



[HOME](https://sunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com/portals/209/forum_home?active_issue_id=5520&phase=Open) (https://sunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com/portals/209/forum_home?active_issue_id=5520&phase=Open)
[SIGN IN](https://sunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com/portals/209/login?question_list_name=pd_login) (https://sunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com/portals/209/login?question_list_name=pd_login)
[HELP](https://sunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com/portals/209/message/contact_us/main) (https://sunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com/portals/209/message/contact_us/main)

Q Search

Facebook (https://www.facebook.com/sharer/sharer.php?u=https%3A%2F%2Fsunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com%2Fportals%2F209%2FIssue_5520)

Linked In (https://www.linkedin.com/shareArticle?url=https%3A%2F%2Fsunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com%2Fportals%2F209%2FIssue_5520&title=Tell%20us%20about%20your%20preferred%20alternative%20for%20the%20Sunnyvale%20Civic%20Center.%20Sunnyvale%20wants%20your%20input.)

Twitter (https://twitter.com/intent/tweet?text=Tell%20us%20about%20your%20preferred%20alternative%20for%20the%20Sunnyvale%20Civic%20Center.%20Sunnyvale%20wants%20your%20input.%20https%3A%2F%2Fsunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com%2Fportals%2F209%2FIssue_5520)

930

Tell us about your preferred alternative for the Sunnyvale Civic Center.

[Introduction \(/portals/209/Issue_5520\)](/portals/209/Issue_5520)
 [Feedback \(/portals/209/Issue_5520/survey_responses\)](/portals/209/Issue_5520/survey_responses)
[Your Response \(/portals/209/Issue_5520/your_statement\)](/portals/209/Issue_5520/your_statement)
 [Outcome \(/portals/209/Issue_5520/outcome\)](/portals/209/Issue_5520/outcome)

Introduction

BACKGROUND

The current City of Sunnyvale Civic Center is a 26-acre site constructed over time, starting in 1959. It is home to the City's primary administrative facilities: Main City Hall building, City Hall Annex, City Hall South Annex (a modular building), Main Library, Public Safety Headquarters, and the Sunnyvale Office Center (a formerly private office complex not built for the City of Sunnyvale). The City Council has initiated a Master Plan to create a long-term vision for the Civic Center Campus.

Community opinion has been gathered since the Civic Center project started in 2015, and is very important as we continue to evaluate Master Plan alternatives. Community outreach efforts have led to the adoption of a Vision Statement, Success Criteria, and Needs assessment for the Civic Center Campus. More information can be found on the project website (<https://sunnyvale.ca.gov/business/projects/civic.htm>).

The next step of the project is to select a **"PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE."** This alternative will be the focus of more detailed environmental studies before City Council considers adoption of a Master Plan.

The current Civic Center campus looks like this:



([https://pd-](https://pd-oth.s3.amazonaws.com/production/uploads/portals/209/forum/issue/5520/issue_asset/asset/8744/Sunnyvale_Civic_Center_Campus.p)

[oth.s3.amazonaws.com/production/uploads/portals/209/forum/issue/5520/issue_asset/asset/8744/Sunnyvale_Civic_Center_Campus.p](https://pd-oth.s3.amazonaws.com/production/uploads/portals/209/forum/issue/5520/issue_asset/asset/8744/Sunnyvale_Civic_Center_Campus.p)

Over the next few months, the Master Plan will be refined based on Community input and environmental analysis. The Civic Center Project will be implemented in phases.

PHASE I

- New City Hall building consolidating services now in four buildings into one building
- Selective renovation and an addition to the Public Safety Headquarters building
- Demolition of four buildings after the new City Hall is constructed
 - Main City Hall
 - City Hall Annex
 - Sunnyvale Office Center (including NOVA)
 - South Annex
- On-site vehicle, pedestrian and bicycle circulation elements
- Formal and informal open space areas

FUTURE PHASES

Future project phases are expected to include renovation and expansion or replacement of the Main

SITE PLAN ALTERNATIVES

Two Master Plan alternatives have been developed to illustrate the potential future of the Civic Center. The main themes of these two alternatives are:

- **Retain Olive Avenue** as a through-street of the Campus, and cluster new buildings around a central event plaza
- **Close Olive Avenue** between Charles Street and Pastoria Avenue to create a walkable campus with more contiguous open space

Both alternatives include new buildings of an equivalent size and similar amounts of parking. Both alternatives place new buildings so that existing buildings could remain open during construction and City services would be available

SURVEY

This survey is one of several outreach methods that will be used to inform decisions on the Master Plan. This survey and other community engagement activities will assist the City Council in selecting a Preferred Alternative. The final alternative may be a modification of one of the two illustrative master plans shown below

Please look at these design alternatives, and share your thoughts on each.

Click on the design alternatives below for more information.

Design Alternatives



Alternative 1



Alternative 2

Alternative 1: Retain Olive as a Main Street and create a Central Plaza between Civic Buildings



(<https://pd->

[oth.s3.amazonaws.com/production/uploads/portals/209/forum/issue/5520/issue_asset/asset/8765/2017-1010_Option_1_Full_MasterplanC.jpg](https://pd-oth.s3.amazonaws.com/production/uploads/portals/209/forum/issue/5520/issue_asset/asset/8765/2017-1010_Option_1_Full_MasterplanC.jpg))

Alternative 1 Circulation:



(<https://pd->

Key

- | | | | |
|---|---------------|---|---------------|
|  | Drop Off |  | Secure Access |
|  | Entry |  | Service |
|  | Walking Trail |  | Bike |
|  | Vehicle |  | Bike Parking |

[oth.s3.amazonaws.com/production/uploads/portals/209/forum/issue/5520/issue_asset/asset/8767/2017-1010_Option_1_Circulation_DiagramC.jpg](https://pd-oth.s3.amazonaws.com/production/uploads/portals/209/forum/issue/5520/issue_asset/asset/8767/2017-1010_Option_1_Circulation_DiagramC.jpg)

*Click on the images to enlarge in new window.

