County of Santa Clara
Office of the County Clerk-Recorder
Business Division

County Government Center 70 West Hedding Street, E. Wing, 1st Floor San Jose, California 95110 (408) 299-5688



Santa Clara County - Clerk-Recorder Office State of California

File Number: ENV20655

ENVIRONMENTAL FILING No. of Pages: 35 Total Fees: \$0.00

File Date: 02/17/2017 Expires: 03/08/2017

REGINA ALCOMENDRAS, Clerk-Recorder

By: Mike Louie, Deputy Clerk-Recorder

CEQA DOCUMENT DECLARATION

8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$	ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE RECEIPT				
2. PROJECT TITLE: Six Unit Development at 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Road 3. APPLICANT NAME: Innovative Concepts/ George Nejat PHONE: 408-985-1078 4. APPLICANT ADDRESS: 669 Old San Francisco Rd., Sunnyvale, CA 94086 5. PROJECT APPLICANT IS A: Local Public Agency School District Other Special District State Agency Private Entity 6. NOTICE TO BE POSTED FOR 20 DAYS. 7. CLASSIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT a. PROJECTS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO DFG FEES 1. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21152) \$ 3,078.25 \$ 0.00 2. NEGATIVE DECLARATION (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21080(C) \$ 2,216.25 \$ 0.00 3. APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD ONLY) \$ 850.00 \$ 0.00 4. PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS \$ 1,046.50 \$ 0.00 5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 6. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILLING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILLDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT! PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILLING FEE FOR THE "SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) 2. DOCUMENT TYPE: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT DREGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 3. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES NOTICE OF PREPARATION ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT DREGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 3. NOTICE OF PREPARATION ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT DREGATIVE FEES NOTIC	PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:				
2. PROJECT TITLE: Six Unit Development at 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Road 3. APPLICANT NAME: Innovative Concepts/ George Nejat PHONE: 408-985-1078 4. APPLICANT ADDRESS: 669 Old San Francisco Rd., Sunnyvale, CA 94086 5. PROJECT APPLICANT IS A: Local Public Agency School District Other Special District State Agency Private Entity 6. NOTICE TO BE POSTED FOR 20 DAYS. 7. CLASSIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT a. PROJECTS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO DFG FEES 1. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21152) \$ 3,078.25 \$ 0.00 2. NEGATIVE DECLARATION (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21080(C) \$ 2,216.25 \$ 0.00 3. APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD ONLY) \$ 850.00 \$ 0.00 4. PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS \$ 1,046.50 \$ 0.00 5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 6. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILLING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILLDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT! PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILLING FEE FOR THE "SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) 2. DOCUMENT TYPE: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT DREGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 3. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES NOTICE OF PREPARATION ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT DREGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 3. NOTICE OF PREPARATION ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT DREGATIVE FEES NOTIC	1. LEAD AGENCY: City of Sunnyvale				
4. APPLICANT ADDRESS: 669 Old San Francisco Rd., Sunnyvale, CA 94086 5. PROJECT APPLICANT IS A:					
5. PROJECT APPLICANT IS A:	3. APPLICANT NAME: Innovative Concepts/ George Nejat	PHONE:	408-985-1078	:	
S. NOTICE TO BE POSTED FOR	4. APPLICANT ADDRESS: 669 Old San Francisco Rd., Sunnyvale, CA 94086				
7. CLASSIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT a. PROJECTS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO DFG FEES 1. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE \$21152) \$ 3,078.25 \$ 0.00 2. NEGATIVE DECLARATION (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE \$21080(C) \$ 2,216.25 \$ 0.00 3. APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD ONLY) \$ 850.00 \$ 0.00 4. PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS \$ 1,046.50 \$ 0.00 5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 5. FISH & Game Code \$711.4(e) b. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 2. A COMPLETED "CEGA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROJECT OF PROMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE "SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) DOCUMENT TYPE: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT NEGATIVE FEE REQUIRED c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES NO FIEL NO FIEL NO FEE 8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$ NO FEE	5. PROJECT APPLICANT IS A: Local Public Agency School District Other Special	District	☐ State Agency	⊠ P	rivate Entity
a. PROJECTS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO DFG FEES 1. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21152) \$ 3,078.25 \$ 0.00 2. NEGATIVE DECLARATION (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21080(C) \$ 2,216.25 \$ 0.00 3. APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD ONLY) \$ 850.00 \$ 0.00 4. PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS \$ 1,046.50 \$ 0.00 5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 Fish & Game Code §711.4(e) b. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE OFG FILING FEE FOR THE "SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) DOCUMENT TYPE: DENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT DEGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES NO FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$ 50.00 8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$ 50.00	6. NOTICE TO BE POSTED FOR DAYS.				
□ 1. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21152) \$ 3,078.25 \$ 0.00 □ 2. NEGATIVE DECLARATION (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21080(C) \$ 2,216.25 \$ 0.00 □ 3. APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD ONLY) \$ 850.00 \$ 0.00 □ 4. PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS \$ 1,046.50 \$ 0.00 □ 5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 □ 6. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES □ 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 □ 2. A COMPLETED "CEGA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) C. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES □ NOTICE OF PREPARATION ☑ NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE 8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$	7. CLASSIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT				
□ 2. NEGATIVE DECLARATION (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE \$21080(C) \$ 2,216.25 \$ 0.00 □ 3. APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD ONLY) \$ 850.00 \$ 0.00 □ 4. PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS \$ 1,046.50 \$ 0.00 □ 5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 Fish & Game Code §711.4(e) b. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES □ 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 □ 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) DOCUMENT TYPE: □ ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT □ NEGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES □ NOTICE OF PREPARATION ■ NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE 8. OTHER: □ FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$	a. PROJECTS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO DFG FEES				
3. APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD ONLY) \$ 850.00 \$ 0.00 4. PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS \$ 1,046.50 \$ 0.00 5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 Fish & Game Code §711.4(e) b. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) DOCUMENT TYPE: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT NEGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES NOTICE OF PREPARATION NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE NOTICE OF PREPARATION NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE NOTICE OF PREPARATION NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE NOTICE OF PREPARATION NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE NO FEE FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$	☐ 1. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21152)		\$ 3,078.25	\$	0.00
4. PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS \$ 1,046.50 \$ 0.00 5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 Fish & Game Code §711.4(e) b. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) DOCUMENT TYPE: □ ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT □ NEGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 C. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES NOTICE OF PREPARATION ■ NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE	2. NEGATIVE DECLARATION (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §21080(C)		\$ 2,216.25	\$	0.00
□ 5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE (REQUIRED FOR a-1 THROUGH a-4 ABOVE) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 Fish & Game Code §711.4(e)	3. APPLICATION FEE WATER DIVERSION (STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD	ONLY)	\$ 850.00	\$	0.00
Fish & Game Code §711.4(e) b. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) DOCUMENT TYPE: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT REGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES NOTICE OF PREPARATION NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE	4. PROJECTS SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED REGULATORY PROGRAMS		\$ 1,046.50	\$	0.00
□ 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 □ 2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) DOCUMENT TYPE: □ ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT □ NEGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES □ NOTICE OF PREPARATION ☑ NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE 8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$		VE)	\$ 50.00	\$	0.00
2. A COMPLETED "CEQA FILING FEE NO EFFECT DETERMINATION FORM" FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) DOCUMENT TYPE: □ ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT □ NEGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 C. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES □ NOTICE OF PREPARATION □ NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE 8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$	b. PROJECTS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM DFG FEES				
DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIAL, DATED RECEIPT / PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING FEE FOR THE *SAME PROJECT IS ATTACHED (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIRED) DOCUMENT TYPE: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT NEGATIVE DECLARATION \$ 50.00 \$ 0.00 C. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES NOTICE OF PREPARATION NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE 8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$	☐ 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION (\$50.00 COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEE REQUIR	ED)	\$ 50.00	\$	0.00
c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DFG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE FEES NOTICE OF PREPARATION NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE 8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$	DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, DOCUMENTING THE DFG'S DETERMINATE WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON FISH, WILDLIFE AND HABITAT, OR AN OFFICIA PROOF OF PAYMENT SHOWING PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF THE DFG FILING	ION THAT L, DATEI FEE FOR	THE PROJECT DRECEIPT /		
NOTICE OF PREPARATION NOTICE OF INTENT NO FEE \$ NO FEE 8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$	DOCUMENT TYPE:	ON	\$ 50.00	\$	0.00
8. OTHER: FEE (IF APPLICABLE): \$	c. NOTICES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DEG FEES OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE	FEES			
	□ NOTICE OF PREPARATION 図 NOTICE OF INTENT		NO FEE	\$	NO FEE
	8. OTHER:	FEE	(IF APPLICABLE):	\$	
9. TOTAL RECEIVED	9. TOTAL RECEIVED				
*NOTE: "SAME PROJECT" MEANS NO CHANGES. IF THE DOCUMENT SUBMITTED IS NOT THE SAME (OTHER THAN DATES), A "NO EFFECT DETERMINATION" LETTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE SUBSEQUENT, FILING OR THE APPROPRIATE FEES ARI REQUIRED. THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND ATTACHED TO THE FRONT OF ALL CEQA DOCUMENTS LISTED ABOVE (INCLUDING COPIES)	*NOTE: "SAME PROJECT" MEANS NO CHANGES. IF THE DOCUMENT SUBMITTED IS NOT THE DETERMINATION" LETTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE SUBSEQUIRED.	SAME (C	OTHER THAN DATE NG OR THE APPRO	ES), A OPRIA	'NO EFFECT TE FEES ARE

