter 16.54 Building Standards for Buildings Exceeding Seventy –Five Feet in Height
- Title 18 Subdivisions
- Title 19 Zoning
 - Chapter 19.28 Downtown Specific Plan District
 - Chapter 19.29 Moffett Park Specific plan District
 - Chapter 19.39 Green Building Regulations
 - Chapter 19.42 Operating Standards
 - Chapter 19.54 Wireless Telecommunication Facilities
 - Chapter 19.81 Streamside Development Review
 - Chapter 19.96 Heritage Preservation
- Title 20 Hazardous Materials

Specific Plans:

- Downtown Specific Plan
- El Camino Real Precise Plan
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit
- Moffett Park Specific Plan
- 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan
- Lakeside Specific Plan
- Arques Campus Specific Plan

Environmental Impact Reports:

- Futures Study Environmental Impact Report
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental Impact Report

- Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact Study (supplemental)
- Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement Center Environmental Impact Report (City of Santa Clara)
- Downtown Development Program Environmental Impact Report
- Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact Report
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental Impact Report
- East Sunnyvale ITR General Plan Amendment EIR
- Palo Alto Medical Foundation Medical Clinic Project EIR
- Luminaire (Lawrence Station Road/Hwy 237 residential) EIR
- NASA Ames Development Plan Programmatic EIS
- Mary Avenue Overpass EIR
- Mathilda Avenue Bridge EIR

Maps:

- General Plan Map
- Zoning Map
- City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
- Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
- Santa Clara County Assessor's Parcel
- Utility Maps
- Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) Study Map
- 2010 Noise Conditions Map

Legislation / Acts / Bills / Resource Agency Codes and Permits:

- Subdivision Map Act
- Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit
- Santa Clara County Valley Water District Groundwater Protection Ordinance
- Section 404 of Clean Water Act

Lists / Inventories:

- Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
- Heritage Landmark Designation List
- Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory
- Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State of California)
- List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale
- USFWS / CA Dept. F&G Endangered and Threatened Animals of California
<http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/TEAnimals.pdf>
- The Leaking Underground Petroleum Storage Tank List www.geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov

- The Federal EPA Superfund List
www.epa.gov/region9/cleanup/california.html
- The Hazardous Waste and Substance Site List
www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Cortese_List.cfm

Guidelines and Best Management Practices

- Storm Water Quality Best Management Practices Guidelines Manual 2007
- Sunnyvale Citywide Design Guidelines
- Sunnyvale Industrial Guidelines
- Sunnyvale Single-Family Design Techniques
- Sunnyvale Eichler Guidelines
- Blueprint for a Clean Bay
- Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD) Guidelines and Standards for Land Use Near Streams
- The United States Secretary of the Interior 's Guidelines for Rehabilitation
- Criteria of the National Register of Historic Places

Transportation:

- California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual
- California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
- California Department of Transportation Standard Plans & Standard Specifications
- Highway Capacity Manual
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Trip Generation Manual & Trip Generation Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Traffic Engineering Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Manual of Traffic Engineering Studies
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Transportation Planning Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Manual of Traffic Signal Design
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Transportation and Land Development
- U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways & CA Supplements
- California Vehicle Code
- Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program and Technical Guidelines
- Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short Range Transit Plan
- Santa Clara County Transportation Plan
- Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division

- Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System
- Sunnyvale Zoning Ordinance – including Titles 10 & 13
- City of Sunnyvale General Plan – land Use and Transportation Element
- City of Sunnyvale Bicycle Plan
- City of Sunnyvale Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program
- Valley Transportation Authority Bicycle Technical Guidelines
- Valley Transportation Authority Community Design & Transportation – Manual of Best Practices for Integrating Transportation and Land Use
- Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan
- City of Sunnyvale Deficiency Plan
- AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets

Public Works:

- Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works
- Storm Drain Master Plan
- Sanitary Sewer Master Plan
- Water Master Plan
- Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara County
- Geotechnical Investigation Reports
- Engineering Division Project Files
- Subdivision and Parcel Map Files

Miscellaneous Agency Plans:

- ABAG Projections 2013
- Bay Area Clean Air Plan
- BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 2011 Thresholds