Alternative 1 Features:

- Retention of Olive Avenue with a central plaza which can be converted to a plaza event space
- Retention of All America Way
- Creation of a Central Plaza framed by Civic Buildings
- One level of parking below each new building and surface parking on the perimeter
- A Large Open Space on the west (adjacent to Pastoria)
- Mature Trees Framing the Mathilda edge
- Retains the Charles Street Gardens in same location


Alternative 2 Features:

- The closure of Olive Avenue as a through-street for cars
- Building configurations include a central Redwood Plaza between the buildings
- Perimeter roads with access to drop-off areas
- Parking under City Hall, along the north edge and free-standing parking structures
- Mature Trees Preserved along the Mathilda Avenue edge
- Large open space to the north
- Retains the Charles Street Gardens in same location

Responses

278 responses » (/portals/209/Issue_5520/survey_responses)

© Copyright 2017 Peak Democracy, Inc. | Privacy (<https://sunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com/privacy>) | Terms of Service (<https://sunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com/tos>) | Download Adobe Reader (<http://get.adobe.com/reader/>)

 Set Language

  PEAK DEMOCRACY (<https://sunnyvale.peakdemocracy.com/>)



1. I spend most of my time on the Civic Center Campus in the following spaces (prioritize the top 3, in order):

Average priorities over 585 responses

- 1. Library
- 2. City Hall (any of 4 existing buildings)
- 3. Campus Open Spaces
- 4. Public Safety
- 5. NOVA Workforce Services
- 6. I do not regularly use the Civic Center Campus

If other, please explain:

Answered : 35

Skipped : 550

Comments:

I am a gardener at the Charles Street Garden. I am very happy to see that the garden will remain in both plans.
Just sitting among the trees.
I do not use it for open space. I use Washington Park.
Charles street gardens.
I run through the parking lots for exercise.
I drive through Olive Ave.
I do not like multi-story buildings for Public Safety and keep City Hall to one two story building.
Charles Street Gardens
I object to any building or modification to the existing campus in order to save City's resources
Charles Street Garden
I currently work for NOVA

Although I don't spend much time on the campus, I regularly walk through it via Olive Ave on my way to/from Caltrain.

Keep Olive Avenue open!

Parking at the library

Open space for children to roam around freely - read books, meet each other and be happy

I only go to the library.

Sometimes I use the outdoor picnic tables.

open area around library

Library

library brick patio

Traveling through on Olive.

What about Charles Street Garden?

City Hall and Library are the main sources of traffic and should be prioritized.

I prefer option 1.

Add a dedicated transit station that does not impede traffic on any of the surrounding streets.

Charles Street Community Gardens

A more natural, updated and modern themed interior and exterior. All current structures are horrific and quite despicable at best.

Expansion of the library with group meeting rooms !!!

I walk my dog on olive.

Preserving and enhancing the Charles Street Community Gardens is my first priority for myself and for the city of Sunnyvale. It is our only community garden
like the idea of closing Olive Avenue and adding more open space! there should be lots of underground parking (cars are not going away anytime soon).
i walk in this area a lot and would do so more if alternative 2 is selected
Charging electric car at city hall parking
By Campus Open Spaces I mean Charles Street Gardens
We do not need to rebuild all this. Remodel at most.

2. I would like to see more open spaces on the Civic Center Campus that includes _____ (select all that apply)

	Response Percent	Response Count
Walking trails	56.5%	323
Art	36.2%	207
Open spaces	46.3%	265
Trees	64.0%	366
Landscape/garden areas	57.2%	327
Plaza spaces	33.2%	190
I do not want any more open spaces on the Civic Center Campus	11.0%	63
Other	12.6%	72

“Other” Comments:

I would like to see the whole open space tied together better.
I would like any open spaces to contain lovely walking trails, places to sit along them, etc. I do not want sports fields but a small children's play area is a good idea.
bike trails, including off street for children

I expect parking for all buildings to be better than it is today. Too many new projects underestimate needs.
I like the structure design and the amount of open space for alt #2
We desperately need a playground near the library.
Please do not waste public funds on this
Children's park with tables and outdoor entertaining
Civic Center should be primarily buildings for conduct of business and meetings
Fewer offices for fewer government employees. Keep mature trees.
benches to sit and read and enjoy the surroundings
What is the need to constantly spend money changing things.
water games for kids (wading, etc..)
I oppose this whole idea due to massive money pit and the heavy interruptions to current services especially the library service
I object to any building or modification to the existing campus in order to save City's resources
Occasional Food Truck perhaps
Play structure
Locally native plantings with habitat value (CA natives appropriate for this area)
Parking
Lots of tree
Sunnyvale is becoming high-density housing and tech campuses. Its open space is vital and rapidly disappearing. Preserve as much as possible

playground
Event or outdoor activity spaces, if not part of the plaza for special attractions; fountains; small amphitheater; specialty seasonal gardens as regional destinations; etc.
Food/drink options
Playground for children
Shady benches for sitting
disabled parking
Kids playground
Kids playground and play structures
Playground
a small amphitheater/stage similar to Memorial Park in Cupertino
We have many very nice city parks in Sunnyvale. I don't understand the need to turn the Civic Center Campus into a park.
children's exploration places, could include art, historic information about Sunnyvale or local plants/trees
Open space and plazas are all nice in both options, but let's focus on a modernized, accessible, eco-friendly and appealing upgrade and expansion to the main civic facilities.
Full Circle Farm - Sustainable community garden - free for all
More parking spaces
Play spaces for children and picnic areas for post-library use
Seating areas: benches, tables, artworks that can be sat on
I'm content with the current amount of open space

Picnic areas
art
art, sculptures that can be touched, climbed on & explored
native plants
Water play feature for kids
Fewer WiFi towers
Kids playground and an open field for soccer etc
Dog runs/place with astroturf to chase a ball
No need to modify anything in the library campus. Modifications should be limited to southern side of Olive avenue.
Places to read library books
playground like Magic Bridges in Palo Alto, drought tolerant garden, butterfly garden, bridge, lots of bike racks, shade structures.
soccer fields
Playgrounds
more parking that is cheap, i.e. surface parking
Theater
Benches beneath trees
Cool cafe with lunch and beverages close to library and overlooking open space to allow for passive supervision of children
Amphitheater or performance / gathering space. Area for outdoor meetings, yoga, etc. Demonstration of native plants and water wise features.