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND ATTACHED TO THE FRONT OF ALL CEQA DOCUMENTS LISTED ABOVE (<u>INCLUDING COPIES</u>) SUBMITTED FOR FILING. WE WILL NEED AN ORIGINAL (WET SIGNATURE) AND TWO (2) COPIES. (YOUR ORIGINAL WILL BE RETURNED TO YOU AT THE TIME OF FILING.)

CHECKS FOR ALL FEES SHOULD BE MADE PAYABLE TO: SANTA CLARA COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

PLEASE NOTE: FEES ARE ANNUALLY ADJUSTED (Fish & Game Code §711.4(b); PLEASE CHECK WITH THIS OFFICE AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE LATEST FEE INFORMATION.

"... NO PROJECT SHALL BE OPERATIVE, VESTED, OR FINAL, NOR SHALL LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERMITS FOR THE PROJECT BE VALID, UNTIL THE FILING FEES REQUIRED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION ARE PAID." Fish & Game Code §711.4(c)(3)

(Fees Effective 01-01-2017)

File Number: 2015-8059



PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This form is provided as a notification of an intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

PROJECT TITLE:

Six Unit Development at 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Road

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

FILE #: 2015-8059

Location: 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Rd. (APNs 209-17-050 & 209-

17-051)

Proposed Project: REZONE R-0 to R-3/PD, SPECIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT and

VESTING TENTATIVE MAP to allow a three-story six-unit

townhouse development.

Applicant / Owner: Innovative Concepts / George Nejat

Environmental Review: Mitigated Negative Declaration **Staff Contact:** Ryan Kuchenig, (408) 730-7431

rkuchenig@sunnyvale.ca.gov

WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The **Mitigated Negative Declaration**, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue. Sunnyvale.

This **Mitigated Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on March 13, 2017. Protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a **Mitigated Negative Declaration** will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

HEARING INFORMATION:

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

March 13, 2017 at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

TOXIC SITE INFORMATION:

(No) listed toxic sites are present at the project location.

Circulated On February 17, 2017

Gerri Caruso, Principal Planner

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059 Page 1 of 25

Project Title	Six Unit Development at 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Road
Lead Agency Name and Address	City of Sunnyvale P.O. Box 3707, Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707
Contact Person	Ryan Kuchenig, Senior Planner
Phone Number	(408) 730-7431
Project Location	669-673 Old San Francisco Road Sunnyvale, CA 94086 (APNs: 209-17-050 and 209-17-051)
Applicant's Name	George Nejat (applicant/owner)
Zoning	Existing - R-0 (Low Density Residential) Proposed R-3/PD (Medium Density Residential / Planned Development)
General Plan	Residential Medium Density
Other Public Agencies whose approval is required	None

BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Related applications on a 0.34-acre site:

Rezone the site from R-0 (Low Density Residential) to R-3/PD (Medium Density Residential/Planned Development);

Special Development Permit for six residential townhome units; and

Vesting Tentative Map to subdivide two lots into six lots plus a common lot.

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

<u>Surrounding Uses and Setting:</u> The 0.34-acre project site is located at 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Road. The site is bordered along the north and west by multi-family residential development (condominiums), multi-family residential (apartments) to the south across Old San Francisco Road, and single-family residential to the east. Nearby and further east and west of the site, along Old San Francisco Road, are neighborhood commercial uses.

On-site Development: The project includes full demolition of the existing two single family homes and related accessory structures (built in the 1950s), and construction of six townhomes with site improvements. The new units will be grouped into two three-story buildings; each building comprising of three townhome units. The two buildings will be arranged around a central driveway off Old San Francisco Road that will serve as the only vehicular access to the development. Each

Attachment 8
Page 4 of 35
Initial Study

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059 Page 2 of 25

unit will have two-car garages. Four guest spaces are proposed at the rear of the site. The common lot will include landscaped areas, uncovered parking and the driveway aisle. Five trees on-site will be removed while 23 new trees will be added to the site. Three of the trees removed are defined as protected, per Sunnyvale Municipal Code.