Building Safety:

- California Building Code,
- California Energy Code
- California Plumbing Code,
- California Mechanical Code,
- California Electrical Code
- California Fire Code
- Title 16.52 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 16.53 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 16.54 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 19 California Code of Regulations
- National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards

OTHER :

Project Specific Information

- Project Description
- Sunnyvale Project Environmental Information Form
- Project Development Plans
- Project Noise Study by Charles M. Salter Associates, Inc., dated 4/13/16 (rev 8/24/16)
- Project Construction Schedule
- Project Draft Storm Water Management Plan (in project plans)
- Project Tree Inventory by Michael L. Bench, dated 10/24/16
- Biological Resources Review by Zentner and Zentner, dated 3/25/16
- Phase I/II Environmental Site Assessment by Tetra Tech, Inc., dated 4/07/16
- CHRIS Letter, dated 5/30/16
- Cultural Resources Evaluation by Archaeological Resources Service, dated 5/21/16
- Screening Level Health Risk Assessment by Firstcarbon Solutions, dated 4/22/16
- Project Tree Preservation Plan (in project plans)
- Preliminary Landscaping Plan (in project plans)
- Project Green Building Checklist
- Project Climate Action Plan Checklist

Summary

This checklist identifies the minimum criteria a project must demonstrate to use the City's CAP for purposes of streamlining the analysis of greenhouse gas emissions under CEQA. Minimum criteria outlined below includes: 1) consistency with CAP forecasts, and 2) incorporation of applicable Near-Term (prior to 2016) strategies and measures from the CAP as binding and enforceable components of the project.

Section 1: Consistency with CAP Forecasts

The CAP's achievement of the 15% reduction below 2008 target is based on growth assumptions in the City's General Plan and regional growth forecasts. For eligibility to streamline from the CAP for purposes of an environmental analysis, projects must demonstrate consistency with CAP forecast assumptions using the criteria listed below. As appropriate, these criteria should be cited as evidence in any subsequent environmental document.

1A. Does the project include large stationary emissions sources that would be regulated by the Air District?

☐ Yes

☒ No

*If **no**, then the project may be eligible to claim consistency with growth assumptions that were used for CAP modeling. Skip to question **1C** to determine consistency with CAP forecasts.*

*If **yes**, the project may trigger additional changes to the physical environment that were not considered in the CAP and would otherwise be regulated by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. Complete **1B**.*

1B. If this project is a stationary source emitter as outlined under 1A, does it also include any of the following emissions sources?

Residential uses	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Commercial uses	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

*If **no**, the project does not include any emissions sources that were assumed in CAP growth forecasts. Therefore, the project may trigger additional changes to the physical environment that were not considered in the CAP. CAP measures may be used to mitigate GHG emissions, but project-level analysis of GHG emissions using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CALEEMod) or another method must be prepared by a qualified air quality consultant. If **yes**, the project may include emissions sources mitigated by the CAP. Therefore, any sources identified in 1B may be eligible to claim consistency with the CAP. All stationary sources regulated by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District shall be analyzed separately. Other sources that were analyzed in the CAP may still qualify for streamlining, should the project demonstrate consistency with the CAP as outlined in **1C** and following sections below.*

1C. Does the project trigger an amendment to or adoption of any of the following planning documents?

General Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Specific Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Precise Plan for El Camino Real	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Please describe any amendments or adoption of new specific plans or special planning areas, as applicable:

*If **no**, then the project is eligible to claim consistency with growth assumptions that were used for CAP forecasts.*

*If **yes**, the project would trigger an amendment to or adoption of one or more of the documents list above, complete **1D** below.*

1D. If the project triggers an amendment to the General Plan, specific plans, and/or special planning areas, complete the following table:

	Existing & Proposed Project			Proposed Project's Net Effect on Citywide Forecasts		
	Existing or Allowed Under Existing Zoning (A)	Proposed Project (B)	Net Change from Existing Zoning (C=B-A)	2020 CAP Forecast (D)	Proposed Project's Net Effect on Citywide 2020 Forecast (E = D+C)	Would Net Effect of Project Exceed the Citywide 2020 CAP Forecast?
Population				145,020		
Jobs				89,750		
Households / Dwelling Units				59,660		