Playground
Due to smokers, open space in this area is unusable.
Open space should not come at the expense of an efficient business layout.
I would like to see the Civic Center Campus exactly as it is.
playground option?
Adult recreational activities like slides, swings, etc. not just for kids
Open air community performance space because the S Murphy area is too small
Off leash dog areas
a fenced dog park
Play grounds and event spaces
Why the heck are you tearing down these buildings. Leave them as they are. Haven' t you done enough to ruin Sunnyvale with all this construction.
Off-leash dog areas in the open spaces (see Cuesta Park in Mountain View)
Representative trees (bings, cots etc.)
We do not need to waste money on this project.

3. I might stay on the Civic Center Campus for longer periods or more often if there was more _____ (select all that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Accessible power outlets		22.5%	128
Comfortable furniture		32.9%	187
Wi-Fi availability		44.2%	251
Writable surfaces		14.1%	80
Food		35.0%	199
More convenient bicycle parking		14.4%	82
More secure bicycle parking		14.6%	83
Private study/work spaces		23.9%	136
Collaborative study/work spaces		18.8%	107
Community meeting spaces		31.2%	177
Outdoor Events (music, festivals, etc.)		55.6%	316
Exercise Course		21.3%	121
Walking Trails		52.3%	297
Kids Playground		24.6%	140
Outdoor spaces		37.5%	213
I do not want to spend more time at the Civic Center Campus		7.4%	42
Other		8.6%	49

“Other” Comments:









please shade any new playgrounds
Shady areas with trees. The library plaza used to have lovely cherry trees. Bring back that kind of look.
I like the library as it is, although easier parking, and no waiting rules (for the rude) would be nice.
parking!!!
Pokestops :-)
Please do not waste public funds on this
Convenient Parking

There are almost no spaces for clubs, etc in the bay area. Please, please add several.
Water games like in Serra park for hot summer days
please keep NOVA on the new campus
I have no interest nor desire to stay anywhere within the City Campus
interesting books
if I had more time
Cleaner, safer library
Special art display, seasonal gardens, etc.
Any amphitheater should be large enough to host many citizens
interactive art
A dedicated physically protected bike lane along Hollenbeck that safely connects the Nimitz neighborhood to the Civic Center
parking
a cafe
My primary use of the CCC is to visit the library. My problem with both alternatives is that both appear to make access to the library by disabled patrons more challenging.
parking
There is enough outdoor space and event space at the Remington facilities.
A community hall that we can book to do events and community meetings
larger fiction collection in non-electronic books
Gardens

Tables and chairs or picnic benches
if the kids could safely ride bikes to the library!
I avoid toooooo much WiFi. It affects digital hearing aid negatively. Not a place for playground. Give them rocks and trees to play on.
Space for pets
Modification should be limited to southern side of Olive avenue
Parking
Sitting area with shade
Water features that kids can play in (like Cupertino)
We LOVE the Sunnyvale library. Please help make it great! :) It would save some travel time if there are food nearby.
People didn't smoke there.
EV charging stations.
Could not care less about the Civic Center Campus.
If the library were to close at a later time.
Off leash dog areas.
free car parking
dog friendly space, fenced dog park, fountains for dogs
parking near the library at peak times
Again - this is nonsense. Leave it as it is. This is a very nice campus with very nice buildings. Why are you tearing this down? Stop all this insane construction in Sunnyvale. You are destroying this city.

coffee beverages available
Off-leash dog areas in the open spaces (not an enclosed or fenced dog park (see Stern Grove))
If "writable surfaces" is space for graffiti, no way
public restrooms
It's pretty great the way it is now. I just wish the library did more to stop kids from screaming and running around. (It was not like this before)

4. I like these features of Alternative 1 (select all that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Keeping Olive as a through street		54.2%	272
Quantity of open space		43.8%	220
Location and adequacy parking		47.6%	239
Safe pedestrian pathways		38.0%	191
Building entries located around a new central plaza		43.2%	217
Supports a variety of community uses in outdoor spaces		41.4%	208
Layout of vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle circulation paths		28.5%	143
Other		11.2%	56

“Other” Comments:

I like that the parking garage seems to be further underground (1 full level) as opposed to alternative 2 (1/2 level underground).
I like how the DPS is at the northern end and next to a parking structure
I do not support overhauling the whole civic center. If anything, a playground is needed.
Please do not waste public funds on this
The simple drawing does not give enough information for me to decide

Fewer offices, more open space and trees. Limit city hall to two stories.
Everything close to one drop off spot and then walking to your destination
Keep ALL existing mature trees, especially redwood trees and Library "cork" oak tree
That there'd be underground parking at the library
I like the open space on ECR, not structured parking. I like that the city bldgs are clustered on Mathilda.
Parking close to the library is optimal especially for people carrying books from library to their cars.
I think dps and library right next to each other would give a safer environment. Unless, prisoners are let out of jail next to library.
I am happy to see a new CIVIC center but please prove it will not increase residents' burden anymore by showing the source of funding to residents before developing CIVIC center and doing a thorough cost-benefit analysis for this over \$110M project.
Library close to DPS
Keeping the mature redwood trees along Mathilda
maps are hard to understand
Layout facilitates different uses (the person who needs to planning office is not always the person taking children to a library activity). Competition for parking is minimized in these cases.
parking under library building
I like that vehicles can get into/out of the Civic Center more efficiently; for the needs of citizens and for Public Safety needs
Parking under the library for rainy days or late nights
In Alternative 2 the parking is far away from the library, I'm disabled so that would make it unusable to me.

no big parking structure along el camino.
I use Olive regularly to get to Pastoria & travel to the other side to access El Camino Real.
Keeping mature trees, Central Plaza framed by civic buildings including library, car free areas
Appears to have better Library parking and access than Alt 2.
I'm all for open space, but I think keeping Olive as a through street will help reduce traffic on Iowa. More parking needed, though.
Location of open space next to El Camino isn't the best for creating a quiet, relaxing area for citizens.
Olive could be closed off as needed for events.
Modification should be limited to southern side of Olive avenue
accessibility
I like having more of the green open space visible from el Camino. Adds to calm of the city
Easy for bike commuters to use Olive as a bike boulevard with minimal conflicts with pedestrians
I do not like any of the proposed features - the current campus is good enough for me and I don't think any new change is necessary.
Don't have to go far from parking lot to enter buildings
Maintaining many of the trees and Charles Street garden.
No Parking structure on ECR frontage
Apparently easy to/from access for public safety
Lots of convenient parking under the buildings, making them easily accessible.
I dislike alternative 1 completely, it fragments the space with little usability

It looks like a nice amount of parking, however, I think if Olive remains open, it makes more sense to put the parking lot off that street instead of in the far back.

