

Santa Clara County Clerk—Recorder's Office
State of California

County of Santa Clara
Office of the County Clerk-Recorder
Business Division

County Government Center
70 West Hedding Street, E. Wing, 1st Floor
San Jose, California 95110 (408) 299-5688



Document No.: 862
Number of Pages: 35
Filed and Posted On: 11/14/2014
Through: 12/04/2014
CRO Order Number:
Fee Total: 0.00

REGINA ALCOMENDRAS, County Clerk—Recorder
by **Raymund Reyes, Deputy Clerk—Recorder**, *[Signature]*

CEQA DOCUMENT DECLARATION

ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE RECEIPT

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:

1. LEAD AGENCY: CITY OF SUNNYVALE
2. PROJECT TITLE: SUNNYVALE SHERATON HOTEL EXPANSION
3. APPLICANT NAME: KEN RODRIGUES & PARTNERS PHONE: (408) 373-5222
4. APPLICANT ADDRESS: 445 N. WHISMAN RD., STE 200, MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94043 (SITE ADDRESS: 1100 N. MATHILDA AVE., SUNNYVALE, CA 94089)
5. PROJECT APPLICANT IS A: ☐ Local Public Agency ☐ School District ☐ Other Special District ☐ State Agency ☒ Private Entity
6. NOTICE TO BE POSTED FOR 20 DAYS.

7. CLASSIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT

a. PROJECTS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO DFG FEES

- | | | |
|---|-------------|---------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1. <u>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT</u> (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21152) | \$ 3,029.75 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <u>NEGATIVE DECLARATION</u> (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21080(C)) | \$ 2,181.25 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <u>APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION</u> (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD ONLY) | \$ 850.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <u>PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS</u> | \$ 1,030.25 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <u>COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE</u> (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE)
Fish & Game Code §711.4(e) | \$ 50.00 | \$ 0.00 |

b. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES

- | | | |
|---|----------|---------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) | \$ 50.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) | | |
| DOCUMENT TYPE: <input type="checkbox"/> ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> NEGATIVE DECLARATION | \$ 50.00 | \$ 0.00 |

c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES

- | | | | |
|--|--|--------|-----------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> NOTICE OF PREPARATION | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOTICE OF INTENT | NO FEE | \$ NO FEE |
|--|--|--------|-----------|

8. OTHER: _____ FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$ _____

9. TOTAL RECEIVED..... \$ 0.00

*NOTE: "**SAME PROJECT**" MEANS **NO** CHANGES. IF THE DOCUMENT SUBMITTED IS NOT THE SAME (OTHER THAN DATES), A "NO EFFECT DETERMINATION" LETTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE **SUBSEQUENT** FILING OR THE APPROPRIATE FEES ARE REQUIRED.

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND ATTACHED TO THE FRONT OF ALL CEQA DOCUMENTS LISTED ABOVE (**INCLUDING COPIES**) SUBMITTED FOR FILING. WE WILL NEED AN ORIGINAL (WET SIGNATURE) AND THREE COPIES. (YOUR ORIGINAL WILL BE RETURNED TO YOU AT THE TIME OF FILING.)

CHECKS FOR ALL FEES SHOULD BE MADE PAYABLE TO: SANTA CLARA COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

PLEASE NOTE: FEES ARE ANNUALLY ADJUSTED (Fish & Game Code §711.4(b); PLEASE CHECK WITH THIS OFFICE AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE LATEST FEE INFORMATION.

"... NO PROJECT SHALL BE OPERATIVE, VESTED, OR FINAL, NOR SHALL LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERMITS FOR THE PROJECT BE VALID, UNTIL THE FILING FEES REQUIRED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION ARE PAID." Fish & Game Code §711.4(c)(3)



PLANNING DIVISION
CITY OF SUNNYVALE
P.O. BOX 3707
SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707

File#: 862 11/14/2014

File Number: 2013-7607
No.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This form is provided as a notification of an intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #193-86.

PROJECT TITLE:

Application for a Special Development Permit by Ken Rodrigues.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

FILE #:	2013-7607
Location:	1100 N. Mathilda Ave. (APN: 110-27-025)
Proposed Project:	SPECIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT for an expansion of the 173-room Sheraton Hotel to 342 rooms (new 9-story building with 232 rooms; demolition of 63 rooms with a net total of 139 new rooms) serviced by a 166-stall, 3-level parking structure.
Applicant / Owner:	Ken Rodrigues & Partners
Environmental Review:	Mitigated Negative Declaration
Staff Contact:	Shétal Divatia, 408-730-7637, sdivatia@sunnyvale.ca.gov

WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The **Mitigated Negative Declaration**, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

This **Mitigated Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on December 8, 2014. Protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a **Mitigated Negative Declaration** will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

HEARING INFORMATION:

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

December 8, 2014 at 8:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

TOXIC SITE INFORMATION:

(No) listed toxic sites are present at the project location.

Circulated On 11/14/14

Signed: 
Gerri Caruso, Principal Planner

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 1 of 33

Project Title	Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
Lead Agency Name and Address	City of Sunnyvale P.O. Box 3707, Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707
Contact Person	Shétal Divatia
Phone Number	408-730-7637
Project Location	1100 N. Mathilda Avenue, Sunnyvale CA 94089
Applicant's Name	Keith Rodrigues & Partners
Zoning	MP-C
General Plan	Moffett Park Specific Plan
Other Public Agencies whose approval is required	None

BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed commercial development involves expansion of the existing 173-room hotel to a 342-room hotel. The expansion involves demolition of 63 rooms and construction of a new 9-story building with 232 rooms and 5,444 square feet of ballroom/conference facility; and construction of a new 4-level parking structure at the site. The existing restaurant, reception area and three 2-story buildings will continue to remain at the site. Associated improvements include modifications of existing surface parking areas, landscaping and installation of sidewalks on all three street frontages. The proposal includes request for a deviation from the required 15-foot wide landscaping frontage on Mathilda Avenue. The proposed expansion requires a Special Development Permit from the City of Sunnyvale.