The General Plan designation for this site is Residential Medium Density; however, the current zoning is R-0 (Low Density Residential). The application is requesting a rezoning of the site from R-0 (Low Density Residential) to R-3/PD (Medium Density Residential / Planned Development). A Special Development Permit (SDP) is required for site and architectural review on project sites with the PD designation. The Tentative Map is required prior to a Final Map for the creation of six individual ownership lots and one common lot.

<u>Construction Activities and Schedule:</u> Construction activities include full demolition of all existing buildings and paving on the project site and construction of six townhomes with associated on-site and off-site improvements. The project will be subject to the Sunnyvale Municipal Code requirements for construction noise and hours of construction contained in Chapter 16.08.030.

Construction of the project is estimated to span 18 months. Demolition is anticipated to commence in May of 2018. Demolition and site work will span approximately four months. The remaining time will include construction of buildings, on-site improvements and off-site improvements. Construction will not include deep pile foundations or pile driving, jackhammers or other extremely high noise-generating activities or significant vibration.

Off-site Improvements: Existing curb cuts and driveways off Old San Francisco Road will be removed and one new curb cut and driveway will be installed to serve the new homes. New sidewalks and street trees will be installed in the public right-of-way, per City standards. Standard water, sewer, right-of-way and utility upgrades will be provided as required by the Municipal Code.

Page 3 of 25

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 5. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
- 6. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
- 7. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
- 8. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for
 potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or
 outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the
 statement is substantiated.

Attachment 8
Page 6 of 35
Initial Study
d San Francisco

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059 Page 4 of 25

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages. Aesthetics Hazards & Hazardous **Public Services** Materials Agricultural Resources Hydrology/Water Quality Recreation ☐ Air Quality Land Use/Planning Transportation/Traffic ☐ Biological Resources Mineral Resources Utilities/Service Systems Cultural Resources Noise Mandatory Findings of Significance Geology/Soils П Population/Housing MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE (see checklist for further information): Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, Yes substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or ⊠ No animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? Mandatory Findings of Significance? Does the project have impacts that are Yes individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in ⊠ No connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects. and the effects of probable future projects)? Mandatory Findings of Significance? Does the project have environmental effects ☐ Yes which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? \boxtimes No

Attachment 8
Page 7 of 35
Initial Study
Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road
File #2015-8059

Page 5 of 25

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:		
I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the e NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	nvironment, and a	
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION.	e been made by or	
I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environmental IMPACT REPORT is required.	ent, and an	
I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "prunless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has be analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2 addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must anal effects that remain to be addressed.	en adequately 2) has been on attached	
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is requi	EIR or NEGATIVE or mitigated or mitigation	
Checklist Prepared By: Ryan Kuchenig	Date: 02/15/2017	
Title: Senior Planner	City of Sunnyvale	
Signature:		

Attachment 8
Page 8 of 35
Initial Study
Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road
File #2015-8059
Page 6 of 25

Plai	nning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project
, 101	9	Poter Signi Imp	Less Sig. Mitig	Less Signi	No In	Description and Plans
1.	Aesthetics -Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, historic buildings?					Sunnyvale General Plan Map, Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, and Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
2.	Aesthetics -Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings including significant adverse visual changes to neighborhood character					Sunnyvale General Plan Map, Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, and Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
3.	Aesthetics -Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?					Sunnyvale General Plan Map, Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, and Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
4.	Population and Housing - Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)_in a way that is inconsistent with the Sunnyvale General Plan?					Sunnyvale General Plan Map, and Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
5.	Population and Housing -Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3, and Housing Chapter 5 of the Sunnyvale General Plan and General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
6.	Population and Housing -Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					Housing Chapter 5 of the Sunnyvale General Plan and General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
7.	Land Use Planning - Physically divide an established community?					Sunnyvale General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
8.	Land Use Planning conflict - With the Sunnyvale General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) area or related specific plan adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Title 19 (Zoning) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19&frames=off
9.	Transportation and Traffic - Result in inadequate parking capacity?					Parking Requirements (Section 19.46) in the Sunnyvale Municipal Code http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19-4-19 46&frames=off

Attachment 8
Page 9 of 35
Initial Study
Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road
File #2015-8059
Page 7 of 25

				·	_
Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
10. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?					Moffett Field AICUZ , Sunnyvale Zoning Map, Sunnyvale General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
11. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?					There are no private airstrips in or in the vicinity of Sunnyvale
12. For a project within the vicinity of Moffett Federal Airfield, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?					Moffett Field AICUZ
13. Agricultural Resources - Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				\boxtimes	Sunnyvale Zoning Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
14. Noise - Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the Noise Sub-Element, Noise limits in the Sunnyvale Municipal Code, or applicable standards of the California Building Code?					Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, SMC www.sunnyvaleplanning.com 19.42 Noise Ordinance http://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19&frames=off
15. Noise -Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration?					Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description Project Construction Schedule
16. Noise - A substantial permanent or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
17. Biological Resources - Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Attachment 8
Page 10 of 35
Initial Study
Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road
File #2015-8059
Page 8 of 25

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
18. Biological Resources -Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
19. Biological Resources -Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
Biological Resources -Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?					SMC 19.90 Tree Preservation Ordinance Sunnyvale Inventory of Heritage Trees Tree Inventory Report by Anderson's Tree Care Specialists, Inc., dated 3/10/15
21. Biological Resources -Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan and General Plan Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
22. Historic and Cultural Resources - Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource or a substantial adverse change in an archeological resource?					Community Character Chapter 4 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Sunnyvale Inventory of Heritage Resources The United States Secretary of the Interior's "Guidelines for Rehabilitation" Criteria of the National Register of Historic Places
23. Historic and Cultural Resources - Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?					California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5(b), CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(e) Project description CHRIS/NWIC Letter dated 1/21/15
24. Public Services - Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or expanded public schools, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in					The following public school districts are located in the City of Sunnyvale: Fremont Union High School District, Sunnyvale Elementary School District, Cupertino Union School

Attachment 8
Page 11 of 35
Initial Study
Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road
File #2015-8059
Page 9 of 25

	T				
Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
order to maintain acceptable performance objectives?					District and Santa Clara Unified School District.
25. Air Quality - Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the <u>BAAQMD</u> air quality plan? How close is the use to a major road, hwy. or freeway?					BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Sunnyvale Air Quality Sub-Element www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
26. Air Quality - Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?					BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds AB 32
27. Air Quality -Would the project conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of any agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?					BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Sunnyvale Climate Action Plan 2014 AB 32
28. Air Quality -Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.					BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
29. Air Quality -Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?					BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
30. Air Quality -Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?					BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds Sunnyvale General Plan Map Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
31. Seismic Safety -Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?					Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
32. Seismic Safety - Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?					Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Page 10 of 25

