

# Notice and Agenda - Revised Heritage Preservation Commission

Wednesday, October 6, 2021

7:00 PM

**Teleconference Meeting: City Web Stream** 

Meeting Online Link: https://sunnyvale-ca-gov.zoom.us/j/93323345826

### SPECIAL TELECONFERENCE NOTICE

Because of the COVID-19 emergency and the health orders issued by Santa Clara County and the State of California, this meeting of the Sunnyvale Heritage Preservation Commission will take place by teleconference, as allowed by Government Code Subdivision 54953 (e); pursuant to state law, the City Council is scheduled to make the necessary findings on October 26, 2021.

- Watch the Heritage Preservation Commission meeting at https://www.youtube.com/SunnyvaleMeetings
- Submit written comments to the Heritage Preservation Commission to HeritagePreservation@sunnyvale.ca.gov or by mail to Sunnyvale Planning Division, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94086-3707.
- Teleconference participation: You may provide audio public comment by connecting to the teleconference meeting online or by telephone. Use the Raise Hand feature to request to speak (\*9 on a telephone): Meeting online link: https://sunnyvale-ca-ov.zoom.us/j/93323345826

Meeting Online Link: https://sunnyvale-ca-gov.zoom.us/j/93323345826 Meeting call-in telephone number: 833-548-0276 | Meeting ID: 933 2334 5826

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Executive Order N-29-20, if you need special assistance to provide public comment, contact the City at least 2 hours prior to the meeting in order for the City to make reasonable alternative arrangements for you to communicate your comments. For other special assistance, please contact the City at least 48 hours prior to the meeting to enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting. The Planning Division may be reached at 408-730-7440 or at

planning@sunnyvale.ca.gov (28 CFR 35.160 (b) (1)).

### **CALL TO ORDER**

Call to Order via Teleconference

### **ROLL CALL**

### **ORAL COMMUNICATIONS**

This category provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the commission on items not listed on the agenda and is limited to 15 minutes (may be extended or continued after the public hearings/general business section of the agenda at the discretion of the Chair) with a maximum of up to three minutes per speaker. Please note the Brown Act (Open Meeting Law) does not allow commissioners to take action on an item not listed on the agenda. If you wish to address the commission, please complete a speaker card and give it to the Recording Secretary. Individuals are limited to one appearance during this section.

### **CONSENT CALENDAR**

**1.** <u>21-0942</u> Approve the Draft Heritage Preservation Commission Meeting Minutes of September 1, 2021

**Recommendation:** Approve the Draft Heritage Preservation Commission Meeting Minutes of September 1, 2021, as submitted.

### **PUBLIC HEARINGS/GENERAL BUSINESS**

If you wish to speak to a study issue item, please refer to the notice at the beginning of this agenda. Each speaker is limited to a maximum of three minutes.

21-0941 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Historical Resources
 Training

### STANDING ITEM: CONSIDERATION OF POTENTIAL STUDY ISSUES

### **NON-AGENDA ITEMS & COMMENTS**

-Commissioner Comments

### INFORMATION ONLY REPORTS/ITEMS

### **ADJOURNMENT**

### Notice to the Public:

Any agenda related writings or documents on this agenda distributed to members of the Heritage Preservation Commission are available by contacting the Planning Division at planning@sunnyvale.ca.gov. Agendas and associated reports are also available at sunnyvaleca.legistar.com/calendar.aspx 72 hours before the meeting.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that if you file a lawsuit challenging any final decision on any public hearing item listed in this agenda, the issues in the lawsuit may be limited to the issues which were raised at the public hearing or presented in writing to the City at or before the public hearing.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Code of Civil Procedure section 1094.6 imposes a 90-day deadline for the filing of any lawsuit challenging final action on an agenda item which is subject to Code of Civil Procedure section 1094.5. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), if you need special assistance in this meeting, please see the notice at the beginning of this agenda.



## Agenda Item

**21-0942** Agenda Date: 10/6/2021

### **SUBJECT**

Approve the Draft Heritage Preservation Commission Meeting Minutes of September 1, 2021

### **RECOMMENDATION**

Approve the Draft Heritage Preservation Commission Meeting Minutes of September 1, 2021, as submitted.