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Surrounding Uses and Setting: The project site is located in the Moffett Park Specific Plan area at the northeast corner of Mathilda Avenue and Moffett Park Drive. The surrounding neighborhood has predominantly industrial, office R&D uses north of SR 237. The site is bound by Mathilda Avenue on its east, Moffett Park Drive on its south and Bordeaux Avenue on its east. The office R&D development site (known as Moffett Place) is currently under construction and includes 6 buildings, an amenities building and a parking structure is located on the north and east of the site. The site is in close proximity to SR237 westbound off-ramp to Mathilda Avenue and the intersection of SR237 and Mathilda Avenue. VTA's Light Rail line runs along Mathilda Avenue across from the site. Sunnyvale Fire Station 5 is located on south-west corner of Mathilda Avenue and Innovation Way which is about 2,000 feet north of the site.

On-site Development: The proposal includes demolition of a building with 63 rooms to be replaced by a new 9-story building with 232 rooms and 5,444 square feet of new ball room/conference facility. The existing parking area will be modified to include a new 3-level parking structure (166 parking spaces) facing Bordeaux Drive. The expanded hotel will include the existing three 2-story buildings, the 1-story 10,000-square foot restaurant building, the entrance lobby and the pool. The site has access from three streets - Mathilda Avenue on the east, Moffett Park Drive on the south and Bordeaux Drive on the east. The proposed 9-story building will be facing the corner of Moffett Park Drive and Bordeaux Drive and the new 3-level parking structure is located along the Bordeaux Drive frontage of the site.

Off-site Improvements: New sidewalks, curb cuts and street trees will be installed in the public right-of-way per City Standards on Mathilda Avenue, Moffett Park Drive and Bordeaux Drive. The site is served by

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 2 of 33

recycled water which will be utilized for landscaping. New utility lines would be undergrounded and standard water, sewer, right-of-way and utility upgrades will be provided as required by the Municipal code.

Construction Activities and Schedule: Construction activities include demolition, grading and construction of the new building and parking structure along with associated on-site and off-site improvements. The project will be subject to the Sunnyvale Municipal Code requirements for construction noise and hours of construction contained in Section 16.08.030.

Construction of the project is estimated to span 20 to 21 months. The proposed project may require installing concrete piles for the building foundations. Staff is concerned that this may disturb the surrounding neighbors and requires using a quieter method to install the piles. As a result, the project will be conditioned to not use piling driving, but to use alternative methods such as drill piles or vibrated-in-place piles, unless for structural or geotechnical reasons such methods are proven to be infeasible.

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
4. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
5. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
6. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
7. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
8. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
9. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geology/Soils | <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing | |

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE (see checklist for further information):

Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

☐ Yes
☒ No

Mandatory Findings of Significance? Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

☐ Yes
☒ No

Mandatory Findings of Significance? Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

☐ Yes
☒ No

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. ☐

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. ☒

I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. ☐

I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. ☐

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. ☐

Checklist Prepared By: Shétal Divatia

Date: 11/10/2014

Title: Senior Planner

City of Sunnyvale

Signature: 

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 6 of 33

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
1. Aesthetics -Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, historic buildings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map, Community Character and Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 and Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
2. Aesthetics -Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings including significant adverse visual changes to neighborhood character	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map, Community Character Chapter 4, and Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
3. Aesthetics -Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map, Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
4. Population and Housing - Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure) in a way that is inconsistent with the Sunnyvale General Plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map, and Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan. www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
5. Population and Housing -Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, Housing Chapter 5 of the Sunnyvale General Plan and General Plan Map. www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
6. Population and Housing -Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Housing Chapter 5 of the Sunnyvale General Plan and the General Plan Map. www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
7. Land Use Planning - Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
8. Land Use Planning conflict - With the Sunnyvale General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) area or related specific plan adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Title 19 (Zoning) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19&frames=off

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 7 of 33

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
9. Transportation and Traffic - Result in inadequate parking capacity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Parking Requirements (Section 19.46) in the Sunnyvale Municipal Code http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19-4-19_46&frames=off
10. For a project located the Moffett Field AICUZ or an airport land use plan, or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project is cleared by FAA (Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation), Moffett Field Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ), Sunnyvale Zoning Map, Sunnyvale General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
11. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	There are no private airstrips in or in the vicinity of Sunnyvale
12. For a project within the vicinity of Moffett Federal Airfield, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project is cleared by FAA (Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation), Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) Study Map
13. Agricultural Resources - Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale Zoning Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
14. Noise - Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the Noise Sub-Element, Noise limits in the Sunnyvale Municipal Code, or applicable standards of the California Building Code?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, SMC www.sunnyvaleplanning.com 19.42 Noise Ordinance http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19&frames=off Environmental Noise Assessment by Charles M Salter dated November 11, 2014.
15. Noise -Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Temporary- Construction Activity
16. Noise - A substantial permanent or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Environmental Noise Assessment by Charles M Salter dated November 11, 2014
17. Biological Resources - Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 8 of 33

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service?					
18. Biological Resources -Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
19. Biological Resources -Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
20. Biological Resources -Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMC 19.90 Tree Preservation Ordinance Sunnyvale Inventory of Heritage Trees Tree Inventory Report by Walter Levison dated 2/3/2014
21. Biological Resources -Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan and General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
22. Historic and Cultural Resources - Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource or a substantial adverse change in an archeological resource?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Community Character Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Sunnyvale Inventory or Heritage Resources The United States Secretary of the Interior's "Guidelines for Rehabilitation" Criteria of the National Register of Historic Places
23. Historic and Cultural Resources - Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5(b), CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(e). Project description.
24. Public Services - Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The following public school districts are located in the City of Sunnyvale: Fremont Union High School District,

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 9 of 33

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
new or expanded public schools, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable performance objectives?					Sunnyvale Elementary School District, Cupertino Union School District and Santa Clara Unified School District.
25. Air Quality - Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the BAAQMD air quality plan? How close is the use to a major road, hwy. or freeway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Sunnyvale Air Quality Sub-Element www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
26. Air Quality - Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds AB32 Project Climate Action Plan CEQA checklist Greenhouse Gas Emissions Assessment for the project done by Illingworth & Rodkin, Inc. dated 10/3/2014
27. Air Quality -Would the project conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of any agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Sunnyvale Climate Action Plan 2014 AB 32 Project Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist
28. Air Quality -Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Greenhouse Gas Emissions Assessment for the project done by Illingworth & Rodkin, Inc. dated 10/3/2014
29. Air Quality -Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
30. Air Quality -Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Environmental Management Chapter