Planning	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
33. Seismic Safety-Strong seismic ground shaking?					Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
34. Seismic Safety-Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?					Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation:

- 2. Aesthetics Visual Character (Less than Significant) Construction of the project will alter the visual character of the site. The current buildings are one-story single family residential buildings, which will be demolished to construct two three-story buildings with a maximum height of 30 ft. The proposed buildings are consistent in height to nearby development consistent and what be allowed under the current zoning. The design and site layout are in general conformance with the Citywide Design Guidelines. The project architectural style is contemporary. The design of the project is consistent with the contemporary and traditional architectural styles found in the area. The City's implementation of the City-Wide Design Guidelines and staff's review of final development plans, which will be submitted for final Building Permit review, will ensure that the final design of the project is consistent with the plans reviewed by the Planning Commission. The project will not substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.
- **4. Population and Housing (Less than Significant)** The General Plan designation for this site is Residential Medium Density; however, the current zoning is R-0 (Low Density Residential). The application is requesting a rezoning of the site from R-0 to R-3/PD (Medium Density Residential / Planned Development). The proposed rezoning of the site would result in a consistent General Plan and Zoning designation. The six-unit project results in a project density of 18 units per acre, which is less than the maximum 24 unit per acre for R-3 Zoning District. This density would meet the Council policy of at least 75% of the maximum density allowed on a site. The project density is consistent with the densities of the residential developments in the vicinity. Therefore, the impact is less than significant.
- **9. Transportation and Traffic Parking (No Impact)** Based on the two covered spaces (within individual garages) and four bedrooms proposed per unit., a minimum of four guest parking spaces are required to be provided per Sunnyvale Municipal Code (SMC) Section 19.46. The project complies with the parking requirement by providing 12 covered spaces and four guest parking spaces. All new residential construction will be required to pre-wire 12.5% of the open parking spaces and all the garage spaces are required to allow for a future Level 2 electric car charger. Therefore, no impact is expected.
- **20. Biological Resources (Less than Significant)** A Tree Inventory Report was prepared by Anderson's Tree Care Specialists, Inc., dated March 10, 2015. A total of 20 trees were included in the report, however 15 of these trees are located on neighboring properties (near the subject site). The five trees located on the site are in "poor" to "very poor" condition. Each of these trees are located towards the rear of the site. No City street trees currently exist

Of the five trees, located on the subject site, three are considered "protected" under Sunnyvale Municipal Code Chapter 19.94. A protected tree is defined as having a trunk size of at least 38 inches in circumference, as measured 4.5 feet from the ground. Each of the five trees will be removed due to their poor condition. Most

Attachment 8
Page 13 of 35
Initial Study
Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road
File #2015-8059
Page 11 of 25

of the trees are also located within the proposed building footprints or circulation areas. The project will add approximately 23 trees to the site, which will mitigate the loss of the existing trees in accordance with Sunnyvale Municipal Code Section 19.94, to a less than significant level.

23. Historic and Cultural Resources (Less than Significant with Mitigation) – The project construction will include grading and land disturbance. A records search by the California Historical Resources Information System/Northwest Information Center of Sonoma State University (CHRIS/NWIC) was conducted for the project area in January 21, 2015. Review of the obtained information indicates that there have been no cultural resources studies conducted within the project area. The project area contains no recorded archaeological resources. The State Office of Historic Preservation Historic Property Directory (OHP HPD) (which includes listings of the California Register of Historical Resources, California State Historical Landmarks, California State Points of Historical Interest, and the National Register of Historic Places) lists no recorded buildings or structures within the proposed project area. In addition to these inventories, the NWIC base maps show no recorded buildings or structures within the proposed project area. In addition to these inventories, the NWIC base maps show no recorded buildings or structures within the proposed project area.

Based on an evaluation of the environmental setting and features associated with known sites, there is a moderate to high potential of unrecorded Native American resources in the proposed project area. Review of historical literature and maps gave no indication of the possibility of historic-period archaeological resources within the proposed project area, but there is a low potential of unrecorded historic-period archaeological resources.

The following mitigations are recommended to reduce the potential impact to less than significant level:

MITIGATION

WHAT:

- (1) A qualified archaeologist shall conduct further archival and field study to identify cultural resources. Field study may include, but is not limited to, pedestrian survey, hand auger sampling, shovel test units, or geoarchaeological analyses as well as other common methods used to identify the presence of archaeological resources. Please refer to the list of consultants who meet the Secretary of Interior's Standards at http://www.chrisinfo.org.
- (2) If archaeological resources are encountered during construction, work shall be temporarily halted in the vicinity of the discovered materials and workers shall not alter the materials and their context until a qualified professional archaeologist has evaluated the situation and provided appropriate recommendations. Project personnel shall not collect cultural resources. Native American resources include chert or obsidian flakes, projectile points, mortars, and pestles; and dark friable soil containing shell and bone dietary debris, heat-affected rock, or human burials. Historic-period resources include stone or adobe foundations or walls; structures and remains with square nails; and refuse deposits or bottle dumps, often located in old wells or privies.
- (3) Any identified cultural resources shall be recorded on DPR 523 historic resource recordation forms.

WHEN: These mitigations shall be incorporated into conditions of approval for the Special Development Permit (SDP) prior to its final approval by the City Council. The conditions will become valid when the SDP is approved and prior to building permit issuance.

WHO: The project applicant or property owner shall be solely responsible for implementation and maintenance of these mitigation measures.

HOW: The conditions of approval will require these mitigation measures to be incorporated into the construction plans.

Attachment 8 Page 14 of 35 Initial Study

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059

Page 12 of 25

24. Public Services - Schools (Less than Significant) - The project site is located within the Santa Clara School District and Santa Clara Unified High School District. In both districts, all new residential developments are required to fully offset their anticipated impact on demand for schools by paying a school impact fee as set by the Districts. The City requires evidence of school impact fee payment prior to issuance of building permits. Therefore, impacts on public schools will be less than significant.

27. Air Quality - Greenhouse Gases (No Impact) - A Sunnyvale "Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist" was completed for the project, which provides further analysis related to project greenhouse gas emissions. The Checklist demonstrates conformance with the City's adopted Climate Action Plan; therefore, the project is not expected to have an impact on greenhouse gas emissions.

