March 8, 2017
City of Sunnyvale, Purchasing Division
City Hall Annex
650 West Olive Avenue
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

## Re: City of Sunnyvale Primary Treatment Facility Package 2

Public Works Project No. UY-16/01-20
BID PROTEST
To whom it may concern:
C. Overaa \& Co. ("Overaa") hereby protests the bid on the above-referenced contract by Flatiron West, Inc. ("Flatiron"), on the grounds that Flatiron's bid was non-responsive, did not substantially conform to the bid requirements, and gave Flatiron a competitive advantage over Overaa and the other bidders. Specifically,

1. Flatiron does not have the experience performing similar projects to satisfy the bid specifications;
2. Flatiron misrepresented its experience; and
3. Overaa believes that the scope of work of Flatiron's ICSC does not include all that is required of the ICSC under the Technical Specifications.

## 1. Flatiron's Experience does not Satisfy the Bid Specifications

The City's bid package required that:
4. Bidder must meet both criteria "a" and "b" below.
a. For the Owner to consider the Bidder properly experienced in work of similar nature to this project, the Bidder must list at least $\$ 250$ million in construction volume over the past five years. Does the bidder meet this criteria: $\qquad$ yes;
$\qquad$ no?
b. For the Owner to consider the Bidder properly experienced in work of similar nature to this project, the Bidder must list at least $\$ 250$ million in construction volume on no more than five (5) and not less than three (3) projects completed within the last five (5) years on the following types of projects:

Conewuction

1. Water/Wastewater Treatment Plant Facility where the electrical, mechanical and instrumentation systems were part of the Contractor's contract.

In response, Flatiron submitted a "Project Information Attachment" listing six projects (copy enclosed). Of those six, however, only two were completed within the five years prior to the bid date. The total construction volume of the two listed projects within the given time frame was less than $\$ 38$ million. Thus, Flatiron did not satisfy either the construction volume or the number of similar projects requirements of the experience criteria of the bid package.

## 2. Flatiron Misrepresented its Experience

Flatiron misrepresented work on some of the projects listed in its Project Information Attachment as work performed by the bidder when, in fact, the work was performed by an entirely different and only distantly affiliated company. Those are the last two projects on the list, the Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority and the Flushing Bay CSO, both of which were performed by E.E. Cruz, not by Flatiron West.

Flatiron stated in the Project Information Attachment that E.E. Cruz is a subsidiary of Flatiron, but that is untrue. E.E. Cruz is owned by a joint venture of Flatiron Construction Corporation and Turner Construction. ${ }^{1}$ Flatiron West is a subsidiary of Flatiron Construction Corporation. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, the relationship between Flatiron West and E.E. Cruz is not that of owner and subsidiary, but, rather, as half-siblings of a common parent company. It is unlikely that Flatiron West and E.E. Cruz, separate corporate entities located three thousand miles apart, share employees or expertise, so Flatiron West ought not be able to claim the experience of E.E. Cruz as its own.

## 3. Flatiron's ICSC may not be Performing all of its Required Scope

Overaa is concerned that Flatiron's bid does not comply with the ICSC system supplier requirements of the Common Work Results for Process Control and Instrumentation Systems, §17050-25, subsection $1.06(E)(2)(a)$, of the Technical Specifications, that states:
"Due to the critical and complex technical requirements of this Project, all Work (materials, equipment, products, submittals, labor, services, etc.) specified in the Electric, and the Instrumentation and Control Specifications, and all Work indicated on the Electrical and Instrumentation Drawings is to be furnished by a single system supplier who had a single source responsibility for both the process control and instrumentation systems and the electrical power system. ${ }^{3}$

[^0]Overaa has reason to believe - although this cannot be confirmed without review of Flatiron's subcontracts and sub-subcontracts, which ought to be in escrow by the time this letter is transmitted -- that the scope of work of Flatiron's ICSC on which Flatiron's bid was based does not include all of the above required equipment \& services to be performed by a "single system supplier", that some of the tasks listed have been left to the equipment suppliers to complete.

Overaa's two prospective electrical subcontractors received proposals from three of the four preapproved ICSCs, Tesco, Wunderlich-Malec and Glenmount Global Solutions (copies enclosed). Both electrical subcontractors who gave proposals to Overaa attempted to obtain proposals from Technical Systems, Inc. (TSI), but TSI would not respond, and we suspect TSI proposed exclusively to Flatiron \& Blocka Construction. Of the three system integrator proposals received by Overaa's prospective subcontractors, only the proposal from TESCO included all of the scope required by $\S 1.06(\mathrm{E})(2)(\mathrm{a})$.

We do know that Flatiron's electrical subcontractor, Blocka Construction, received a proposal from an equipment supplier, Buckles Smith, who represents Rockwell/Allen-Bradley, in the form of a "contractor package", as opposed to a "system integrator package". The "contractor package" proposed to have the Rockwell/Allen Bradley supplier perform all of the professional services of those gear packages, including complete Rockwell/Allen Bradley Submittal Package for the VFD's and MCC's, Complete Rockwell/Allen Bradley Factory Wiring, Witnessed Factory Testing at Rockwell/Allen Bradley's Facility, Start-Up Services by Rockwell/Allen Bradley, Testing Services by Rockwell/Allen Bradley, Training Services by Rockwell/Allen Bradley and a Complete Spare Parts Package. All of those are prescribed to the ICSC under the technical specifications. A copy of that proposal for the Rockwell/Allen Bradley MCC "contractor package" equipment is enclosed. One can assume only that Blocka solicited the "Contractor Package", and that their ICSC (TSI) was not going to perform those tasks. This may also indicate that other suppliers were also asked provide the "Contractor Package", from which may be inferred that Blocka and Flatiron were not going to have the ICSC supply, customize and provide all associated professional services on the required electrical gear package.

TESCO, in contrast, obtained a "system integrator package" from the sole-sourced Rockwell/Allen Bradley vendor, Buckles Smith, per the requirements of the Common Work Results for Process Control and Instrumentation Systems, §17050, subsection 1.06(E)(2)(a). The "system integrator package" requires the ICSC to complete a wide range of tasks, including creating a custom submittal package for all of the MCC control wiring, custom control wiring/labeling by the ICSC at their facility, witnessed factory testing at the ICSC's facility, start-up services by the ICSC, training services by the ICSC and final on-site testing services by the ICSC.

Thus, it seems likely that Flatiron's bid contemplates that much of the work required to be performed by the ICSC will be performed, instead, by equipment suppliers. This would render Flatiron's bid non-responsive, because it would not satisfy the intent of the specifications, which is to have a single source responsible for the PCIS system. This would also have given Flatiron a
substantial bid advantage, because the equipment suppliers can charge less to wire and test than does the ICSC system integrator for their custom equipment engineering, product configuration, custom control wiring \& professional services.

Overaa respectfully requests that Flatiron's bid be disqualified.
Very truly yours,


Jeff Naff
Vice President - Municipal Infrastructure
cc: Flatiron West, Inc.
Via fax: 707-746-1603
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://www.eecruz.com/aboutUs History.php.
    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.bloomberg.com/research/stocks/private/snapshot.asp?privcapld=113641587.
    ${ }^{3}$ This subsection is under the heading "System Supplier Responsibilities, rather than under the heading "ICSC", but the "System Supplier" is defined in $\S 17050-5$, subsection $1.03(\mathrm{~B})(8)$ as follows: "System Supplier: As specified in ICSC Qualifications in the Quality Assurance article of this Section." Thus, the "system supplier", as that term is used in subsection $1.06(\mathrm{E})(2)(\mathrm{a})$, is the ICSC.