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 10 of 33

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
					7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
31. Seismic Safety -Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
32. Seismic Safety - Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
33. Seismic Safety-Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
34. Seismic Safety-Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if “Less Than Significant” with or without mitigation:

1, 2, 3. Aesthetics (Less than Significant) – The proposal is to construct a 9-story building to replace an existing 2-story building. The height of the building to the top of the mechanical screen will be 130 feet and to the top of the 9th floor is 116 feet. This height will be comparable to the height of new office R&D buildings (Moffett Place) on the north and east of the site which are approximately 130' tall. The new parking structure would be 48 feet high measured to the top of the stairwell. There are no large trees on the site's Mathilda Avenue frontage, about 15 trees along the Moffett Park Drive frontage and about 20 trees along the Bordeaux Drive frontage which will be removed; thereby providing minimal screening of the buildings from the public streets until new tree plantings mature and provide screening. The proposed project would be comprehensively landscaped as required by the Zoning Ordinance (Title 19 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code) to soften the appearance of new and existing buildings at the site. The project will result in a change but is not expected to degrade the visual character of the site and its surroundings. The City does not have protected view sheds. The architectural design, massing/scale, and site layout are in general conformance with Moffett Park Specific Plan's Design Guidelines (Chapter 6). As a result, the impacts are expected to be less than significant.

14. Noise (Less than Significant with Mitigation t) – The project is located within 100 feet of SR237 and Mathilda Avenue. An Environmental Noise Assessment for the project was prepared by Charles M. Salter Associates Inc. dated November 11, 2014. The study is available for review at the City of Sunnyvale's Community Development Department Monday through Friday between 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Noise measurements were conducted at three locations at the project site. The study calculated a DNL of 66 DNL, 71 DNL and 74 DNL, which are considered “conditionally acceptable” for hotels as specified in the Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan. Policy SN-8.1 identifies the interior noise goal in hotels, due to exterior noise, as DNL 45 dB. Rated windows for the hotel would reduce the interior noise levels below DNL 45 dB.

The following mitigation measure has been included for the project to reduce the potential impact to a less than significant level.

MITIGATION

WHAT: To meet the City's DNL 45 dB indoor noise goal; it will be necessary to implement construction methods on the windows and walls to reduce noise transmission from the exterior of the building. Recommendations for the exact Sound Transmission Class (STC) ratings for windows and exterior doors should be determined for the project at the Building Permit stage of the project. The Environmental Noise Assessment recommends STC rating of 36 for the south and east facades and STC 28 on the north façade of the new building.

WHEN: All improvements shall be completed prior to occupancy

WHO: The developer for the project is responsible for completing the mitigation measure.

HOW: These measures will be included as a condition of approval of the project. The measures (STC rated windows) shall be clearly marked and demonstrated in the plans submitted for building permits and verified during the periodic inspection by the City building inspectors.

15. Noise (Less than Significant) - Construction Noise: The project site is in an R&D/Office neighborhood on its north and east, SR 237 is located on its south and single family residential on the opposite side of SR 237 (approximately 350 feet away). The project will introduce short-term and temporary additional sources of noise to the project area during construction. The proposed project may require installing concrete piles for the building foundations. Staff is concerned that this may disturb the surrounding neighbors and requires using a quieter method to install the piles. As a result, the project will be conditioned to not use piling driving, but to use alternative methods such as drill piles or vibrated-in-place piles, unless for structural or geotechnical reasons such methods are proven to be infeasible. Additionally construction noise would also be addressed through the City's implementation of the Municipal Code on noise regulations and hours of construction 9 Construction hours are limited to 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. on Monday through Friday; 8 a.m. to 5 p.m on Saturday and no construction on Sunday).

20. Biological Resources (Less than Significant) – A Tree Assessment and Recommendation for the 98 on-site trees at the site was prepared by Walter Levinson in January 2014. A total of 98 trees were included in the report; of which 73 trees are considered Protected Trees (tree trunk diameter greater than 12 inches or 38 inches in circumference), 34 trees are planned to be saved while 64 trees will be removed. A majority of the trees are located along the Moffett Park Drive and Bordeaux Drive frontage landscaping strip. The trees are being removed for a variety of reasons: parking lot reconfiguration, addition of sidewalks along the street frontages, poor health condition, or tree species not appropriate for recycled water (redwood trees). The project's conceptual landscape plan proposes replacement trees throughout the site including the parking lot and street frontages which will be more compatible with the altered site conditions. The project will be conditioned to plant replacement trees and meeting parking lot shading requirements as noted in the Code (SMC 19.37). Therefore, this is less than significant impact.

23. Historic and Cultural Resources (Less than Significant) – The project site has been previously graded and developed with buildings, landscaping, access driveways, and parking and loading areas. The proposed project includes grading and land disturbance for the new building and parking. Although there are no recorded archeological sites in the immediate area of the project site, there still remains the possibility of discovery of Native American artifacts and remains during grading since there are archeological sites in the greater vicinity. In the event of a discovery, project grading could result in potential disturbance of subsurface cultural resources, which would result in a significant impact unless mitigated. There are no surface historic resources

currently known to be on the project site. Although the discovery of cultural resources on this site is not anticipated, the following mitigation measure has been included in the project to reduce the potential impact to a less than significant level:

MITIGATION

- WHAT: 1) Prior to submittal of Building Permit plans, the individual project sponsor shall be required to contact the California Historical Resources Information Center (CHRIS) to determine whether the particular project is located in a sensitive area. Future development projects that the CHRIS determines may be located in a sensitive area – i.e., on or adjoining an identified archaeological site – shall proceed only after the project sponsor contracts with a qualified archaeologist to conduct a determination in regard to cultural values remaining on the site and warranted mitigation measures. Measure identified by the archeologist will be incorporated into the construction plans.
- 2) If a significant archaeological resource is identified during grading or construction, the City and project proponent shall seek to avoid damaging effects to the resource. Preservation in place to maintain the relationship between the artifact(s) and the archaeological context is the preferred manner of mitigating impacts to an archaeological site. Preservation may be accomplished by:
- Planning construction to avoid the archaeological site.
 - Incorporating the site within a green space; or other open space element;
 - Covering the site with a layer of chemically stable soil; or
 - Deeding the site into a permanent conservation easement.
- 3) When in-place mitigation is determined by the City to be infeasible, a data recovery plan, which makes provisions for adequate recovery of the scientifically consequential information about the site, shall be prepared and adopted prior to any additional excavation being undertaken. Such studies must be submitted to the California Historical Resources Regional Information Center. If Native American artifacts are indicated, the studies must also be submitted to the Native American Heritage Commission. Identified cultural resources shall be recorded on form DPR 422 (archaeological sites). Mitigation measures recommended by these two groups and required by the City shall be undertaken, if necessary, prior to resumption of construction activities.