Responsible Division:

Planning Division

Completed by: Ryan Kuchenig

Date: 2/15/2017

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
Transportation	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
35. Exceeds the capacity of the existing circulation system, based on an applicable measure of effectiveness (as designated in a general plan policy, ordinance, etc.), taking into account all modes of transportation including nonmotorized travel and all relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian walkways, bicycle paths, and mass transit?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/
36. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measurements, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?					Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/
37. Results in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in air traffic levels or a change in flight patterns or location that results in substantial safety risks to vehicles, bicycles, or pedestrians?				\boxtimes	Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
38. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Project Description
39. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit or nonmotorized transportation?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/
40. Affect the multi-modal performance of the highway and/or street and/or rail and/or off road nonmotorized trail transportation facilities, in terms of structural, operational, or perception-based measures of effectiveness (e.g. quality of service for nonmotorized and transit modes)?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.yta.org/cmp/

Attachment 8

Page 16 of 35 Initial Study Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059

Page 14 of 25

Transportation	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
41. Reduce, sever, or eliminate pedestrian or bicycle circulation or access, or preclude future planned and approved bicycle or pedestrian circulation?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/
42. Cause a degradation of the performance or availability of all transit including buses, light or heavy rail for people or goods movement?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter 3 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Congestion Management Program http://www.vta.org/cmp/

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division:

Planning Division

Completed by: Ryan Kuchenig

Date: 2/15/2017

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059

Page 15 of 25

Building	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
43. Hydrology and Water Quality - Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?					FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map Effective 5/18/09 www.sunnyvaleplanning.com, California Building Code, Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
44. Hydrology and Water Quality - Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?					FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map Effective 5/18/09 www.sunnyvaleplanning.com, California Building Code, Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
45. Hydrology and Water Quality - Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?					1995 ABAG Dam Inundation Map www.abag.ca.gov, California Building Code, Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
46. Geology and Soils -Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?					Sunnyvale Municipal Code 12.60, Storm Water Quality Best Sunnyvale Management Practices Guideline Manual
47. Geology and Soils -Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?					Safety and Noise Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, www.sunnyvaleplanning.com California Plumbing, Mechanical, and Electrical Codes and Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code
48. Geology and Soils -Be located on expansive soil, as defined by the current building code, creating substantial risks to life or property?					California Plumbing, Mechanical, and Electrical Codes and Title 16 (Building) of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation: None required.

General Discussion: The California Building Code contains a series of building code requirements to address safety issues regarding seismic shaking, flooding, and soil types. In addition, Title 16.62 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code requires a series of measures for provisions to reduce flood-related hazards to buildings. These standards are suggested by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and required by code by the City of Sunnyvale. These standards must be met for a building permit to be issued.

Responsible Division: Planning Division Completed by: Ryan Kuchenig Date: 2/15/2017

Attachment 8
Page 18 of 35
Initial Study
Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road
File #2015-8059
Page 16 of 25

Engineering	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
49. Utilities and Service Systems: Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
50. Utilities and Service Systems: Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
51. Utilities and Service Systems: Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
52. Utilities and Service Systems: Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
53. Utilities and Service Systems: Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
54. Utilities and Service Systems: Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
55. Hydrology and Water Quality - Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?					Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/

Attachment 8
Page 19 of 35
Initial Study
Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road
File #2015-8059
Page 17 of 25

Engineering	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
56. Hydrology and Water Quality - Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					RWQCB, Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ City of Sunnyvale Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
57. Hydrology and Water Quality - Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					RWQCB, Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ City of Sunnyvale Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
58. Hydrology and Water Quality - Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					Santa Clara Valley Water District Groundwater Protection Ordinance www.valleywater.org
59. Hydrology and Water Quality - Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems in a manner which could create flooding or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?					RWQCB, Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ City of Sunnyvale Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
60. Hydrology and Water Quality - Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river?					RWQCB, Region 2 Municipal Regional Permit http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ City of Sunnyvale Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidance Manual for New and Redevelopment Projects www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
61. Utilities and Service Systems: Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Attachment 8 Page 20 of 35 Initial Study Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059 Page 18 of 25

Engineering	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
62. Public Services Infrastructure? Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?					Environmental Management Chapter 7 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division:

Planning Division

Completed by: Ryan Kuchenig

Date: 02/15/2017

Attachment 8
Page 21 of 35
Initial Study
Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road
File #2015-8059
Page 19 of 25

Completed by: Ryan Kuchenig

Date: 2/15/2017

Public Safety	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
63. Public Services Police and Fire protection - Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?					Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
64. Public Services Police and Fire protection - Would the project result in inadequate emergency access?					California Building Code SMC Section 16.52 Fire Code

Planning Division

Responsible Division:

Page 20 of 25

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059

Public Safety – Hazardous Materials	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
65. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?					Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
66. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?					Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan, www.sunnyvaleplanning.com Title 20 of the City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code Phase I Environmental Site Assessment prepared by AEI Consultants dated 5/18/15 and Soil Sampling Investigation Report performed by Technicon Engineering Services, Inc., dated 10/16/15
67. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?					Sunnyvale Zoning Map www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
68. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?					State of California Hazardous Waste and Substances Site List (Cortese List), Department of Toxic Substance Control
69. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?					Safety and Noise Chapter 6 of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation:

66. Hazards and Hazardous Materials (Less than Significant with Mitigation) – A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment was prepared by AEI Consultants, dated May 18, 2015. A soil sampling investigation report was also prepared by Technicon Engineering Services on October 16, 2015. These studies are available for review at the City of Sunnyvale's Community Development Department, Monday through Friday between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m.

Based on a review of aerial photographs, the subject property was historically used for agricultural purposes. The Phase 1 Site Assessment concluded that there is potential that agricultural chemicals, such as pesticides, herbicides and fertilizers, were used on site, and that the subject property has been impacted by the use of such agricultural chemicals. The report from Technicon includes an investigation of potential environmentally

Attachment 8
Page 23 of 35
Initial Study
d San Francisco Road

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059

Page 21 of 25

persistent pesticide residues present in the site soils.

According to the laboratory reports, only trace concentrations of DDE and DDT were detected in three of the four soil samples collected during this investigation. These organochlorine pesticide concentrations are well below allowable concentrations that would be allowed on a school site. Although the arsenic concentrations in the soil were above the CHHSL value, the concentrations were within the range of typical ambient concentrations at agricultural sites of 0 to 12 mg/kg that has been accepted by the DTSC (Department of Toxic Substances Control). Based on the results of this investigation, it is Technicon's opinion that elevated levels of environmentally persistent agricultural chemicals are not present at the subject site.

The Phase I study noted that the existing building materials may contain asbestos or lead based paint. Prior to demolition of the building an asbestos and lead based paint survey would be conducted by a qualified licensed professional and disposed of appropriately.

MITIGATION - Hazardous Materials Lead Based Paint and Asbestos

WHAT: Hazardous building materials surveys shall be conducted by a qualified and licensed professional for all structures. All loose and peeling lead-based paint and asbestos-containing material shall be abated by certified contractor(s) in accordance with local, state, and federal requirements. All other hazardous materials shall be removed from buildings prior to demolition in accordance with California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Occupational Safety and Health regulations. The completion of the abatement activities shall be documented by a qualified environmental professional(s) and submitted to the City for review with applications for issuance of construction and demolition permits.

<u>WHEN:</u> These mitigations shall be incorporated into conditions of approval for the Special Development Permit (SDP) prior to its final approval by the City Council. The conditions will become valid when the SDP is approved and prior to building permit issuance.