Having parking along the sides of Olive

location of parking (it's hard to tell here if it is adequate). Also, it keeps the bus stop on Olive. Location of open space is better than alternative 2

There are things I like about both. This one seems friendlier to people who can not walk as far from car to building site

Don't like it

Underground parking

This option seems better for handicapped people. Parking looks closer to the buildings.

Again - leave this as it is. Why are you taking a very nice part of town and mucking it up.

I do not like any of it

I like a plaza concept, across Olive would be like State of the City this year, consider awnings over plaza spaces

Good access to Mathilda and El Camino for DPS

Do not like this design; seems disjointed and lacks flow.

Doesn't need a change. Save your/my money

I do not like the features of Alternative 1

We do not need any of this, a waste of taxpayer money. Remodel for more room, if we really need more room

Retains ALL the trees currently on-campus!

More access points via car than the other plan.

5. I do not like these features of Alternative 1 (select all that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Keeping Olive as a through street		54.8%	198
Quantity of open space		22.4%	81
Location and adequacy parking		20.5%	74
Safe pedestrian pathways		8.9%	32
Building entries located around a new central plaza		12.5%	45
Supports a variety of community uses in outdoor spaces		4.7%	17
Layout of vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle circulation paths		24.1%	87
Other		18.8%	68

“Other” Comments:

I don't like that there's traffic through the plaza (Olive St)
I would prefer to see the library moved near Pistoria with the open space between the buildings, shielded from the traffic noise and concern playing children might run into the street
Buildings are too crowded together
Too much open space. Not enough parking. DSP across from apartments that take up most of the street parking even during the day.
Location of open space next to El Camino
Dramatic increase in Mathilda & El Camino congestion from 2 New Parking Enter/Exits
I do not support overhauling the whole civic center. If anything, a playground is needed.
Too car-centric
Please do not waste public funds on this
The simple drawing does not give enough information for me to decide

above-ground parking structure
having a 4 story building, parking structures
we should focus on fewer government employees instead of pointless projects to create unnecessary, expensive jobs.
Keep ALL existing mature trees, especially redwoods and Library "cork" oak tree
Putting a portion of Olive Ave underground, as it appears in the design in between City Hall and DPS
I prefer the library structure of plan 3.
All seems okay.
We all know Sunnyvale has more debt than assets when adding increased employee's pension. This year, in addition to utility rate increases dramatically, city increases all kinds of fees like permit fees from 100% to 1000%.
Parking should be near the library not city hall.
I _do_ like all the features
Not sure if pedestrian is safe at the shared plaza since vehicle may go through
Not as safe for pedestrians
Not a good idea having parking under police station unless restricted to official vehicles. Parking structure too far from library.
security vulnerability of the installation arrangements.
unclear if there is adequate disabled parking and where that would be located.
unclear where the library entrances are located. Need one on the side close to the pkg garage and near the library pkg lot
disabled access to the library appears to be made challenging.

Way too much open space.
Proximity of Library to DPS
Less parking outside garages
Unsure if parking quantity will be adequate and will be safely used by elderly, women, children
Parking structure too far away from Library.
Open space next to El Camino Real may be dangerous for kids.
I don't think it is necessary to redo the civic center at all
Olive isn't as safe an option for pedestrian pathways as Alternative 2
Reading your 2 diagrams really is too difficult to make a decision about.
The buildings seem bunched together, and the plaza between them seems small.
buildings feel too jumbled/close together
Underground parking
Modification should be limited to southern side of Olive avenue
Not so much quantity as contiguity of open space
No handicap access points
Think Police need easy access to El Camino. This will be a harder area to exit.
Why spend on parking structures?
City Hall building is too tall (reduce to 2 - 3 levels?)

Instead of presenting a beautiful, grand or interesting public face of the City, the parking structure squanders prime real estate at the most visible corner of the campus: El Camino and Mathilda

There will be a safety issue when you park the car and then walk across Olive to the library.

Open space near busy intersection

You better get buy-in from neighbors before considering amphitheaters.

Central plaza may not work well for driving through on Olive. Too much reliance on automobile access for 20+ years in future.

Senseless

bad design -- DPS right next to library

Library too close to community garden. Open space broken up by keeping Olive Ave. open.

Size of open space area, largest is much smaller than option 2

I do not like the buildings in close proximity to the community garden

Take some of that excessive green space and make a fenced dog park. Sunnyvale badly needs one!

i don't like anything about where the bldgs are located in this design. i don't like that DPS is right next to Library. i don't like layout of bldgs. too much space wasted on vehicle parking lots & structures.

not providing an Alternative that says "No Change to current campus".

I do not like the open spaces as thier uses were never clear, I don't believe there is a plan of use and that the intent is to sell off this land. There was no need to cluster the other buildings so tightly.

I would like building entrances easily accessible to parking. This is a higher priority than entries by the central plaza

All entries around central plaza could create traffic problem. I like having the offices separate.

Placing all the buildings in the north part of the space, excessive concern for each and every tree - do like Apple and move them
Olive cuts the property in half making a challenge for pedestrians wanting to cross between the two sides of the property
Open spaces are isolated at the west side of the campus.
Above ground parking
Continues to funnel fast-driving cars onto West Olive (residential area).

6. Other comments you would like to share about Alternative 1:

Answered : 143

Skipped : 442

Comments:

Open space facing Pastoria is better facing a street.
The open space at El Camino and Pastoria seems less than ideal: adjacent to a busy, noisy street; next to an existing commercial property; separated from the rest of the space by Olive St.
I really prefer this one. I think with all the increased construction happening downtown that keeping Olive open as a through street in important.
I thought that we voted not to have a new library. Are you going against what we voted for? If so I think that you need to listen to what the people want.
Buildings are too crowded together
Drop off and quick parking are key to families with small kids.
I do not like the idea of an open plaza where there is only stone and no trees. We live in a very sunny climate and one wouldn't sit or stay very long in such an open space. It looks very barren. The buildings do not match. I do not like the roof of the building on the right extending out as it does. These buildings are not in keeping with the style in Sunnyvale. We had asked the architects to match the style, the "feel" of Sunnyvale. This concept is very much out of line with what many residents had asked for. (I could not click on more than one choice at #5 It wouldn't work).