Please describe any assumptions used to calculate existing, allowed, or proposed conditions:

*If **no for all indicators above**, then the project may be eligible to claim consistency with CAP growth assumptions. The project's assumed residents, employees, and households would not create a net increase on community-wide growth assumed in the CAP. The CAP uses these community-wide growth indicators to forecast community-wide emissions from residential energy use, nonresidential energy use, water-related emissions, and waste. Because the CAP uses these comparable indicators to forecast non-transportation related emissions, and the project would not exceed the CAP's assumed 2020 residents, employees, and dwelling units, the project's non-transportation emissions are therefore consistent with CAP growth assumptions and captured within the CAP's emissions forecast. Complete **1E** below.*

*If **yes to one or more indicators above**, the proposed project's net effect on citywide 2020 forecasts would exceed the 2020 CAP forecast assumptions. Therefore, the project may trigger additional emissions not assumed in CAP growth forecasts. Any projects that exceed the 2020 forecasts may still rely on the CAP for identification of measures and standards for mitigation. However, since such projects exceed the assumptions of the CAP forecast, it is recommended that the project demonstrate anticipated project-level GHG emissions estimates using CALEEMod or another tool. (estimates prepared by consultant).*

1E. If the project is consistent with CAP growth forecasts as identified in 1D above, provide the following information.

Would the project have a potentially significant impact after mitigation on any of the following standards of significance identified in the State CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G?

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, program, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
c) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

*If **yes to one or more standards above**, the proposed project's net effect on citywide 2020 forecasts is inconsistent with plans, programs, or policies that informed the assumptions for the 2020 transportation forecast. Therefore, the project is inconsistent with transportation emissions forecasts and is not eligible to claim consistency with the CAP for purposes of GHG emissions and impacts on climate change.*

*If **no for all standards above**, then the project is consistent with the plans, programs, policies, or ordinances that informed the travel demand model for the 2020 transportation forecast of the CAP. Therefore, the project is consistent with CAP growth assumptions for transportation emissions in the CAP and is eligible to claim consistency with CAP transportation forecasts.*

Section 2: Consistency with CAP Measures

The CAP provides measures that achieve a 15% reduction below 2008 emissions levels by 2020. Each of the measures contains a bulleted list of action items/project standards that help projects achieve that goal. Projects that wish to demonstrate consistency with the CAP must demonstrate consistency with all applicable measures and action items/project standards from the CAP. Consistency with all applicable measures should be cited as evidence to support tiering from the CAP.

2A. Using the action items/project standards identified on the following pages, identify all measures and action items/project standards that are applicable to the project. Identify applicability and project compliance with each action item/project standard.

If a project demonstrates all applicable mandatory standards, the project is eligible to claim consistency with CAP measures and is eligible for CAP streamlining.

If a project does not integrate all applicable mandatory standards, the project is ineligible to claim consistency with CAP measures and is not eligible for CAP streamlining.

Additional voluntary measures may also be recommended. Projects inconsistent with growth forecasts should consider integrating all feasible voluntary and mandatory CAP measures.

Standards for Climate Action Plan Consistency/Private Development

(Includes Near-Term Action Items and Action Items Already Implemented by the City)

Applicable? (Yes or No)	Measure	Action Item/Project Standard	Describe whether standards are applicable and how the project demonstrates consistency with applicable standards
Yes	OS-2	Provide availability and access to outdoor space for recreation or social purposes, including access to public open spaces on privately owned property such as retail shopping centers	Each home contains a landscaped entry feature, some of which are suitable for outdoor patio furniture. The development also includes a large common open space at the southwest corner of the property that includes a dining area and outdoor seating. The project exceeds the minimum open space requirements. In addition, as required, a 12.5'-wide trail easement will be provided along the west property line to allow for public access into the future trail along the El Camino Storm Drain Channel.
Yes	OS-3.1	Continue to implement the City's Tree Preservation requirements.	While 30 of the 35 protected trees are proposed for removal the applicant's preliminary landscaping plan includes 84 replacement trees, which exceed the minimum replacement tree requirements.