<u>WHO:</u> The project applicant or property owner shall be solely responsible for implementation and maintenance of these mitigation measures.

<u>HOW:</u> The conditions of approval will require these mitigation measures to be incorporated into the construction plans.

Responsible Division:

Planning Division

Completed by: Ryan Kuchenig

Date: 02/15/2017

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059

Page 22 of 25

Community Services	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source Other Than Project Description and Plans
70. Public Services Parks? Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Community Character Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
71. Recreation - Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Community Character Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com
72. Recreation - Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?					Land Use and Transportation Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan, Community Character Chapter of the Sunnyvale General Plan www.sunnyvaleplanning.com

Further Discussion if "Less Than Significant" with or without mitigation: None required.

Responsible Division: Planning Division Completed by: Ryan Kuchenig Date: 02/15/2017

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059 Page 23 of 25

City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

Sunnyvale General Plan Consolidated in (2011) generalplan.InSunnyvale.com

- Community Vision
- Land Use and Transportation
- Community Character
- Housing
- Safety and Noise
- Environmental Management
- Appendix A: Implementation Plans

City of Sunnyvale Climate Action Plan 2014

City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code:

- Title 8 Health and Sanitation
- Title 9 Public Peace, Safety or Welfare
- Title 10 Vehicles and Traffic
- Title 12 Water and Sewers
- Chapter 12.60 Storm Water Management
- Title 13 Streets and Sidewalks
- Title 16 Buildings and Construction
 - o Chapter 16.52 Fire Code
 - Chapter 16.54 Building Standards for Buildings Exceeding Seventy –Five Feet in Height
- Title 18 Subdivisions
- Title 19 Zoning
 - o Chapter 19.28 Downtown Specific Plan District
 - Chapter 19.29 Moffett Park Specific Plan District
 - Chapter 19.39 Green Building Regulations
 - o Chapter 19.42 Operating Standards
 - Chapter 19.54 Wireless
 Telecommunication Facilities
 - o Chapter 19.81 Streamside Development Review
 - o Chapter 19.96 Heritage Preservation
- Title 20 Hazardous Materials

Specific Plans:

- Downtown Specific Plan
- El Camino Real Precise Plan
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit
- Moffett Park Specific Plan
- 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan
- Lakeside Specific Plan
- Arques Campus Specific Plan

Environmental Impact Reports:

- Futures Study Environmental Impact Report
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental Impact Report

- Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact Study (supplemental)
- Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement Center Environmental Impact Report (City of Santa Clara)
- Downtown Development Program Environmental Impact Report
- Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact Report
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental Impact Report
- East Sunnyvale ITR General Plan Amendment FIR
- Palo Alto Medical Foundation Medical Clinic Project EIR
- Luminaire (Lawrence Station Road/Hwy 237 residential) EIR
- NASA Ames Development Plan Programmatic EIS
- Mary Avenue Overpass EIR
- Mathilda Avenue Bridge EIR

Maps:

- General Plan Map
- Zoning Map
- City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
- Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
- Santa Clara County Assessor's Parcel
- Utility Maps
- Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) Study Map
- 2010 Noise Conditions Map

Legislation / Acts / Bills / Resource Agency Codes and Permits:

- Subdivision Map Act
- Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit
- Santa Clara County Valley Water District Groundwater Protection Ordinance
- Section 404 of Clean Water Act

Lists / Inventories:

- Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
- Heritage Landmark Designation List
- Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory
- Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State of California)
- List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale
- USFWS / CA Dept. F&G Endangered and Threatened Animals of California http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/TEAnimals.pdf
- The Leaking Underground Petroleum Storage Tank List <u>www.geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov</u>

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059

Page 24 of 25

- The Federal EPA Superfund List www.epa.gov/region9/cleanup/california.html
- The Hazardous Waste and Substance Site List www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Cortese List.cfm

Guidelines and Best Management Practices

- Storm Water Quality Best Management Practices Guidelines Manual 2007
- Sunnyvale Citywide Design Guidelines
- Sunnyvale Industrial Guidelines
- Sunnyvale Single-Family Design Techniques
- Sunnyvale Eichler Guidelines
- Blueprint for a Clean Bay
- Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD)
 Guidelines and Standards for Land Use Near
 Streams
- The United States Secretary of the Interior 's Guidelines for Rehabilitation
- Criteria of the National Register of Historic Places

Transportation:

- California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual
- California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
- California Department of Transportation Standard Plans & Standard Specifications
- Highway Capacity Manual
- Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual & Trip Generation Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers Traffic Engineering Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers Manual of Traffic Engineering Studies
- Institute of Transportation Engineers -Transportation Planning Handbook
- Institute of Transportation Engineers Manual of Traffic Signal Design
- Institute of Transportation Engineers -Transportation and Land Development
- U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways & CA Supplements
- California Vehicle Code
- Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program and Technical Guidelines
- Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short Range Transit Plan
- Santa Clara County Transportation Plan
- Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division

- Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System
- Sunnyvale Zoning Ordinance including Titles 10 & 13
- City of Sunnyvale General Plan land Use and Transportation Element
- City of Sunnyvale Bicycle Plan
- City of Sunnyvale Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program
- Valley Transportation Authority Bicycle Technical Guidelines
- Valley Transportation Authority Community Design & Transportation – Manual of Best Practices for Integrating Transportation and Land Use
- Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan
- City of Sunnyvale Deficiency Plan
- AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets

Public Works:

- Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works
- Storm Drain Master Plan
- Sanitary Sewer Master Plan
- Water Master Plan
- Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara County
- Geotechnical Investigation Reports
- Engineering Division Project Files
- Subdivision and Parcel Map Files

Miscellaneous Agency Plans:

- ABAG Projections 2013
- Bay Area Clean Air Plan
- BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines 1999 Thresholds

Building Safety:

- California Building Code,
- California Energy Code
- California Plumbing Code,
- California Mechanical Code,
- California Electrical Code
- California Fire Code
- Title 16.52 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 16.53 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 16.54 Sunnyvale Municipal Code
- Title 19 California Code of Regulations
- National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards

Attachment 8
Page 27 of 35
Initial Study

Project Name: Six Townhomes at 669-673 Old San Francisco Road File #2015-8059

Page 25 of 25

OTHER:

Project Specific Information

- Project Description
- Sunnyvale Project Environmental Information Form
- Project Development Plans dated 11/10/16
- Project Construction Schedule
- Project Draft Storm Water Management Plan (in project plans)
- Project Phase I Environmental Site Assessment by AEI Consultants, dated May 18, 2015
- Project Soil Sampling Investigation Report by Technicon Engineering Services, Inc., dated October 16, 2015
- Project Tree Inventory by Anderson's Tree Care Specialists, Inc., dated March 10, 2015
- Project California Historical Resource Information System (CHRIS) Letter, dated January 21, 2015
- Project Green Building Checklist

Attachment 8 Page 28 of 35

Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Rd. Townhomes File #2015-8059 Page 1 of 8

Summary

This checklist identifies the minimum criteria a project must demonstrate to use the City's CAP for purposes of streamlining the analysis of greenhouse gas emissions under CEQA. Minimum criteria outlined below includes: 1) consistency with CAP forecasts, and 2) incorporation of applicable Near-Term (prior to 2016) strategies and measures from the CAP as binding and enforceable components of the project.