I expect this design to be good for fifty years. I am not impressed. Why are the buildings mostly away from El Camino and Pastoria?

Easier access for older adults or those with limitations.

increase the amount of underground parking, with the growing population this plan will quickly be outgrown. Keep it underground! don't use valuable open space for parking

Parking Enter/Exit should be ONLY from side streets NOT Mathilda & El Camino. Need to minimize traffic flow interruption on Mathilda & El Camino new plans

I do not support overhauling the whole civic center. If anything, a playground is needed.

We would like Sunnyvale to embrace a post-personal-automobile lifestyle. Our automobile-centric culture is not sustainable in the amount of space it takes in cities and the inefficient way it moves people from place to place.

Please do not waste public funds on this

The entire project seem like a big waste of time and money.

Alt 1 facilitates more quick in/out trips to the library, which I would think is in very high demand. If one has to park in a garage and walk a distance to the library, they will just not go to the library very much anymore...or they will curse this new expensive design while they do it.

As it is now, Olive Avenue divides the City Hall campus--it does not feel like one unified place, but a piecemeal collection of buildings that all happen to have the same tenant. Additionally, drivers using Olive as a shortcut will often speed, making the area around the street feel unsafe. It's hard to imagine that drivers will behave differently whether or not a new campus is built. Even with Alternative 1's central plaza meant to unify the buildings, Olive Avenue remaining open would likely continue to seem like an obstacle in the middle of the campus.

I greatly prefer the proposed architecture of Alternative 1 to Alternative 2, but feel strongly that Olive Ave remaining open would undercut the unifying elements.

Keep Olive a through street; we have enough traffic problems as it is. Best way for people to get to facilities.

Both alternatives are good. Alternative 2 feels a little more compelling for people.

Libraries are declining in popularity. Does it make sense to show that having less square footage per capita as a negative. Perhaps Sunnyvale is forward thinking in not wasting money?

No four story office buildings that look into surrounding houses and yards.

Can you just close All America Way?

I like that the buildings are clustered, rather than strung out the width of the lot. I very much like that the entrances to the three buildings are from a central plaza--unifying.

I like the open space areas near Pastoria

There should be location where people can eat outside and have discussions outside of the library. I also hope there are. Inference rooms in the library.
I think there should be more parking.

I am extremely concerned about the cost of any future renovations. There was a very expensive City Logo redesign that was a huge waste of money. Secondly this garbage program you have forced upon the residents is absolutely abominable. The less you do for any renovation, the better. You are not spending the tax payer monies very wisely in my opinion.

While this plan could probably work, I was more attracted to Alternative 2 since it becomes a car free park and community gathering area.

Innovative kids play area near the library would be awesome

Keep traffic flowing on a olive Ave. It would be much more difficult for me to drive or ride my bike if Olive is closed off.

Uninterrupted walking paths are better

This plan can help alleviate the traffic/parking issue!

This applies to both Alternatives. When Palo Alto rebuilt Mitchell Park Library and the Community Center, they did not allow for enough convenient Library parking. On most weekend days at least, the lot spaces nearest to the Library are usually full, making the Library much less accessible for people. Whatever plan you ultimately decide on, PLEASE make sure the parking for the Library is sufficient and nearby. No one wants to hike half a mile with a load of books, with little kids, with handicaps, etc. Also, while all the "special" parking for hybrid cars, carpools, etc. might make one feel good, it just eats up parking spaces for people who are maybe unable to have/do those things. Handicap parking should be sufficient for everyone who goes to the Library. Charge your electric car at home or don't make it for the premiere parking spots. Even now the Library parking lot is often very full, and none of these accommodations are currently there other than handicap parking. Thank you!

I am happy to see a new CIVIC center but please prove it will not increase residents' burden anymore by showing the source of funding to residents before developing CIVIC center and doing a thorough cost-benefit analysis for this over \$110M project. We all know Sunnyvale has more debt than assets when adding increased employee's pension. This year, in addition to utility rate increases dramatically, city increases all kinds of fees like permit fees from 100% to 1000%. Next year City still needs to spend money for the election campaign. Is our city's funding ready for every necessary & emergent project? Please think three times before you act on NEW CIVIC Center Project.

Sunnyvale is growing ever more densely populated, and the Library/City Hall area gets a lot of traffic in visitors. Retaining Olive Street as a thoroughfare to provide adequate capacity for the traffic and buffer roads to funnel the traffic onto the main thoroughfares is an important feature.

Instead of a central plaza, I'd make it more like Murphy Street. A nice arch over the street. Nice street lights and landscaping.

I do not support either alternative. Why should \$18 million be used for an addition to Public Safety in Phase 1, only to be taken out later? Project financial analysis and funding methods should be made public, so that there can be proper discussion and planning. The proposed overhaul of the site does not pay attention to good features that should be kept; or the wishes of half of the attendees of the community outreach workshops in 2016. There should be an advisory vote on the plan to be chosen.

1. The design picture show very ugly buildings. Please don't waste money on buildings that look like that.
2. Parking garage should have multiple points of entry and exit.

No.

I particularly like the way the elevated plaza between the Library and City Hall maintains walkability while still allowing thru traffic below on Olive.

I really like how buildings are clustered together with open spaces around borders of the entire site - very inviting to the neighborhood. One can passively notice any events happening by passing by and have a sense engaging.

Keeping Olive open would work best, but not if it bisects a pedestrian area and creates a safety hazard. There must be some way to have a central plaza without the safety issues. Rerouting Olive should be considered. Entrance to the facility should be off of Olive, not Charles. Parking should be as close to the library as possible. Building designs are not that attractive. Location of DPS (with 24 hour traffic) could be disruptive to neighborhood.

I think this designs chops up the space and makes it more difficult to get from one area to another.

I can't tell if the library is larger in alternative 1 or 2; a larger library with more space for books and more private study/work areas is important to me.