A data recovery plan and data recovery shall not be required if the City determines that testing or studies already completed have adequately recovered the necessary data, provided that the data have already been documented in another EIR or are available for review at the California Historical Resource Information Center [CEQA Guidelines section 15126.4(b)].

Provide documentation that construction staff has been informed of the following requirement. In the event that subsurface cultural resources are otherwise encountered during approved ground-disturbing activities for a project area construction activity, work in the immediate vicinity shall be temporarily halted in the vicinity of the discovered materials and workers shall avoid altering the materials and their context until a qualified professional archaeologist has evaluated the situation and provided appropriate recommendations. Project personnel should not collect cultural resources. Native American resources include chert or obsidian flakes, projectile points, mortars, and pestles; and dark friable soil containing shell and bone dietary debris, heat-affected rock, or human burials. Historic-period resources include stone or adobe foundations or walls; structures and remains with square nails; and refuse deposits or bottle dumps, often located in old wells privies.

If human remains are found, special rules set forth in State Health and Safety Code section 7050.5 and CEQA Guidelines section 15126.4(b) shall apply.

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 13 of 33

WHEN: These mitigation measures shall be converted into conditions of approval prior to final approval by the Planning Commission. The conditions will become valid when the entitlement is approved. Conditions will be applicable during the grading and construction of this project.

WHO: The property owner is responsible for completing the mitigation measure.

HOW: The conditions of approval require these mitigation measures to be incorporated into the grading and construction plans.

27. Air Quality – Greenhouse Gases (No Impact) – A “Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist” was completed for the project, which provides further analysis related to project greenhouse gas emissions. The Checklist demonstrates conformance with the City’s adopted Climate Action Plan; therefore, the project is not expected to have an impact on greenhouse gas emissions.

Responsible
Division:

Planning Division

Completed
by:

Shétal Divatia

Date: 11/12/2014

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 14 of 33

Transportation	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
35. Exceeds the capacity of the existing circulation system, based on an applicable measure of effectiveness (as designated in a general plan policy, ordinance, etc.), taking into account all modes of transportation including nonmotorized travel and all relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian walkways, bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com</p> <p>Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/</p> <p>Traffic Impact Analysis for the project completed by Hatch Mott MacDonald dated October 24, 2014.</p>
36. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measurements, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>(Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com</p> <p>Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/</p> <p>Traffic Impact Analysis for the project completed by Hatch Mott MacDonald dated October 24, 2014.</p>
37. Results in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in air traffic levels or a change in flight patterns or location that results in substantial safety risks to vehicles, bicycles, or pedestrians?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>(Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com</p> <p>Project Description</p>
38. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>(Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com</p> <p>Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/</p> <p>Traffic Impact Analysis for the project completed by Hatch Mott MacDonald dated October 24, 2014.</p>

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 15 of 33

Transportation	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
39. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit or nonmotorized transportation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>(Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com</p> <p>Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/</p> <p>Traffic Impact Analysis for the project completed by Hatch Mott MacDonald dated October 24, 2014.</p>
40. Affect the multi-modal performance of the highway and/or street and/or rail and/or off road nonmotorized trail transportation facilities, in terms of structural, operational, or perception-based measures of effectiveness (e.g. quality of service for nonmotorized and transit modes)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>(Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com</p> <p>Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/</p> <p>Traffic Impact Analysis for the project completed by Hatch Mott MacDonald dated October 24, 2014.</p>
41. Reduce, sever, or eliminate pedestrian or bicycle circulation or access, or preclude future planned and approved bicycle or pedestrian circulation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com</p> <p>Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/</p> <p>City of Sunnyvale 2006 Bicycle Plan</p> <p>Traffic Impact Analysis for the project completed by Hatch Mott MacDonald dated October 24, 2014.</p> <p>Project Description and COAs</p>
42. Cause a degradation of the performance or availability of all transit including buses, light or heavy rail for people or goods movement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>(Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com</p> <p>Santa Clara Valley Transportation</p>

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 16 of 33

Transportation	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
					<p>Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/</p> <p>Traffic Impact Analysis for the project completed by Hatch Mott MacDonald dated October 24, 2014.</p>

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation

35, 36 and 38 Transportation – Less Than Significant with mitigation and Less Than Significant – A Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) has been prepared for the proposed project by Hatch Mott MacDonald dated October 21, 2014. The report notes that based on Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Handbook, the project (169 net new rooms and 2 ball rooms- 5,444 s.f. total) is estimated to generate 1,508 new daily trips, of which 113 trips will occur during the AM peak hour (7-9 am.) and 118 during the PM peak hour (4-6 p.m.). The study examined the project's peak hour trips on five intersections based on VTA guidelines. The study examines the project impact in three scenarios: Existing Plus Project, Background Plus Project, Cumulative Plus Project. The project had impacts under the Background plus Project and Cumulative Plus Project scenarios. The greatest impact is noted for Cumulative Plus Project condition with three of the five intersections impacted during peak hours. Based on City of Sunnyvale's and VTA's impact criteria the project is expected to have a significant impact at these three intersections:

1. Mathilda Ave/Moffett Park Drive – The addition of project traffic is projected to exacerbate the unacceptable Level of Service (LOS) F operations during AM and PM peak hours;
2. Mathilda Ave/SR 237 WB Ramp - The addition of project traffic is projected to exacerbate the unacceptable Level of Service (LOS) F operations during the PM peak hour;
3. Bordeaux Dr/Moffett Park Dr - The addition of project traffic is projected to deteriorate operations at the worst approach from LOS E to LOS F during the PM peak hour.

