## **ATTACHMENT 9** Page 1 of 2 Martyn Griffiths

834 Dartshire Way Sunnyvale, CA 94087 408 732-9632

March 22nd 2017

The City Council City of Sunnyvale 456 W Olive Avenue Sunnyvale CA 94086

Ref: In support of SSCD petition - File #2016-7753

Dear Mayor Hendricks and Council Members:

As a neighbor of the above site for re-designation, and a past proponent of a successful SSCD petition, I would like to make a few comments in favor of the applicants.

This application to rezone has met all the SSCD requirements as set out by the Sunnyvale Municipal Code 19.26.200 (d).

The petitioners are asking for the preservation of their privacy in the wake of mounting expectations that adverse development could take place in their community. Backyard privacy is one of the key aspects of the Eichler lifestyle that new and younger generations are buying into; new developments rarely respect this view.

It has been stated that the homes covered by the application are not contiguous. This is false.

It has been stated that boundaries are not delineated according to the municipal code. Again this is false according to the Sunnyvale Municipal code 19.26.200 d (2)

"The proposed district must be clearly delineated .... the proposed district shall follow a recognizable feature such as a street, stream, or tract boundary."

I hope you will entertain this application with the same degree of grace as you did ours.

Thank you.

Martyn Griffiths

Martyn Griffiths

From:

Paul Healy ·

Sent:

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 9:11 AM

To:

Council AnswerPoint

Cc:

Aastha Vashist; Susan Luschas

Subject:

Support SSCD FILE 2016 7753

Honorable Council members,

I am writing in support of the Flamingo SSCD application file number 2016 7753.

The applicants have met all the requirements of the SSCD ordinance including gathering a supermajority of support and payment of all required fees. Per earlier direction from council this application should receive a straightforward approval.

As a sponsor of a recent successful SSCD, I can verify that in the past mixed signals from approving bodies have vastly complicated what could be a straightforward SSCD process. The negative recommendation from planning commission on this application will no doubt continue to contribute to confusion and complexity for future SSCD applicants.

Council has an opportunity to send a message of consistency and support for straightforward and clearly defined process by approving this application as submitted.

Respectfully

Paul Healy