Section 1: Consistency with CAP Forecasts

The CAP's achievement of the 15% reduction below 2008 target is based on growth assumptions in the City's General Plan and regional growth forecasts. For eligibility to streamline from the CAP for purposes of an environmental analysis, projects must demonstrate consistency with CAP forecast assumptions using the criteria listed below. As appropriate, these criteria should be cited as evidence in any subsequent environmental document.

1A. Does the project include District?	large stationary emiss	sions sources that	would be regulated by the Air
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
			growth assumptions that were istency with CAP forecasts.
If yes , the project may trice considered in the CAP are Management District. Con	nd would otherwise by		al environment that were not e Bay Area Air Quality
1B. If this project is a station following emissions sources		outlined under 1A	, does it also include any of the
Residential uses		☐ Yes	□ No
Commercial uses		∏Yes	□No

If **no**, the project does not include any emissions sources that were assumed in CAP growth forecasts. Therefore, the project may trigger additional changes to the physical environment that were not considered in the CAP. CAP measures may be used to mitigate GHG emissions, but project-level analysis of GHG emissions using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CALEEMod) or another method must be prepared by a qualified air quality consultant. If **yes**, the project may include emissions sources mitigated by the CAP. Therefore, any sources identified in 1B may be eligible to claim consistency with the CAP. All stationary sources regulated by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District shall be analyzed separately. Other sources that were analyzed in the CAP may still qualify for streamlining, should the project demonstrate consistency with the CAP as outlined in **1C** and following sections below.

Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Rd. Townhomes File #2015-8059 Page 2 of 8

1C. Does the project trigger an	amendment to or adoption	of any of the following	planning
documents?			

Specific Plan Precise Plan for El Camino Real	Yes	⊠ No			
Precise Plan for El Camino Real					
	Yes	⊠ No			
lease describe any amendments sapplicable:	or adopti	on of new spe	cific plans c	r special pl	anning areas,

If **no**, then the project is eligible to claim consistency with growth assumptions that were used for CAP forecasts.

If **yes**, the project would trigger an amendment to or adoption of one or more of the documents list above, complete **1D** below.

1D. If the project triggers an amendment to the General Plan, specific plans, and/or special planning areas, complete the following table:

	Existing & Proposed Project			Proposed Project's Net Effect on Citywide Forecasts			
	Existing or Allowed Under Existing Zoning (A)	Proposed Project (B)	Net Change from Existing Zoning (C=B-A)	2020 CAP Forecast (D)	Proposed Project's Net Effect on Citywide 2020 Forecast (E = D+C)	Would Net Effect of Project Exceed the Citywide 2020 CAP Forecast?	
Population				145,020			
Jobs							
				89,750			
Households / Dwelling Units				50.000			
				59,660			

F	<u>Please (</u>	describe	any a	assumptions	s used to	calculate	existing,	allowed,	or propos	sed condit	ions:
						···					

Attachment 8 Page 30 of 35

Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Rd. Townhomes File #2015-8059 Page 3 of 8

If **no for all indicators above**, then the project may be eligible to claim consistency with CAP growth assumptions. The project's assumed residents, employees, and households would not create a net increase on community-wide growth assumed in the CAP. The CAP uses these community-wide growth indicators to forecast community-wide emissions from residential energy use, nonresidential energy use, water-related emissions, and waste. Because the CAP uses these comparable indicators to forecast non-transportation related emissions, and the project would not exceed the CAP's assumed 2020 residents, employees, and dwelling units, the project's non-transportation emissions are therefore consistent with CAP growth assumptions and captured within the CAP's emissions forecast. Complete **1E** below.

If yes to one or more indicators above, the proposed project's net effect on citywide 2020 forecasts would exceed the 2020 CAP forecast assumptions. Therefore, the project may trigger additional emissions not assumed in CAP growth forecasts.

Any projects that exceed the 2020 forecasts may still rely on the CAP for identification of measures and standards for mitigation. However, since such projects exceed the assumptions of the CAP forecast, it is recommended that the project demonstrate anticipated project-level GHG emissions estimates using CALEEMod or another tool. (estimates prepared by consultant).

1E. If the project is consistent with CAP growth forecasts as identified in 1D above, provide the following information.

Would the project have a potentially significant impact after mitigation on any of the following standards of significance identified in the State CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G?

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, program, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
c) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	☐ Yes	⊠ No

If **yes to one or more standards above**, the proposed project's net effect on citywide 2020 forecasts is inconsistent with plans, programs, or policies that informed the assumptions for the 2020 transportation forecast. Therefore, the project is inconsistent with transportation emissions forecasts and <u>is not eligible to claim consistency</u> with the CAP for purposes of GHG emissions and impacts on climate change.

If **no for all standards above**, then the project is consistent with the plans, programs, policies, or ordinances that informed the travel demand model for the 2020 transportation forecast of the CAP. Therefore, the project is consistent with CAP growth assumptions for transportation emissions in the CAP and is eligible to claim consistency with CAP transportation forecasts.

Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist 669 & 673 Old San Francisco Rd. Townhomes File #2015-8059 Page 4 of 8

Section 2: Consistency with CAP Measures

The CAP provides measures that achieve a 15% reduction below 2008 emissions levels by 2020. Each of the measures contains a bulleted list of action items/project standards that help projects achieve that goal. Projects that wish to demonstrate consistency with the CAP must demonstrate consistency with all applicable measures and action items/project standards from the CAP. Consistency with all applicable measures should be cited as evidence to support tiering from the CAP.

2A. Using the action items/project standards identified on the following pages, identify all measures and action items/project standards that are applicable to the project. Identify applicability and project compliance with each action item/project standard.

If a project demonstrates all applicable mandatory standards, the project is eligible to claim consistency with CAP measures and is eligible for CAP streamlining.

If a project does not integrate all applicable mandatory standards, the project is ineligible to claim consistency with CAP measures and is not eligible for CAP streamlining.

Additional voluntary measures may also be recommended. Projects inconsistent with growth forecasts should consider integrating all feasible voluntary and mandatory CAP measures.