The report notes that improvements at the Mathilda Ave/SR 237 interchange are included in VTA's Valley Transportation Plan 2035 and would mitigate the impacts for the above noted three intersections. City of Sunnyvale's Traffic Impact Fee requires the project to contribute towards the cost of this improvement. This mitigation measure reduces the impact to less than significant level.

Mitigation Measure:

WHAT: The payment of Traffic Impact Fee to address the potential impacts on Sunnyvale's roadway system by increased traffic volumes associated with the proposed project.

WHEN: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit.

WHO: The project proponent/property owner will be solely responsible for implementation of this mitigation measure.

HOW: Payment of Traffic Impact Fee to the City of Sunnyvale.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Shétal Divatia

Date: 11/12/2014

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
 Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
 File No. 2013-7607
 Page 17 of 33

Building	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
43. Hydrology and Water Quality - Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map Effective 5/18/09 www.sunnyvaleplanning.com , California Building Code, Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
44. Hydrology and Water Quality - Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map Effective 5/18/09 www.sunnyvaleplanning.com , California Building Code, Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
45. Hydrology and Water Quality - Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1995 ABAG Dam Inundation Map www.abag.ca.gov , California Building Code, Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
46. Geology and Soils -Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale Municipal Code 12.60, Storm Water Quality Best Sunnyvale Management Practices Guideline Manual
47. Geology and Soils -Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, www.sunnyvaleplanning.com California Plumbing, Mechanical, and Electrical Codes and Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
48. Geology and Soils -Be located on expansive soil, as defined by the current building code, creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California Plumbing, Mechanical, and Electrical Codes and Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

Further Discussion if "Less than Significant" with or without mitigation: None required.

General Discussion: The California Building Code contains a series of building code requirements to address safety issues regarding seismic shaking, flooding, and soil types. In addition, Title 16.62 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code requires a series of measures for provisions to reduce flood-related hazards to buildings. These standards are suggested by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and required by code by the City of Sunnyvale. These standards must be met for a building permit to be issued.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Shétal Divatia

Date: 11/12/2014

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 18 of 33

Engineering	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
49. Utilities and Service Systems: Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
50. Utilities and Service Systems: Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
51. Utilities and Service Systems: Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
52. Utilities and Service Systems: Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
53. Utilities and Service Systems: Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
54. Utilities and Service Systems: Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
55. Hydrology and Water Quality - Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit www.waterboards.ca.gov

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 19 of 33

Engineering	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
56. Hydrology and Water Quality - Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit www.waterboards.ca.gov/m City of Sunnyvale Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
57. Hydrology and Water Quality - Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD) Groundwater Protection Ordinance www.valleywater.org
58. Hydrology and Water Quality - Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems in a manner which could create flooding or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit www.waterboards.ca.gov/m City of Sunnyvale Storm water Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
59. Hydrology and Water Quality - Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit www.waterboards.ca.gov/m City of Sunnyvale Storm water Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
60. Utilities and Service Systems: Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit www.waterboards.ca.gov/m City of Sunnyvale Storm water Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
61. Public Services Infrastructure? Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 20 of 33

Engineering	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?					

Further Discussion if “Less Than Significant” with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Shétal Divatia

Date: 11/12/2014

Public Safety	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
62. Public Services Police and Fire protection - Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
63. Public Services Police and Fire protection - Would the project result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California Building Code SMC Section 16.52 Fire Code

Further Discussion if “Less Than Significant” with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Shétal Divatia

Date: 11/12/2014

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 21 of 33

Public Safety – Hazardous Materials	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
64. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
65. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Title 20 of the City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code Environmental Info. Form completed by applicant
66. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sunnyvale Zoning Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
67. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	State of California Hazardous Waste and Substances Site List (Cortese List), Department of Toxic Substance Control.
68. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if “Less Than Significant” with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Shétal Divatia

Date: 11/12/2014

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist
 Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
 File No. 2013-7607
 Page 22 of 33

Community Services	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
69. Public Services Parks? Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
70. Recreation - Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
71. Recreation - Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Shétal Divatia

Date: 11/12/2014

Summary

This checklist identifies the minimum criteria a project must demonstrate to use the City's CAP for purposes of streamlining the analysis of greenhouse gas emissions under CEQA. Minimum criteria outlined below includes: 1) consistency with CAP forecasts, and 2) incorporation of applicable Near-Term (prior to 2016) strategies and measures from the CAP as binding and enforceable components of the project.

Section 1: Consistency with CAP Forecasts

The CAP's achievement of the 15% reduction below 2008 target is based on growth assumptions in the City's General Plan and regional growth forecasts. For eligibility to streamline from the CAP for purposes of an environmental analysis, projects must demonstrate consistency with CAP forecast assumptions using the criteria listed below. As appropriate, these criteria should be cited as evidence in any subsequent environmental document.

1A. Does the project include large stationary emissions sources that would be regulated by the Air District?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If **no**, then the project may be eligible to claim consistency with growth assumptions that were used for CAP modeling. Skip to question **1C** to determine consistency with CAP forecasts.

If **yes**, the project may trigger additional changes to the physical environment that were not considered in the CAP and would otherwise be regulated by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. Complete **1B**.

1B. If this project is a stationary source emitter as outlined under 1A, does it also include any of the following emissions sources?

Residential uses	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Commercial uses	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

If **no**, the project does not include any emissions sources that were assumed in CAP growth forecasts. Therefore, the project may trigger additional changes to the physical environment that were not considered in the CAP. CAP measures may be used to mitigate GHG emissions, but project-level analysis of GHG emissions using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CALEEMod) or another method must be prepared by a qualified air quality consultant.

If **yes**, the project may include emissions sources mitigated by the CAP. Therefore, any sources identified in 1B may be eligible to claim consistency with the CAP. All stationary sources regulated by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District shall be analyzed separately. Other sources that were analyzed in the CAP may still qualify for streamlining, should the project demonstrate consistency with the CAP as outlined in **1C** and following sections below.

1C. Does the project trigger an amendment to or adoption of any of the following planning documents?

General Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Specific Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Precise Plan for El Camino Real	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Please describe any amendments or adoption of new specific plans or special planning areas, as applicable:

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist - Climate Action Plan
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 24 of 33

NA

If **no**, then the project is eligible to claim consistency with growth assumptions that were used for CAP forecasts.

If **yes**, the project would trigger an amendment to or adoption of one or more of the documents list above, complete **1D** below.

1D. If the project triggers an amendment to the General Plan, specific plans, and/or special planning areas, complete the following table:

	Existing & Proposed Project			Proposed Project's Net Effect on Citywide Forecasts		
	Existing or Allowed Under Existing Zoning (A)	Proposed Project (B)	Net Change from Existing Zoning (C=B-A)	2020 CAP Forecast(D)	Proposed Project's Net Effect on Citywide 2020 Forecast (E = D+C)	Would Net Effect of Project Exceed the Citywide 2020 CAP Forecast?
Population				145,020		
Jobs				89,750		
Households / Dwelling Units				59,660		

Please describe any assumptions used to calculate existing, allowed, or proposed conditions:

NA

If **no for all indicators above**, then the project may be eligible to claim consistency with CAP growth assumptions. The project's assumed residents, employees, and households would not create a net increase on community-wide growth assumed in the CAP. The CAP uses these community-wide growth indicators to forecast community-wide emissions from residential energy use, nonresidential energy use, water-related emissions, and waste. Because the CAP uses these comparable indicators to forecast non-transportation related emissions, and the project would not exceed the CAP's assumed 2020 residents, employees, and dwelling units, the project's non-transportation emissions are therefore consistent with CAP growth assumptions and captured within the CAP's emissions forecast. Complete **1E** below.

*If **yes to one or more indicators above**, the proposed project's net effect on citywide 2020 forecasts would exceed the 2020 CAP forecast assumptions. Therefore, the project may trigger additional emissions not assumed in CAP growth forecasts.*

Any projects that exceed the 2020 forecasts may still rely on the CAP for identification of measures and standards for mitigation. However, since such projects exceed the assumptions of the CAP forecast, it is recommended that the project demonstrate anticipated project-level GHG emissions estimates using CALEEMod or another tool. (estimates prepared by consultant).

1E. If the project is consistent with CAP growth forecasts as identified in 1D above, provide the following information.

Would the project have a potentially significant impact after mitigation on any of the following standards of significance identified in the State CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G?

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, program, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
c) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

*If **yes to one or more standards above**, the proposed project's net effect on citywide 2020 forecasts is inconsistent with plans, programs, or policies that informed the assumptions for the 2020 transportation forecast. Therefore, the project is inconsistent with transportation emissions forecasts and is not eligible to claim consistency with the CAP for purposes of GHG emissions and impacts on climate change.*

*If **no for all standards above**, then the project is consistent with the plans, programs, policies, or ordinances that informed the travel demand model for the 2020 transportation forecast of the CAP. Therefore, the project is consistent with CAP growth assumptions for transportation emissions in the CAP and is eligible to claim consistency with CAP transportation forecasts.*

Section 2: Consistency with CAP Measures

The CAP provides measures that achieve a 15% reduction below 2008 emissions levels by 2020. Each of the measures contains a bulleted list of action items/project standards that help projects achieve that goal. Projects that wish to demonstrate consistency with the CAP must demonstrate consistency with all applicable measures and action items/project standards from the CAP. Consistency with all applicable measures should be cited as evidence to support tiering from the CAP.

2A. Using the action items/project standards identified on the following pages, identify all measures and action items/project standards that are applicable to the project. Identify applicability and project compliance with each action item/project standard.

If a project demonstrates all applicable mandatory standards, the project is eligible to claim consistency with CAP measures and is eligible for CAP streamlining.

ATTACHMENT 5

If a project does not integrate all applicable mandatory standards, the project is ineligible to claim consistency with CAP measures and is not eligible for CAP streamlining.

Additional voluntary measures may also be recommended. Projects inconsistent with growth forecasts should consider integrating all feasible voluntary and mandatory CAP measures.

***Standards for Climate Action Plan Consistency/Private Development
(Includes Near-Term Action Items and Action Items Already Implemented by the City)***

Applicable? (Yes or No)	Measure	Action Item/Project Standard	Describe whether standards are applicable and how the project demonstrates consistency with applicable standards
Yes	OS-2	Provide availability and access to outdoor space for recreation or social purposes, including access to public open spaces on privately owned property such as retail shopping centers	The project includes on-site recreation facilities for users.
Yes	OS-3.1	Continue to implement the City's Tree Preservation requirements.	The project would be subject to City requirements.
Yes	EC-2.2	Continue to require energy-efficient siting of buildings. Buildings should be oriented and landscape material should be selected to provide maximum energy efficiency for the buildings	The project would be subject to City requirements including Green Building Code requirements.
Yes	WC-2.3	Require new open space and street trees to be drought-tolerant	The landscape plans provide for trees and drought-tolerant vegetation and is subject to WELO
No	LW-2.1	Require multi-family homes to participate in the City's Multi-family Recycling Program	Not a residential project
Yes	LW-2.2	Select materials to be targeted for diversion methods, services or technologies based on the results of the Zero Waste Strategic Plan	The project is subject to the City requirements including the Zero Waste Strategic Plan
No	CA-1.7	Actively promote the use of alternative modes of transportation as safe modes of travel. When applicable, promote viable programs sponsored by 511.org, the BAAQMD and other recognized agencies on the City's website and publications	The project is not a large generator of peak hour trips; project is located in close vicinity of a Light Rail Station.

