

Agenda Item

15-0780

Agenda Date: 1/29/2016

2016 COUNCIL STUDY ISSUE

<u>NUMBER</u>

DPW 16-02

<u>TITLE</u> Determine what is required to bring City owned off-street paths in compliance with current ADA accessible & bicycle transportation design standards.

BACKGROUND

Lead Department: Department of Public Works

Sponsor(s):

Board/Commission: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission

History:

1 year ago: N/A 2 years ago: N/A

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

What are the key elements of the study?

The purpose of this study would be to survey and investigate whether City owned off-street paths are in compliance with the current ADA accessible and bicycle transportation design standards set forth in the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD) and Caltrans Highway Design Manual (HDM). The study would have specific action items and conceptual costs to bring them into compliance.

What precipitated this study?

BPAC is concerned that many of the City owned off-street paths are not very user friendly because they may not be in compliance with recent traffic industry standards. The paths not being up to standard may also pose safety issues for bicyclists and pedestrians using these facilities.

Planned Completion Year: 2017

FISCAL IMPACT

Cost to Conduct Study

Level of staff effort required (opportunity cost): [Major/Moderate/Minor]

Amount of funding above current budget required: \$ [or enter \$0 if total expected funding is \$0]

Funding Source: [(select one) Will seek budget supplement or Will seek grant funding]

Explanation of Cost:

15-0780

[Briefly explain the cost of study; including impact or workload and how any additional dollars will be used. Describe the level of complexity that will be required in order to complete a thorough, professional examination of the study issue and any effect this examination may have on existing workload and service level responsibilities.]

Cost to Implement Study Results

[(Select one) "No cost to implement.", "Unknown. Study would include assessment of potential costs.", "Some cost to implement."]

Explanation of Cost: [If there is some cost to implement, briefly explain potential costs of implementing study results. Note estimated capital and operating costs, as well as revenue/savings, include dollar amounts. If there is no cost to implement, delete this section.]

EXPECTED PARTICIPATION IN THE PROCESS

Council-approved work plan: [Yes/No] Council Study Session: [Yes/No] Reviewed by Boards/Commissions: [identify the B/Cs, full name, no acronyms]

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Position: [Support/Drop/Defer/None]

Explanation: [Explain the staff recommendation position.]

[If additional departments support this paper, include those who need to review below and add to Legistar ATS sequence.]

Prepared By: [Name], [Title] Reviewed By: [Name], Director, [Department] Reviewed by: Jane Chambers, Interim Assistant City Manager Approved By: Deanna J. Santana, City Manager