

Peery Park Specific Plan Summary of Comments Received on the Plan

The comments listed below have been summarized from letters received by the Planning Division during the public review period. The detailed letters and comments can be found in their entirety in the Final Environmental Impact Report document for the Peery Park Specific Plan.

If comments were made by several community members a number is shown at the end of the comment to reflect how many of that comment the City received.

From Outside Agencies

1. Valley Transportation Authority
 - a. Support for the Activity Centers and allowing retail throughout the plan area which will help to reduce trips and greenhouse gas emissions.
 - b. Support for the proposed pedestrian connectivity improvements throughout the plan area.
 - i. Recommendation that additional new crosswalks be identified beyond those shown in Figure 4.3.3.B (along Mathilda & Maude) along some of the interior streets.
 - ii. Recommends that the Implementation Book of the PPSP clarify and strengthen the process to require future development projects to include pedestrian improvements and address how funding will be identified to close gaps in the pedestrian network in areas where new development is less likely to occur in the near-term.
 - c. Support for the TDM goals of 20-35%.
 - d. Support for the creation of the TMA and required membership for new development projects.
2. Mountain View:
 - a. Request that the PPSP discuss/address recommendations included in the 2015 Mountain View Bicycle Transportation Plan.
 - b. Request for clarification on what level and type of development projects will trigger TDM plan requirement.

From Community Members and Stakeholders

1. Plan does not do enough to address Sunnyvale's housing shortage. x7
2. Support for a plan that relaxes zoning standards for residential areas:
 - a. Increased height allowances; and
 - b. Reduced parking requirements.
3. Allow for mixed use (residential) zoning.
4. Support for the potential pedestrian connections at the end of Duane and Ferndale.
5. Concern about "high rise" condominiums in the neighborhood transition area. x3
6. Construction concerns for the SNAIL neighborhood (dust pollution, noise, etc). x4
7. Opposition to the potential pedestrian/bike connections at the end of Ferndale and/or Duane. x14 (Additionally, signature sheets with 25-35 signatures.)
8. Concern over people parking in the SNAIL neighborhood (and assoc. traffic) from the new development along San Aleso. x7 (related to the opening in the wall)
9. Support of green building and sustainability.

10. The plan does not allow for enough growth or net new sq. ft. in relation to the quantity of projects that have already been submitted.
11. Removal of the 4 floor, 60' height limit along Mathilda at the southern portion of the PPSP boundary to increase the height on those parcels to 6 floors, 88'.
12. Removal of the maximum building length requirement of 300' in the Innovation Edge. x2
13. Addition of exclusions to overall parking structure height to include elevator enclosures.
14. Modification to the Street Facing Upper Setback for buildings exceeding 4 stories and 60 feet to require the 65' setback from the street and not the base of the building.
15. Clarification on how the Special Building Height Limits apply to the neighborhood transition area.
16. Reduction in the minimum parking requirement from 3.3:1,000 sq. ft. to 3:1,000 sq. ft.
17. Opposition of the residential (as proposed) in the neighborhood transition area.
18. Support for the requirements for heavy landscaping and a 6-foot wall to separate residents from the PPSP area (2.5.1).
 - a. Support for the addition of standards to require landscaping on the east side of Mathilda Ave and in the center divider to buffer the residents from the new development in the PPSP. Also, support the hiring of a landscape architect to do this planning work.
 - b. Would like extensions of the existing 6-foot wall to go the length of the SNAIL boundary.
19. Removal of Policy Goal #1 (Creating More Employment).
20. Request for an allowance for taller building heights (40 feet max) in the Neighborhood Transition area based on increased green building features.
21. Clarify the required planting strip width for San Aleso Avenue. Page 38 says 6', page 131 says 5'.
22. Clarify how the PPSP goals & policies apply to the Neighborhood Transition area.