# Meeting Minutes - Draft Heritage Preservation Commission

Wednesday, September 1, 2021

7:00 PM

**Teleconference Meeting: City Web** 

Stream

#### SPECIAL TELECONFERENCE NOTICE

### **CALL TO ORDER**

Chair Hopkins called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM.

Present: 7 - Chair Dawn Hopkins

Vice Chair Shanna Gaudenti Commissioner Jenny Bratton Commissioner Jilian Cadouri Commissioner Steve Caroompas Commissioner Pamela Sharma

Commissioner David Wu

### **ROLL CALL**

Pursuant to Section 3 of Executive Order N-29-20 (March 17, 2020) and Section 42 of Executive Order N-08-21 (June 11, 2021), issued by Governor Newsom, the meeting was conducted telephonically.

Chair Hopkins called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM.

Present: 6 - Chair Dawn Hopkins

Vice Chair Shanna Gaudenti Commissioner Jenny Bratton Commissioner Steve Caroompas Commissioner Pamela Sharma

Commissioner David Wu

Absent: 1 - Commissioner Jilian Cadouri

### **ORAL COMMUNICATIONS**

None.

### **CONSENT CALENDAR**

1. 21-0869 Approve the Draft Special Heritage Preservation Commission Meeting

Minutes of July 7, 2021

Commissioner Bratton moved and Commissioner Sharma seconded the motion to approve the Draft Special Heritage Preservation Commission Meeting Minutes of July 7, 2021, as submitted.

Commissioner Wu was not available during this motion.

Motion carried as follows:

Yes: 5 - Chair Hopkins

Vice Chair Gaudenti Commissioner Bratton Commissioner Caroompas Commissioner Sharma

**No**: 0

Absent: 2 - Commissioner Cadouri

Commissioner Wu

### **PUBLIC HEARINGS/GENERAL BUSINESS**

### 2. 21-0799 Proposed Project:

**RESOURCE ALTERATION PERMIT** to allow a 250 square foot covered patio attached to an existing two-story single-family home, resulting in 5,594 square feet (4,768 square feet living area and 576 square feet garage unchanged) and 38% floor area ratio (FAR). The project includes a 14 square foot bay window, exterior stairs, a 393 square feet exterior deck, and replacement of doors and windows.

**Location**: 1029 Ranere Ct. (APN: 201-03-044)

File #: 2021-7340

**Zoning:** R-0 (Low Density Residential)

Applicant / Owner: Kohlsaat and Associates Architecture (applicant) /

Derek F Iwamoto and Tracia Chan (owner)

**Environmental Review:** A Class 3 categorical exemption relieves this project from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) provisions.

Project Planner: Mary Jeyaprakash, (408) 730-7637,

mjeyaprakash@sunnyvale.ca.gov

Senior Planner George Schroeder provided a brief summary and Project Planner Mary Jeyaprakash continued to present the report. She noted that there were no public comments after the public noticing was mailed.

Chair Hopkins asked the Commissioners if they had any questions for staff.

Commissioner Sharma asked staff to clarify the approval and construction timeline and Ms. Jeyaprakash responded that it could take six months until construction.

Commissioner Caroompas asked staff to clarify the proposed changes to the front elevation and Ms. Jeyaprakash replied that Page 5 of Attachment 5 shows a proposal to the front entry including a trellis, bay window, a new exterior stairway, and a stucco wall replacing the wood fence.

Commissioner Sharma asked to clarify the location of the front door and Ms. Jeyaprakash replied that the door is in the same location however the stairs are facing the street with the proposed modifications.

Commissioner Caroompas noted that he likes the proposal.

Applicant Gary Kohlsaat described the proposal with the Site and Architectural Plans (Attachment 5 of the report).

Commissioner Caroompas would like to see the inside of the house from a usability standpoint as he likes the exterior design but noted the bay window does not match the architectural design. Mr. Kohlsaat responded that bay windows are common during the era of the original design and adds to the character. He also noted that the historical evaluation report provided by Leslie A. G. Dill, Historic Architect supports the addition of the bay window. Ms. Jeyaprakash added that the historical evaluation report notes that the bay window is compatible yet different.

Commissioner Bratton and Commissioner Sharma also agreed that the bay window is compatible in the architectural style as noted in the historical evaluation report.