			In addition, the most prominent Coast Redwood trees will be preserved and incorporated into the common open space area for the development.
Yes	EC-2.2	Continue to require energy-efficient siting of buildings. Buildings should be oriented and landscape material should be selected to provide maximum energy efficiency for the buildings	Multi-family projects are generally required to achieve 80 points minimum. However, the applicant will be utilizing the green building incentive program, which allows a 5-foot height increase if 110 points are achieved. A GreenPoint Rated Checklist has been provided with 111 points targeted, many of which are related to energy efficiency. The proposed type of development (townhomes) prevents the individual siting of each unit, but due to the proposed height, there is adequate space on the roof for future solar installation.
Yes	WC-2.3	Require new open space and street trees to be drought-tolerant	The project has been designed to comply with the Water-Efficient Landscaping requirements.
Yes	LW-2.1	Require multi-family homes to participate in the City's Multi-family Recycling Program	This is a code requirement.
Yes	LW-2.2	Select materials to be targeted for diversion methods, services or technologies based on the results of the Zero Waste Strategic Plan	The Zero Waste Strategic Plan is already being implemented.
No	CA-1.7	Actively promote the use of alternative modes of transportation as safe modes of travel. When applicable, promote viable programs sponsored by 511.org, the BAAQMD and other recognized agencies on the City's website and publications	The site is located half a mile from the Lawrence Caltrain Station, with direct sidewalk access. In addition, there is an existing VTA bus stop served by VTA Bus Route #32 within less than half a mile along Reed Avenue. The project is also subject to the City's Residential Transportation Demand Management requirements.
No	CTO-1.1	Incorporate the provisions of AB 1358, the California Complete Streets Act of 2008, into roadway design, construction and maintenance activities	The existing public streets in front of the property are not being modified.
No	CTO-1.2	Implement the street space allocation policy (RTC 8-085, April 28, 2009) in coordination with road reconstruction or resurfacing projects to provide road configurations that accommodate all travel modes.	The existing public streets in front of the property are not being modified.

No	CTO-1.3	Require new development to provide cross-parcel access and linkages from the development entrance to the public sidewalk system, transit stops, nearby employment and shopping centers, schools, parks and other parcels for ease of pedestrian and cyclist access	The project provides pedestrian linkages from all locations within the development to the Aster Avenue street frontage. Sidewalks installed as part of this project will complete the gap of sidewalks along this stretch of Aster Avenue, which improves the walkability to the Lawrence Caltrain Station.
Yes	CTO-1.4	Improve pedestrian safety and comfort through design elements such as landscaped medians, pedestrian-level amenities, sidewalk improvements and compliance with ADA design standards, particularly for areas serving high volumes of traffic.	New sidewalks, street trees and street lights will be installed along the project frontage per current City standards.
No	CTO-1.5	Improve bicycle facilities and perceptions of comfort through pavement marking/coloring, physical separation, specialized signs and markings and other design elements.	The existing public streets in front of the property are not being modified. As part of the pending Lawrence Station Area Plan, a Class II bike lane will be installed along Aster Avenue.
Yes	CTO-1.6	Require sidewalks to be a minimum of 6 feet wide in order to allow side-by-side walking at identified locations that currently serve high pedestrian traffic volumes or locations planned to serve high volumes of pedestrian traffic.	A new 6-foot wide sidewalk will be installed along Aster Avenue.
Yes	CTO-2.1	Require public areas and new development to provide bicycle parking consistent with the VTA Bicycle Technical Guidelines, as amended.	No bicycle racks (Class II) are required for the proposed project per VTA Guidelines. Residents can store bicycles in the private garages, which is consistent with the Sunnyvale Municipal Code.
No	CTO-3.1	Continue sponsoring projects to provide transit rider amenities at bus stops and rail stations.	As noted, the Lawrence Caltrain Station is less than half a mile away from the project site. In addition, there is an existing VTA bus stop served by VTA Bus Route #32 within less than half a mile along Reed Avenue. The project is also subject to the City's Residential Transportation Demand Management requirements.
No	CTO-4.1	Require existing and future major employers to utilize a variety of transportation demand management measures such as flexible work schedules, telecommuting,	The project is for residential use.