The community gardens are too isolated from the rest of the campus. DPS is too far from the courthouse. I don't really see too many people making trips that combine visits to city hall, dps, and library, thus the concrete plaza will primarily service building employees. Possible wind tunnel effect if multi-story buildings surround an open concrete plaza. The open spaces are too similar and divided by a thoroughfare, so the uses are limited and cannot be combined without closing the road. Plan to include rooftop gardens or learning spaces.

2 concerns: 1) does public safety think that location and access is good enough for fast exits? 2) will the south side of a 4 story city hall building get too hot.

I like the idea of having a new plaza area. It would be the perfect place to host art/craft/tech fairs. Rather than shutting down (or removing) Olive Ave, it should remain as a useful thoroughfare.

Don't have good feelings with parking space under the buildings. Have anyone forgot the factor in mass catastrophic event possibility, such as past in Oklahoma?

I like that this Alternative has more underground parking. The buildings are close to one another, which leaves a lot of open space - that is a good thing. However, it seems like all the buildings are crowded onto one side of the campus, when they could be a little more spaced out.

Please keep Olive Avenue open!

Parking near the facility is key to making the center convenient.

I like the Drop off feature that is lacking in alt 2

If the new plaza area is succesful, Olive ave will not be able to sustain any amount of vehicular traffic. At that point, retaining it does not serve much purpose. Utilizing that place for more outdoor walking areas would be a better idea.

Drop-offs seem all bunched up.

Though, Bus route through Olive (I don't recall where it goes now) may be good

I basically want to park close to the library. It seems to me that the underground parking under the library will be inadequate in this design.

It looks as if the plaza is bisected by Olive Ave. That doesn't seem right.

please clarify if all parking structures / underground parking are for the public; if so, people will park in the city hall lot to get to the library, so will need a lot of 20 minute spots and 5 minute spots.

Open space is wonderful. But why would open space draw more people to the civic center plaza? The library is a huge magnet for community use, by far eclipsing any other Civic Center department. Quick and convenient access to the library is the priority. I doubt the community space, like that plaza across from the Caltrain station will effectively be unused. Moms aren't likely to to let their kids run around and play there freely.

I strongly prefer the concepts presented with this option, except for the actual keeping of Olive street. I'd rather see the buildings centrally located, parking underground for each building, and a central plaza. But the street itself makes this unappealing.

In this design, the green areas look nice, but they are unfortunately split by Olive Ave. This makes crossing between the two less convenient.

I prefer that Olive remain open to cars. However, I don't see anything addressing handicap access to any buildings and I'm very concerned that available parking for handicap and access to the library will be more difficult.

It is imperative to keep Olive street open. The congestion on Mathilda and Mary is already awful. Closing Olive Street would make traffic even worse. We need MORE streets not fewer streets. Already the City has reduced the number of lanes on our city streets thus actually making congestion worse. Now that we have so many high tech companies in Sunnyvale, please do NOT close and remove any more streets.

It is very important to retain/upgrade/add parking and access for citizens who have disability problems. It is the right of all Sunnyvale city dwellers to have equal access to civic facilities.

I like the building centered close together. And keeping green space along El Camino.

I really don't like that traffic can drive through the central plaza. If this is where people are congregating and walking between buildings it would be safer to keep this area for pedestrians only and have a close drop off point. This feature alone would make me prefer Alternative 2.

The space for current children library is too small for the amount children population

I'm not sure who would use "walking trails" for exercise as the space is quite small for actual exercise. Space for hanging out would be used more frequently. In either case, walking and hang-out space would not be complemented by splitting up the space with Olive Ave. I don't like green space on the southwest corner, adjacent to El Camino Real. It might attract an undesirable element and/or people loitering.

Not terribly fond of the City Hall design.

I like the amount of parking in Alternative 1. It looks like parking is available at each building. I like this, I'm older & feel each building could be easily accessed from parking areas. Also, Alt.1 to me appears to have the buildings grouped closer together which is pleasing to my eye. I don't care for the buildings to be sprawled all around. Hopefully, the city will have some seating & drinking faucets along the pedestrian walkways.

I like the idea of all Sunnyvale civic buildings and library close together. Easily walkable without having to cross a street or more. I appreciate effort to keep mature trees. Are there plans to add restrooms accessible when the civic and library building are closed? How about drinking and bottle refill fountains? I'd like to see more seating areas: benches, tables, artworks that can be sat on.

Impossible to determine whether spaces allocated for each use will last as long as they need to for design lifespan or if they include ways for the buildings to be augmented at reasonable cost when the time comes. Also can't tell if the buildings and setting will retain the charm of the current campus - plants and human scale materials. Am concerned about crime and panhandlers in the underground parking - don't know that I'd be comfortable using it. Would be good if the parking structure had real time electronic information about space availability. How will library patrons - moms with strollers and small kids - get up to the library from the parking level? Will there be an emergency security call button system?

It's easy to get thru from olive street even for fire truck I don't think one thought of that. Since we have a new apartments, bank, library and lots of trees already.

I would be nervous about allowing Olive to bisect the plaza. I feel that with little kids running around outside the Library, this invites an accident waiting to happen. The Library would be hidden far away from the road, thus making it harder to find.

I like the green space along El Camino.
Keeping Olive open is important to me, as it serves as an important bicycle route between Hollenbeck/Pastoria and Sunnyvale Ave.
The parking structure location in Alternative 1 is better, as it will minimize conflict between motor vehicles and bicyclists/pedestrians around the structure entrance/exits.

I would like this layout if you kept it exactly the same except removed Olive street.

I like that the library parking is located right next to the library and is only for the library.

Parking structure should be underground

I'm glad the Community Garden is remaining where it is.

This is my preferred design.

I don't like the Central Plaza that you can drive through. Seems like a potential traffic problem as people walk across the plaza and cars driving through at speed. Distance from parking structure to library is quite a distance unless the underground parking beneath the library is sufficient.

Please make plenty of underground parking. Don't let a parking nightmare occur like the lot at Trader Joe's and PF Changs.

Having NOVA and the Library on opposite sites of Olive negatively impacts both departments -- the number of common clients is high and both would benefit from not having to cross Olive.

The whole Civic Center will bring uncontrolled traffic. Closing Olive will jam ElCamino, Pastoria, Mathilda etc. This whole project, now up to \$1.7 million asks questions residents really can't answer since few of us know the usage for all you want an opinion on. Trying to redo the whole Civic Center is a project for la la land. Where there is heavy traffic, I simply don't go anymore.

