CDD 14-01 Explore the Use of Stacker and Tandem Parking Spaces to meet Parking Requirements

Lead Department Community Development

Sponsor(s) Griffith and Martin-Milius

History

1 year ago:

2 years ago:

1. Scope of the Study

a. What are the key elements of the study?

There are no specific City regulations and policies that address the use of stacker or tandem parking spaces. Stacker parking is a variant of tandem parking. Stackers are vertically stacked, and traditional tandem spaces are horizontally configured (one behind the other). With the exception of mobile homes and single-family driveway aprons the zoning code parking regulations do not allow the use of tandem parking spaces to satisfy the parking requirement for a site. Tandem or stacker spaces are allowed provided they are in addition to required parking spaces. The prohibition is due to the difficulties in using the interior spaces (the outside vehicle has to be moved first). A similar issue exists for stackers where the lower car may need to be pulled out before the upper vehicle can be used. It may be appropriate to allow stacker parking spaces as well as tandem parking spaces in certain zoning districts or types of development.

The study would include:

- Review of current parking stall requirements in residential developments
- Survey of projects using stackers and tandem spaces
- Survey of standards from other cities that allow stackers and tandem spaces to satisfy required parking.
- Proposed parking management policies for using stackers and tandem spaces
- Consider allowing stackers/tandem spaces based on zoning or geography (e.g. high density residential, Downtown, Lawrence Station) or only in projects that provide affordable housing options
- Community outreach
- b. What precipitated this study?

The City has received applications for higher density residential developments in the Downtown requesting the use of parking stackers to meet project parking requirements. These requests are an outcome of the increasing values of residential land and the desire to achieve higher unit counts.

c. Is this a multiple year project? No Planned Completion Year 2014

2. Fiscal Impact

a. Cost to Conduct Study

i. Level of staff effort required (opportunity cost)

☐ Major ☐ Moderate ☐ Minor

ii. Amount of funding above current budget required \$0

					ΛTT	ACHMEI
		☐ Will seek budget supplem	ent	☐ Will seek	grant funding	~~
		iii. Explanation of Cost:				
	b.	Costs to Implement Study Results No cost to implement. Unknown. Study would include asse Some cost to implement. Explanation		of potential co	osts.	
3.	Ex	xpected participation in the process ☐Council-approved work plan ☐Council Study Session ☐Board/Commission Review by Planr	ning Con	nmission		
4.		taff Recommendation Position: Support				
	b.	Explanation: Tandem and stacked park city, such as those well served by trans vehicles that are used less frequently be in areas well served by transit, or close jobs.	sit. This out perha	option may all aps not on a ro	ow household outine basis, e	ls to park especially
4		-du 5/15/13	Approve / City Maf	yan te		7673
			1	~		

of 2