Standards for Climate Action Plan Consistency/Private Development

(Includes Near-Term Action Items and Action Items Already Implemented by the City)

Applicable? (Yes or No)	Measure	Action Item/Project Standard	Describe whether standards are applicable and how the project demonstrates consistency with applicable standards
Yes	OS-2	Provide availability and access to outdoor space for recreation or social purposes, including access to public open spaces on privately owned property such as retail shopping centers	The project includes a private enclosed patio for each unit plus common area at the rear of the site. The project exceeds the minimum open space requirements. New sidewalks and street trees will be installed along Old San Francisco Road Residents will be within a 6-minute walk from Braly Park. A commercial shopping center with restaurants and other retail uses is also within a short walking distance of the project site.
Yes	OS-3.1	Continue to implement the City's Tree Preservation requirements.	Five trees are proposed for removal, and three of them are "protected trees". The project will add approximately 23 trees to the site, many of which will be required to be planted as 24-inch or 36-inch box specimens.

Applicable? (Yes or No)	Measure	Action Item/Project Standard	Describe whether standards are applicable and how the project demonstrates consistency with applicable standards
Yes	EC-2.2	Continue to require energy-efficient siting of buildings. Buildings should be oriented and landscape material should be selected to provide maximum energy efficiency for the buildings	A GreenPoint Rated Checklist has been provided indicating that 80 points will be achieved as required for new multi-family developments Many of the points relate to energy efficiency in building siting and construction, and water-efficiency in landscaping.
Yes	WC-2.3	Require new open space and street trees to be drought-tolerant	The project has been designed to comply with the Water-Efficient Landscaping requirements.
Yes	LW-2.1	Require multi-family homes to participate in the City's Multi-family Recycling Program	This is a code requirement.
Yes	LW-2.2	Select materials to be targeted for diversion methods, services or technologies based on the results of the Zero Waste Strategic Plan	The Zero Waste Strategic Plan is already being implemented.
No	CA-1.7	Actively promote the use of alternative modes of transportation as safe modes of travel. When applicable, promote viable programs sponsored by 511.org, the BAAQMD and other recognized agencies on the City's website and publications	The project site is located within proximity to two VTA bus lines (26 & 55).
No	CTO-1.1	Incorporate the provisions of AB 1358, the California Complete Streets Act of 2008, into roadway design, construction and maintenance activities	The existing public street in front of the property is not being modified.
No	CTO-1.2	Implement the street space allocation policy (RTC 8-085, April 28, 2009) in coordination with road reconstruction or resurfacing projects to provide road configurations that accommodate all travel modes.	The existing public street in front of the property is not being modified.
No	CTO-1.3	Require new development to provide cross-parcel access and linkages from the development entrance to the public sidewalk system, transit stops, nearby employment and shopping centers, schools, parks and other parcels for ease of pedestrian and cyclist access	The project site provides direct access from the front units to the public sidewalk. Adequate space on the internal driveway is provided for the remaining four units. The project will upgrade the existing sidewalk and which links to existing public sidewalk along Old San Francisco Road. These sidewalks directly link to nearby bus stops. An existing bike lane adjacent to the site will be maintained. Braly Park

Applicable? (Yes or No)	Measure	Action Item/Project Standard	Describe whether standards are applicable and how the project demonstrates consistency with applicable standards
			(to the south) and a commercial retail center (to the east) is located within short walking distance of the site (approximately 0.3 miles).
Yes	CTO-1.4	Improve pedestrian safety and comfort through design elements such as landscaped medians, pedestrian-level amenities, sidewalk improvements and compliance with ADA design standards, particularly for areas serving high volumes of traffic.	New sidewalks, street trees and street lights will be installed along the project frontage per current City standards.
No	CTO-1.5	Improve bicycle facilities and perceptions of comfort through pavement marking/coloring, physical separation, specialized signs and markings and other design elements.	The existing public street in front of the property is not being modified. The proposed garages exceed minimum size standards and will provide adequate space for bicycle storage.
Yes	CTO-1.6	Require sidewalks to be a minimum of 6 feet wide in order to allow side-by-side walking at identified locations that currently serve high pedestrian traffic volumes or locations planned to serve high volumes of pedestrian traffic.	The existing sidewalk will be upgraded to comply with current City standards.
Yes	CTO-2.1	Require public areas and new development to provide bicycle parking consistent with the VTA Bicycle Technical Guidelines, as amended.	The project complies with bicycle parking requirements by providing oversized garages for the parking of bicycles.
No	CTO-3.1	Continue sponsoring projects to provide transit rider amenities at bus stops and rail stations.	VTA bus stops (Routes #26 and #55) are located close to the project site on Old San Francisco Road and N. Fair Oaks Avenue.
No	CTO-4.1	Require existing and future major employers to utilize a variety of transportation demand management measures such as flexible work schedules, telecommuting, guaranteed rides home, low or no cost transit passes, parking "cash-out" incentives and other programs that provide employees with alternatives to single-occupant commutes.	The project is for residential use.

Applicable? (Yes or No)	Measure	Action Item/Project Standard	Describe whether standards are applicable and how the project demonstrates consistency with applicable standards
Yes	EP-2.3	Prevent buildings and additions from shading more than 10% of roofs of other structures.	A solar study was completed, demonstrating that existing adjacent roofs will not be shaded more than 10% by the project.
No	EP-2.3	Continue to allow and encourage solar facilities above paved parking areas.	A solar array is not proposed above the surface parking spaces. Solar facilities can generally be reviewed through a staff-level permit.
Yes	OR-1.3	In project review, encourage the replacement of high-maintenance landscapes (like grass turf) with native vegetation to reduce the need for gas-powered lawn and garden equipment.	The project has been designed to comply with the Water-Efficient Landscaping requirements.
Yes	OR-2.1	Idling times will be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to five minutes (as required by the California toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations [CCR]) or less. Clear signage will be provided at all access points to remind construction workers of idling restrictions.	This is a standard condition of approval that will be implemented during construction.
Yes	OR-2.2	Construction equipment must be maintained per manufacturer's specifications	This is a standard condition of approval that will be implemented during construction.
Yes	OR-2.3	Planning and Building staff will work with project applicants from construction equipment by selecting one of the following measures, at a minimum, as appropriate to the construction project:	This is a standard condition of approval that will be implemented during construction.
		Substitute electrified or hybrid equipment for diesel and gasoline powered equipment where practical	
		b. Use alternatively fueled construction equipment onsite, where feasible, such as compressed natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), propane or biodiesel.	

Attachment 8
Page 35 of 35
Climate Action Plan CEQA Checklist
669 & 673 Old San Francisco Rd. Townhomes File #2015-8059 Page 8 of 8

Applicable? (Yes or No)	Measure	Action Item/Project Standard	Describe whether standards are applicable and how the project demonstrates consistency with applicable standards
		c. Avoid the use of on-site generators by connecting to grid electricity or utilizing solar-powered equipment.	
		d. Limit heavy-duty equipment idling time to a period of three minutes or less, exceeding CARB regulation minimum requirements of five minutes.	