I am unsure of how traffic would be if Olive were closed. It seems that having the access directly from El Camino or Mathilda would be problematic traffic wise.

It is too bad that the City can't take over the disused court house.

Underground parking is a safety issue, especially for women and children. Multi-layer parking garages become eyesores.

So much space is taken by the street. Not enough parking space.

Alternative 1 is both safer for: incoming traffic (they will slow on slow roads before parking, as opposed to stopping on El Camino before coming in, getting rear ended while they are no doubt texting or doing any of the other things idiot drivers do thes days), and for anyone who has difficulty walking long distances--from handicapped people to small children. It's also just plain better aesthetically--both for people inside the compound and for those driving down Pastoria. Plus, it seems a nice view for our Law Enforcement and Fire Fighters, MOST of whom well deserve it :)

should include a couple of designated "5 min-only" parking places for library drop-off that don't involve the parking garage

Changes should be limited to southern side of Olive avenue where DPS And council chambers are located. Keep the Library side as is. No need to waste money.

It is not clear to me where the Offices would be in this layout or Alternative 2-specifically the NOVA offices. Will NOVA remain at this site?

Campus is divided, which cuts down usable space (soccer balls going into the street, pedestrians waiting to cross Olives)

need more cheaper to build parking, i.e more surface parking, not in garages

I like the existing campus and there is no change necessary at this time.

I like both pedestrian and vehicle accessibility directly to the buildings.

I like the large part of open space in number 2, but it appears I can get to the buildings easier in number 1. I am handicapped and somedays walking is not as easy as others. I do like a walking trail for those days that I can walk.

Why spend on parking structures?

Seems much cheaper and better use to leave the parking instead of multi outdoor use.

Reduce parking, introduce parking fees for both City staff and visitors, and have a shared district-wide approach e.g. Downtown. Many people could walk, ride or take transit but don't bother because there is always plenty of free parking.

I am ambivalent about removing Olive. I really like the Plaza idea which seems absent in Alternative 2, but could be done also without Olive as a through street. I like the parking under each of the buildings and mostly out of sight as opposed to the highly visible parking structure directly across from the Library in Alternative 2. I like the Biophillic features of the City Hall that were mentioned at the presentation. Each of the buildings should be designed with biophilia in mind and have ways to bring the outside-in. What about keeping All-America Way, but closing the rest of Olive east of the junction? So you could enter the parking garage from Mathilda on All America Way or from Olive to All America Way from Hollenbeck. But Olive from All America Way to Mathilda would be bicycle/pedestrian only. There could still be a drop-off area near the Plaza at Olive and Mathilda for buses, ride-sharing, etc. I'm not clear on the vehicle circulation for each of the 4 buildings.

Library parking needs to be right next to the library. I can't always walk well.

Unclear to me how much event space is available. For example, would there be enough space to hold the Sunnyvale Art & Wine Festival in the event space with either Alternative 1 or Alternative 2? It would be great if that type of event could be held in the Civic Center area. If there will not be enough event space for a large event like the Art & Wine Festival, then I do not have strong preferences between the two alternatives.

Keep the street open

Too much parking, too much focus on auto traffic. Should be more pedestrian friendly.

This is clearly the better of the two plans, more centralized buildings and better auto and public transit drop off access.

The central plaza is nice except if you want to have events either you have to close the street which is disruptive, or only use half of it. I love the idea of Alt 2 with no vehicles so the plaza is open and available for events, music, hanging out, etc.

We pick up books from the library's hold system nearly every week and the short term parking close to the library is a lifesaver. If there is only parking underground for the library I foresee that we won't be as likely to hop in/out of the library like we do right now. This is an important feature for my family to keep.

I don't see a bank of EV charging stations in either alternative. I don't see an evidence of a shuttle to/from downtown and Caltrain.

I like the amount of parking, mostly that it is underneath the main buildings.

Some mindless or corrupt officials want to spend our money on useless, futile projects. like it was done in the ghost (down) town Sunnyvale.

Looks very nice! With the large use of Craigslist and the like, this plan should have an area in front of the police station where strangers can meet to safely sell used goods.

While I like all the green space on Alternative 1, the layout of the green space is cut through by buildings and the street. It makes it a few small green patches, instead of a larger park-like feel.

We already have traffic issues in SV, so its not close up streets.

I think this alternative may have too much above ground parking. While expensive to build below ground, that would be my recommendation. Also, will the central plaza really feel like a unified space with a road running directly through it?

Alternative 1.

The parking is close to each building, and is convenient for older to access.

Less grass land cost less water to irrigate.

This plan do not change land layout too much, and expect to have less cost.

Prefer any and all parking to be underground. We should focus on a non car future. City centers should be gravitational centers with art and focal points that encourage people to enjoy the environment that is sunnyvale. Provisions should be in place that move people from the train station to the center without the need for cars.

If Olive remains open, the parking should be off of Olive or the street parking should remain. Wherever the street flow goes is where the parking lot should be. Please do not hide the parking far away from the building we want to access! There should always be a reasonable number of non-handicap parking spaces that are near the buildings. It is really inconvenient when the parking for a building is not placed in an obvious, easy-to-find location.

It's still archaic like the current structure. Lacks vision.

The building outlines do not seem to have any architectural interest. E.G. Alt 2 buildings have curved exterior walls

Seems to show 6 levels of parking, including surface parking

DO LIKE the open space facing ECR (SE corner of drawing)

If there is an off-leash area, people will spend time there
Why is there so much open space? Would it not be cheaper to make more surface parking?
Why have the parking structure so close to the buildings? Alternative 2 moves it further away.
I don't like the parking structure so close to the buildings--why have a parking structure as your backdrop?
It's better than #2, but needs more parking at the library end. All that green space needs mowing and watering. Why not use some of it for dog park space (denatured granite) and picnic spaces.
i don't like how traffic cuts right through this space-- particularly between the 2 ovals of open space. concept completely contradicts purpose of open space to be far from moving vehicles. i don't like that cars are parked right under the library. why so MUCH space devoted to parking your car?
The plan should go to a public vote. To do otherwise is not in keeping with the public's right to participate in the decision making and in future costs.
With all the huge construction around El Camino, it would be nice if the open space was closer to that roadway.
Prefer closing Olive to create more open space. Prefer lots of open space and underground parking. Open space is Sunnyvale's most valuable asset!
See other comments. City Hall and the planning commission is really destroying this city with all of this construction/overbuilding. Don't you care about the people you represent. Leave this as is. It is a very nice area as it is!
Like the outdoor space along Mathilda. Some on Pastoria is good but don't know why there's so much. Washington and Las Palmas parks are only a few blocks away in opposite directions.
Are we confident that the building sizes are large enough to allow for future growth? This is a very important aspect to consider!