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist - Climate Action Plan

Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion

File No. 2013-7607

Page 27 of 33

Applicable? (Yes or No)	Measure	Action Item/Project Standard	Describe whether standards are applicable and how the project demonstrates consistency with applicable standards
No	CTO-1.1	Incorporate the provisions of AB 1358, the California Complete Streets Act of 2008, into roadway design, construction and maintenance activities	Project does not involve construction of public streets
No	CTO-1.2	Implement the street space allocation policy (RTC 8-085, April 28, 2009) in coordination with road reconstruction or resurfacing projects to provide road configurations that accommodate all travel modes.	Project does not involve construction of public streets
No	CTO-1.3	Require new development to provide cross-parcel access and linkages from the development entrance to the public sidewalk system, transit stops, nearby employment and shopping centers, schools, parks and other parcels for ease of pedestrian and cyclist access	The project provides common access and cross parcel access with adjacent office development to the north.
Yes	CTO-1.4	Improve pedestrian safety and comfort through design elements such as landscaped medians, pedestrian-level amenities, sidewalk improvements and compliance with ADA design standards, particularly for areas serving high volumes of traffic.	The project is subject to City requirements which includes installation of sidewalks for improved pedestrian travel; ADA req will be met through Building Code Req.
No	CTO-1.5	Improve bicycle facilities and perceptions of comfort through pavement marking/coloring, physical separation, specialized signs and markings and other design elements.	There is a bicycle lane on Moffett Park Drive, the project is encouraged to provide bicycle parking for its employees
Yes	CTO-1.6	Require sidewalks to be a minimum of 6 feet wide in order to allow side-by-side walking at identified locations that currently serve high pedestrian traffic volumes or locations planned to serve high volumes of pedestrian traffic.	The project would be subject to City requirements – 8' wide sidewalk along Mathilda Ave., and 6' wide along Moffett Park Drive and Bordeaux Dr.
Yes	CTO-2.1	Require public areas and new development to provide bicycle parking consistent with the VTA Bicycle Technical Guidelines, as amended.	Although no bicycle parking is required by city or VTA standards for the proposed use, it is encouraged to do so.
No	CTO-3.1	Continue sponsoring projects to provide transit rider amenities at bus stops and rail stations.	Not applicable.

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist - Climate Action Plan
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 28 of 33

Applicable? (Yes or No)	Measure	Action Item/Project Standard	Describe whether standards are applicable and how the project demonstrates consistency with applicable standards
No	CTO-4.1	Require existing and future major employers to utilize a variety of transportation demand management measures such as flexible work schedules, telecommuting, guaranteed rides home, low or no cost transit passes, parking "cash-out" incentives and other programs that provide employees with alternatives to single-occupant commutes.	Not applicable
Yes	EP-2.3	Prevent buildings and additions from shading more than 10% of roofs of other structures.	See project plans; proposed building would not shade more than 10% of structures on other properties and conforms with City Code requirement on Solar Access (SMC 19.56.020)
No	EP-2.3	Continue to allow and encourage solar facilities above paved parking areas.	NA but the City does have provisions in Title 19 of SMC to consider solar panels over parking areas.
Yes/No	OR-1.3	In project review, encourage the replacement of high-maintenance landscapes (like grass turf) with native vegetation to reduce the need for gas-powered lawn and garden equipment.	The project would reduce the amount of areas requiring landscaping and is subject to WELO.
Yes	OR-2.1	Idling times will be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to five minutes (as required by the California toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations [CCR]) or less. Clear signage will be provided at all access points to remind construction workers of idling restrictions.	Project would be subject to mitigation measures imposed by the City, which would likely include standard Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) recommended Best Management Practices for construction projects; these measures will also be included in the Conditions of Approval for the Project.
Yes	OR-2.2	Construction equipment must be maintained per manufacturer's specifications	
	OR-2.3	Planning and Building staff will work with project applicants from construction equipment by selecting one of the following measures, at a minimum, as appropriate to the construction project:	The project would be subject to City requirements as required to conform with BAAQMD guidelines/requirements during demolition and construction.
		a. Substitute electrified or hybrid equipment for diesel and gasoline powered equipment where practical	See above

ATTACHMENT 5

		b. Use alternatively fueled construction equipment on-site, where feasible, such as compressed natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), propane or biodiesel.	See above
		c. Avoid the use of on-site generators by connecting to grid electricity or utilizing solar-powered equipment.	See above
Yes		d. Limit heavy-duty equipment idling time to a period of three minutes or less, exceeding CARB regulation minimum requirements of five minutes.	See above

Section 3: Minimum Recommended Content for Environmental Analysis

Projects demonstrating consistency with the CAP should use the following table as a guide for preparation of environmental analysis. As appropriate, information on the preceding pages should be used to support the analysis:

	Greenhouse gas analysis topic	Minimum recommended content
1	Existing Settings	General - GHG emissions and effects of global climate change
2	Existing Settings	State - statewide inventory and forecasts
3	Existing Settings	Local - Summary of CAP inventory and forecasts
4	Regulatory Framework	Federal - Brief overview of context
5	Regulatory Framework	State - CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5 Tiering and Streamlining Analysis of GHGs - Summary of the streamlining provisions and whether they apply to the project, focusing on project components that aren't otherwise covered by streamlining
6	Regulatory Framework	State - regulations quantified and addressed in the CAP, including EO-S-3-05, AB 32, Climate Change Scoping Plan, Renewable Portfolios Standard (Senate Bill 1078, Governor's Order S-14-08, and California Renewable Portfolio Standards), Sustainable Communities Strategy, and California Building Energy Efficiency Standards
7	Regulatory Framework	Local – Bay Area Air Quality Management District
8	Regulatory Framework	Local - CAP, brief summary
9	Standards of Significance	CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G Standards

ATTACHMENT 5

Initial Study Checklist - Climate Action Plan
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 30 of 33

	Greenhouse gas analysis topic	Minimum recommended content
10	Standards of Significance	CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5 Tiering and Streamlining Analysis of GHGs
11	Standards of Significance	CAP and supplemental EIR guidance
12	Impacts	Identify findings of CAP supplemental EIR
13	Impacts	Finding: Provide findings of significance, streamlining by focusing on findings of CAP supplemental EIR.
14	Impacts	Projects that are consistent with CAP forecasts and measures should demonstrate the following: -Consistency with assumptions of CAP forecast, using tables and information from this guide -Incorporation of all applicable CAP measures as mitigations or as part of the project description -CAP finding that all such measures, on a citywide basis, lead to a less than significant impact
15	Impacts	Projects that are inconsistent with either CAP forecasts or CAP measures are not eligible for streamlining. While such projects may still incorporate elements identified above, they should also incorporate project-level GHG emissions modeling.

