

2017 COUNCIL STUDY ISSUE

NUMBER

..Title

DPW 17 - 03

TITLE Update Mathilda Avenue Plan Line north of Washington Avenue

..

BACKGROUND

Lead Department: Public Works

Support Department(s): N/A

Sponsor(s):

Board/Commission: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission

History:

1 year ago: N/A

2 years ago: N/A

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

What are the key elements of the study?

In September 2015, Council approved a new plan line for Mathilda Avenue from El Camino Real to Washington Avenue. A plan line allows for the City to designate future build-out of roadway segments by delineating planned right-of-way. The plan line south of Washington Avenue was widened to specifically accommodate bike lanes and wider pedestrian facilities. This study would investigate the feasibility of updating the Mathilda Plan line north of Washington Avenue to the northerly City limit to take into account all users of the roadway as did the approved plan line south of Washington Avenue.

What precipitated this study?

BPAC would like to update the plan line north of Washington as it was approved by the City in 1960 and did not consider all users of the roadway. An updated plan line north of Washington Avenue would then provide a comprehensive multimodal Mathilda Avenue plan line from El Camino to the northerly City limit.

Planned Completion Year: 2019

FISCAL IMPACT

Cost to Conduct Study

Level of staff effort required (opportunity cost): [Major/Moderate/Minor]

Amount of funding above current budget required: \$ [or enter \$0 if total expected funding is \$0]

Funding Source: [(select one) Will seek budget supplement or Will seek grant funding]

Explanation of Cost:

[Briefly explain the cost of study; including impact or workload and how any additional dollars will be used. Describe the level of complexity that will be required in order to complete a thorough, professional examination of the study issue and any effect this examination may have on existing workload and service level responsibilities.]

Cost to Implement Study Results

[(Select one) “No cost to implement.”, “Unknown. Study would include assessment of potential costs.”, “Some cost to implement.”]

Explanation of Cost: [If there is some cost to implement, briefly explain potential costs of implementing study results. Note estimated capital and operating costs, as well as revenue/savings, include dollar amounts. If there is no cost to implement, delete this section.]

EXPECTED PARTICIPATION IN THE PROCESS

Council-approved work plan: [Yes/No]

Council Study Session: [Yes/No]

Reviewed by Boards/Commissions: [identify the B/Cs, full name, no acronyms]

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Position: [Support/Drop/Defer/None]

Explanation: [Explain the staff recommendation position.]

[If additional departments support this paper, include those who need to review below and add to Legistar ATS sequence.]

Prepared By: [Name], [Title]

Reviewed By: [Name], Director, [Department]

Reviewed By: Walter C. Rossmann, Assistant City Manager

Approved By: Deanna J. Santana, City Manager