Chair Hopkins opened the public hearing.

No members of the public were present to speak.

Commissioner Caroompas asked if the Commission can comment on the interior of the home.

Commissioner Sharma noted her admiration of the design.

Chair Hopkins closed the public hearing.

### MOTION:

Commissioner Caroompas moved and Commissioner Bratton seconded to approve alternative 1: Approve the Resource Alteration Permit based on the Findings in Attachment 3 and subject to the Conditions of Approval in Attachment 4.

The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes: 6 - Chair Hopkins

Vice Chair Gaudenti
Commissioner Bratton

Commissioner Caroompas
Commissioner Sharma

Commissioner Wu

**No**: 0

Absent: 1 - Commissioner Cadouri

3. 21-0865 CEQA and Historical Resources Training

Commissioner Bratton moved and Commissioner Caroompas seconded to continue the CEQA and Historical Resources Training to a date uncertain.

Motion carried as follows:

Yes: 6 - Chair Hopkins

Vice Chair Gaudenti Commissioner Bratton Commissioner Caroompas Commissioner Sharma

Commissioner Wu

**No**: 0

Absent: 1 - Commissioner Cadouri

### STANDING ITEM: CONSIDERATION OF POTENTIAL STUDY ISSUES

Commissioner Sharma proposed a study issue to document the oral history of long time residents with over 25 years of residency.

Chair Hopkins asked staff to check if this has been created in the past.

Commissioner Wu spoke about the Murphy Family and in their orchards were at least 10 percent Chinese farm workers.

Commissioner Sharma noted that this proposal could include an interactive display at the Sunnyvale Historical Museum.

Mr. Schroeder noted that a Board and Commissioner Study Issue form should be filled out and staff would draft a study issue proposal.

Commissioner Wu provided his experience with Study Issue 19-04: Update to the Historical Context Statement to Include Historical Contributions Made by Asian Americans and Other Minority Groups. He noted that it may be a better idea to combine Commissioner Sharma's proposal. Commissioner Caroompas agreed with the idea to combine study issues.

Commissioner Sharma noted the importance of her proposed study issue.

Chair Hopkins noted that Commissioner Sharma's study issue and Commissioner Wu's study issue should be two separate study issues.

Commissioner Caroompas noted that he would recommend combining the study issues and Commissioner Sharma agreed that the larger scope of the study issue may be beneficial to capture different cultural backgrounds.

Commissioner Caroompas asked staff if its possible to outreach for sponsorship for funding from private companies and Mr. Schroeder responded that City Council would need to approve this and if its sponsored by City Council then the funding will be determined at that time.

Chair Hopkins asked staff to clarify if approval and ranking is needed to an existing study issue (CDD 19-04) if it is amended and Mr. Schroeder recommended to keep the study issues separate as the prior study issue has been approved and ranked.

Commissioner Sharma elaborated her proposal for a new study issue to capture an oral history of longtime Sunnyvale residents.

Commissioner Bratton noted that this proposal is a good idea and that perhaps the museum or library may have a similar project or study.

Commissioner Caroompas noted that he is also a coach of Sunnyvale Little League, which has been around since 1958. He asked how he could nominate baseball fields at Serra Park and De Anza Park to be a Heritage Resources to commemorate Sunnyvale Little League. Commissioners discussed this as a possible study issue with staff.

Mr. Schroeder noted that this idea may be in the Parks and Recreation Commission's purview since it is located within a public park and he noted that he is unaware of any public parks in Sunnyvale as a Heritage Resource.

### **NON-AGENDA ITEMS & COMMENTS**

### -Commissioner Comments

Commissioner Bratton brought up the possibility of conducting a walking tour of Heritage Resources such as the Historic Murphy District. She also noted that maybe another future meeting could be at the Sunnyvale Heritage Park.

### **INFORMATION ONLY REPORTS/ITEMS**

Mr. Schroeder noted that Sarosh Patel was recently appointed as a Heritage Preservation Commissioner and will be joining the Commission soon.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

Chair Hopkins adjourned the meeting at 8:38 PM.



# Agenda Item

**21-0941** Agenda Date: 10/6/2021

**CEQA** and Historical Resources Training