

2017 COUNCIL STUDY ISSUE

NUMBER

..Title

DPW 17 - 04

TITLE Update Bike Plan every five years

..

BACKGROUND

Lead Department: Public Works

Support Department(s): N/A

Sponsor(s):

Board/Commission: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission

History:

1 year ago: N/A

2 years ago: N/A

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

What are the key elements of the study?

This study would investigate the feasibility of updating the City's Bike Plan every five years instead of every ten years.

What precipitated this study?

BPAC feels updating the Bike Plan every five years instead of ten years would help the City keep track of what bicycle projects have been completed to date. In addition, updating a Bike Plan every five years would aid in making any necessary course corrections sooner rather than later, and will also facilitate city achieving a higher level designation in the League of American Bicyclists – Bicycle Friendly Communities.

Planned Completion Year: 2018

FISCAL IMPACT

Cost to Conduct Study

Level of staff effort required (opportunity cost): [Major/Moderate/Minor]

Amount of funding above current budget required: \$ [or enter \$0 if total expected funding is \$0]

Funding Source: [(select one) Will seek budget supplement or Will seek grant funding]

Explanation of Cost:

[Briefly explain the cost of study; including impact or workload and how any additional dollars will be used. Describe the level of complexity that will be

required in order to complete a thorough, professional examination of the study issue and any effect this examination may have on existing workload and service level responsibilities.]

Cost to Implement Study Results

[(Select one) "No cost to implement.", "Unknown. Study would include assessment of potential costs.", "Some cost to implement."]

Explanation of Cost: [If there is some cost to implement, briefly explain potential costs of implementing study results. Note estimated capital and operating costs, as well as revenue/savings, include dollar amounts. If there is no cost to implement, delete this section.]

EXPECTED PARTICIPATION IN THE PROCESS

Council-approved work plan: [Yes/No]

Council Study Session: [Yes/No]

Reviewed by Boards/Commissions: [identify the B/Cs, full name, no acronyms]

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Position: [Support/Drop/Defer/None]

Explanation: [Explain the staff recommendation position.]

[If additional departments support this paper, include those who need to review below and add to Legistar ATS sequence.]

Prepared By: [Name], [Title]

Reviewed By: [Name], Director, [Department]

Reviewed By: Walter C. Rossmann, Assistant City Manager

Approved By: Deanna J. Santana, City Manager