

RESPONSES TO COUNCIL QUESTIONS RE: 9/15/15 AGENDA

CONSENT CALENDAR:

Item 1.E.

The left column at the bottom of page 3 of 4 is cut off. Could you please provide a copy of the table with the full text.

Staff Response: See attached document.

PUBLIC HEARING/GENERAL BUSINESS:

Item 4

If the proposed permit is valid in perpetuity, as mentioned at the PC hearing, would future changes to the regulations apply to existing permit holders? How would the city ensure it has a host's up-to-date contact information after 5 to 10 years? Would it help to require periodic renewal of the permit so that inactive permits can be cleared from the city's list?

Staff Response: To apply future changes to the ordinance on uses that may have already received a prior Director's Approval, staff suggests that instead of a renewal process, we could add the following phase at the end Section 19.76.040(5): "as amended from time to time." Staff would then notify all approvals on record of any future ordinance changes. Also, in the application material, we will require the resident to notify us of any changes in their contact information. Periodically, we can also send out notices to approvals on record to determine if they are still actively renting out their house.

Anecdotally, we're hearing that a significant number of Sunnyvale homeowners appear to be preparing to leave the area during Superbowl 50 and rent out their homes for the duration. If we go with staff recommendation, aren't we making this illegal, since those arrangements will be unhosted?

Staff Response: Currently, the City's zoning code prohibits rentals of 30 consecutive days or less in residential districts. The purpose of the proposed ordinance is to allow short-term rentals under certain circumstances. Hosted requires the resident to be on the premises during the rentals. Planning Commission has recommended allowing unhosted with some additional provisions on occupancy limit and contact information during rental periods which are outlined in the staff report. Members of the public have raised concerns that unhosted could create more neighborhood impacts and reduce the supply of long-term rentals, while others have advocated for allowing unhosted, so staff is trying to balance these concerns. Staff's approach is perhaps more cautious, i.e. allow hosted first and possibly expand to unhosted at a later time.

**BUDGET MODIFICATION NO. 5
FISCAL YEAR 2015/2016**

	<u>Current</u>	<u>Increase (Decrease)</u>	<u>Revised</u>
SMaRT Station Fund – Equipment Replacement Sub-fund			
<u>Revenues:</u>			
Contribution from Mountain View	\$64,488	\$7,334	\$71,822
Contribution from Palo Alto	\$58,493	\$4,259	\$62,752
Contribution from Sunnyvale	\$152,020	\$12,066	\$164,086
<u>Expenditures:</u>			
Project 831220 – Structural Stormwater BMOs, SMaRT Station	\$105,865	\$23,659	\$129,524
Solid Waste Management Fund			
<u>Expenditures</u>			
Project 831210 – Structural Stormwater BMPs, Concrete Recycling Facility	\$74,983	(\$74,983)	\$0
<u>Transfers</u>			
Transfer Out to SMaRT Station Equipment Replacement Fund	\$152,020	\$12,066	\$164,086
<u>Reserves</u>			
<u>Rate Stabilization Reserve</u>	\$2,755,162	\$62,917	\$2,818,079