Responsible Division: Planning Division

Completed by: Shétal Divatia

Date: 11/12/2014

ENVIRONMENTAL SOURCES

Initial Study Checklist - Sources
 Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
 File No. 2013-7607
 Page 31 of 33

City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

Sunnyvale General Plan Consolidated in (2011)
generalplan.lnsunnyvale.com

- Community Vision
- Land Use and Transportation
- Community Character
- Housing
- Safety and Noise
- Environmental Management
- Appendix A: Implementation Plans
- Climate Action Plan (CAP)

City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code:

<http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=0&frames=off>

- Title 8 Health and Sanitation
- Title 9 Public Peace, Safety or Welfare
- Title 10 Vehicles and Traffic
- Title 12 Water and Sewers
- Chapter 12.60 Storm Water Management
- Title 13 Streets and Sidewalks
- Title 16 Buildings and Construction
 - Chapter 16.52 Fire Code
 - Chapter 16.54 Building Standards for Buildings Exceeding Seventy –Five Feet in Height
- Title 18 Subdivisions
- Title 19 Zoning
 - Chapter 19.28 Downtown Specific Plan District
 - Chapter 19.29 Moffett Park Specific plan District
 - Chapter 19.39 Green Building Regulations
 - Chapter 19.42 Operating Standards
 - Chapter 19.54 Wireless Telecommunication Facilities
 - Chapter 19.81 Streamside Development Review
 - Chapter 19.96 Heritage Preservation
- Title 20 Hazardous Materials

Specific Plans:

- Downtown Specific Plan
- El Camino Real Precise Plan
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit
- Moffett Park Specific Plan
- 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan
- Lakeside Specific Plan
- Arques Campus Specific Plan

Environmental Impact Reports:

- Futures Study Environmental Impact Report

- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental Impact Report
- Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact Study (supplemental)
- Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement Center Environmental Impact Report (City of Santa Clara)
- Downtown Development Program Environmental Impact Report
- Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact Report
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental Impact Report
- East Sunnyvale ITR General Plan Amendment EIR
- Palo Alto Medical Foundation Medical Clinic Project EIR
- Luminaire (Lawrence Station Road/Hwy 237 residential) EIR
- NASA Ames Development Plan Programmatic EIS
- Mary Avenue Overpass EIR
- Mathilda Avenue Bridge EIR

Maps:

- General Plan Map
- Zoning Map
- City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
- Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
- Santa Clara County Assessor's Parcel
- Utility Maps
- Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) Study Map
- 2010 Noise Conditions Map

Legislation / Acts / Bills / Resource Agency Codes and Permits:

- Subdivision Map Act
- San Francisco Bay Region
- Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit
- Santa Clara County Valley Water District Groundwater Protection Ordinance
- Section 404 of Clean Water Act

Lists / Inventories:

- Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
- Heritage Landmark Designation List
- Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory
- Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State of California)
- List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale
- USFWS / CA Dept. F&G Endangered and Threatened Animals of California

ENVIRONMENTAL SOURCES

Initial Study Checklist - Sources
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 32 of 33

<http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/TEAnimals.pdf>

- The Leaking Underground Petroleum Storage Tank List www.geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov
- The Federal EPA Superfund List www.epa.gov/region9/cleanup/california.html
- The Hazardous Waste and Substance Site List www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Cortese_List.cfm

Guidelines and Best Management Practices

- Storm Water Quality Best Management Practices Guidelines Manual 2007
- Sunnyvale Citywide Design Guidelines
- Sunnyvale Industrial Guidelines
- Sunnyvale Single-Family Design Techniques
- Sunnyvale Eichler Guidelines
- Blueprint for a Clean Bay
- Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD) Guidelines and Standards for Land Use Near Streams
- The United States Secretary of the Interior 's Guidelines for Rehabilitation
- Criteria of the National Register of Historic Places
- Santa Clara Valley Habitat Conservation Plan (under development, expected adoption date mid-2012)

Transportation:

- California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual
- California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
- California Department of Transportation Standard Plans & Standard Specifications
- Highway Capacity Manual
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Trip Generation Manual & Trip Generation Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Traffic Engineering Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Manual of Traffic Engineering Studies
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Transportation Planning Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Manual of Traffic Signal Design
- Institute of Transportation Engineers - Transportation and Land Development
- U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways & CA Supplements
- California Vehicle Code
- Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program and Technical Guidelines
- Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short Range Transit Plan

- Santa Clara County Transportation Plan
- Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division
- Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System
- Sunnyvale Zoning Ordinance – including Titles 10 & 13
- City of Sunnyvale General Plan – land Use and Transportation Element
- City of Sunnyvale Bicycle Plan (2006)
- City of Sunnyvale Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program
- Valley Transportation Authority Bicycle Technical Guidelines
- Valley Transportation Authority Community Design & Transportation – Manual of Best Practices for Integrating Transportation and Land Use
- Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan
- City of Sunnyvale Deficiency Plan
- AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets

Public Works:

- Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works
- Storm Drain Master Plan
- Sanitary Sewer Master Plan
- Water Master Plan
- Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara County
- Geotechnical Investigation Reports
- Engineering Division Project Files
- Subdivision and Parcel Map Files

Miscellaneous Agency Plans:

- ABAG Projections 2010
- Bay Area Clean Air Plan
- BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines

Building Safety:

- California Building Code,
- California Energy Code
- California Plumbing Code,
- California Mechanical Code,
- California Electrical Code
- California Fire Code
- Title 16.52 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 16.53 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 16.54 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 19 California Code of Regulations
- National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards

ENVIRONMENTAL SOURCES

File#: 862 11/14/2014

Initial Study Checklist - Sources
Sunnyvale Sheraton Hotel Expansion
File No. 2013-7607
Page 33 of 33

OTHER :

Project Specific Information

- Project Description
- Sunnyvale Project Environmental Information Form
- Project Development Plans dated 10/2/2014
- Project Traffic Impact Analysis dated 10/24/2014
- Project Noise Study dated 11/12/2014
- Project Green House Gas and Air Quality Analysis dated 10/3/2014
- Field Inspection
- Project construction schedule
- Project Draft Storm Water Management Plan
- Project Tree Inventory dated 1/30/2014
- Project Tree Preservation Plan
- Project LEED Checklist 2/4/14