		guaranteed rides home, low or no cost transit passes, parking "cash-out" incentives and other programs that provide employees with alternatives to single-occupant commutes.	
Yes	EP-2.3	Prevent buildings and additions from shading more than 10% of roofs of other structures.	A solar study was completed, demonstrating that existing adjacent roofs will not be shaded by the project by more than 10%.
No	EP-2.3	Continue to allow and encourage solar facilities above paved parking areas.	A solar array is not proposed above the surface parking spaces. Solar facilities can generally be reviewed through a staff-level permit.
Yes	OR-1.3	In project review, encourage the replacement of high-maintenance landscapes (like grass turf) with native vegetation to reduce the need for gas-powered lawn and garden equipment.	The project has been designed to comply with the Water-Efficient Landscaping requirements.
Yes	OR-2.1	Idling times will be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to five minutes (as required by the California toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations [CCR]) or less. Clear signage will be provided at all access points to remind construction workers of idling restrictions.	This is a standard condition of approval that will be implemented during construction.
Yes	OR-2.2	Construction equipment must be maintained per manufacturer's specifications	This is a standard condition of approval that will be implemented during construction.
Yes	OR-2.3	Planning and Building staff will work with project applicants from construction equipment by selecting one of the following measures, at a minimum, as appropriate to the construction project:	This is a standard condition of approval that will be implemented during construction.
		a. Substitute electrified or hybrid equipment for diesel and gasoline powered equipment where practical	
		b. Use alternatively fueled construction equipment on-site, where feasible, such as compressed	

		natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), propane or biodiesel.	
		c. Avoid the use of on-site generators by connecting to grid electricity or utilizing solar-powered equipment.	
		d. Limit heavy-duty equipment idling time to a period of three minutes or less, exceeding CARB regulation minimum requirements of five minutes.	

Section 3: Minimum Recommended Content for Environmental Analysis

Projects demonstrating consistency with the CAP should use the following table as a guide for preparation of environmental analysis. As appropriate, information on the preceding pages should be used to support the analysis:

	Greenhouse gas analysis topic	Minimum recommended content
1	Existing Settings	General - GHG emissions and effects of global climate change
2	Existing Settings	State - statewide inventory and forecasts
3	Existing Settings	Local - Summary of CAP inventory and forecasts
4	Regulatory Framework	Federal - Brief overview of context
5	Regulatory Framework	State - CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5 Tiering and Streamlining Analysis of GHGs - Summary of the streamlining provisions and whether they apply to the project, focusing on project components that aren't otherwise covered by streamlining
6	Regulatory Framework	State - regulations quantified and addressed in the CAP, including EO-S-3-05, AB 32, Climate Change Scoping Plan, Renewable Portfolios Standard (Senate Bill 1078, Governor's Order S-14-08, and California Renewable Portfolio Standards), Sustainable Communities Strategy, and California Building Energy Efficiency Standards
7	Regulatory Framework	Local – Bay Area Air Quality Management District
8	Regulatory Framework	Local - CAP, brief summary
9	Standards of Significance	CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G Standards
10	Standards of Significance	CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5 Tiering and Streamlining Analysis of GHGs
11	Standards of Significance	CAP and supplemental EIR guidance
12	Impacts	Identify findings of CAP supplemental EIR
13	Impacts	Finding: Provide findings of significance, streamlining by focusing on findings of CAP supplemental EIR.

	Greenhouse gas analysis topic	Minimum recommended content
14	Impacts	<p>Projects that are consistent with CAP forecasts and measures should demonstrate the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Consistency with assumptions of CAP forecast, using tables and information from this guide -Incorporation of all applicable CAP measures as mitigations or as part of the project description -CAP finding that all such measures, on a citywide basis, lead to a less than significant impact
15	Impacts	<p>Projects that are inconsistent with either CAP forecasts or CAP measures are not eligible for streamlining. While such projects may still incorporate elements identified above, they should also incorporate project-level GHG emissions modeling.</p>