In the development of these alternatives, it appears we reached a point and it was said, we are preserving all trees larger than "N" inches in diameter, where N is a number not further discussed. And the trees not further discussed-- they could be ginkgo or liquid amber or dying Monterey Pine. They appear to be where the current library and the current Public Safety building are now. We cannot just add on to the Public Safety building apparently because of the trees that are growing there. Growing where? We need a government and citizen group be willing to have public meetings to go over the tree obstruction question, yes, tree by tree.

alternative 2 is much better!

How would community events impact the library? Would the noise from these events interfere with the silence of the library?

Is it necessary to have a parking structure? Cannot all parking be underground?

I like the parking building is closer to Mathilda Ave and closer to city hall. Make all the business functions all together!

Where does the public safety office go?

I wish a similar amount of money were to be spent on schools. As a former teacher I am always shocked at the luxury of the civic center as is, as opposed to the lack of even necessities on school campuses. No parking, accessibility, or modernization is wasted on Sunnyvale schools. The last renovation was poorly handled and took out wonderful useful things that were still in very good condition, while adding useless big counters that took away the things really needed in a classroom, like book shelves and space for small group instruction.

Through traffic running through the plaza seems like a bad idea.

Please remove Olive; cars making left turns onto Pastoria from Olive consistently make left turns while pedestrians are walking in the crosswalk (especially prevalent in the evenings.)

Would prefer to enjoy new open space and off-leash areas in the modernized Civic Center without being chased down by moving vehicles.

I like that Alternative 1 retains Olive Ave. as a through street while providing a safe way for pedestrians to get across the street. I also like that each building has a parking area.

Showcase library, it belongs along the El Camino Real.









Please close Olive Avenue and add more open space, which is important for residents in this city.

I am in favor of keeping Olive as a through-street (with safe crosswalks for pedestrians.)

Alternative 1 seems unnecessary, when there are so many other important issues to tackle in Sunnyvale (especially helping residents with alleviating the rising costs associated with housing and living expenses, including utilities). I would like to see the city do more to take care of its residents, instead of building new facilities. Residents need financial relief, not new buildings.

I hope this design will discourage the police from using West Olive (residential area) to bypass traffic jams on El Camino.

7. I like these features of Alternative 2 (select all that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Removing Olive as a through street		53.8%	240
Quantity of open space		72.0%	321
Location and adequacy parking		30.9%	138
Safe pedestrian pathways		55.6%	248
Creating a shared plaza between City Hall and the Library		61.4%	274
Supports a variety of community uses in outdoor spaces		54.7%	244
Layout of vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle circulation paths		36.3%	162
Other		8.3%	37

“Other” Comments:

Without Olive as a through street, it creates a larger area where there is an area without cars and seem more relaxing be at

I like that the open space is away from El Camino, keeping it quiet.

Less traffic flow interruption on Mathilda & El Camino new plans

I do not support overhauling the whole civic center. If anything, a playground is needed.

This plan feels more organic, natural and comfortable

Please do not waste public funds on this

The simple drawing does not give enough information for me to decide.
Trees
buildings aren't just rectangular blocks
Do the minimum needed to make the space function. Be frugal.
Line of sight from DPS to large open spaces. Keeping DPS and courthouse together. Viewing community gardens as an annex to the large open space. Having the open space as an integral and well-connected central area.
I prefer the single open space area because it seems to me it could be used for lots of things more easily than 2 spaces separated by a street.
this layout looks like a true city center where people can gather for events. Seems like a modern approach that is fresh.
Don't like this Alternative
I am hoping that children are more safe having the police in the same site - since the smokers, homeless and solicitors has been camping out at the plaza.
No particular favorite
I don't think it's necessary to redo the civic center at all
Changes should be limited to southern side of Olive avenue where DPS And council chambers are located. Keep the Library side as is. No need to waste money.
there is nothing I like about alternative 2
Police have easier entrance/exits
Parking is near library, which is good.
Maintaining many of the trees and Charles Street garden.
Library separate from Municipal Buildings.

No auto traffic through the site.
Design of library
City centers should be gravitational centers with art and focal points that encourage people to enjoy the environment that is sunnyvale. Provisions should be in place that move people from the train station to the center without the need for cars.
Amphitheater should be with open space. Noise could be a problem for Library and DPS.
I don't like anything about Alt. 2
More space for festivals, but that's only a few times a year at most.
Keeping mature trees
Keep the redwood trees
I truly believe that this is the best alternative for a working civic center
Don't like alternative 2
I do not like the features of Alternative 2.
We do not need a new city hall, we voted against a new library!
Retains ALL the trees currently on-campus!

8. I do not like these features of Alternative 2 (select all that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Removing Olive as a through street		64.7%	229
Quantity of open space		8.5%	30
Location and adequacy parking		43.5%	154
Safe pedestrian pathways		3.1%	11
Creating a shared plaza between City Hall and the Library		9.6%	34
Supports a variety of community uses in outdoor spaces		5.4%	19
Layout of vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle circulation paths		19.8%	70
Other		14.7%	52

“Other” Comments:

I don't like that the buildings are so spread out East-West compared to Alternative 1.
Location of open space - all bunched together in the back like a big soccer field. It should be more interspersed so if you choose to walk there you are not walking mostly in one big circle.
Parking is too far removed from main buildings
Inadequate parking for today, Forget about future needs. DSP should not be stuck with access primarily to Mathilda.
I do not support overhauling the whole civic center. If anything, a playground is needed.
Please do not waste public funds on this
Above-ground parking structure
Isolating each building and activity.
I don't want a parking structure on ECR. Hide it within the site. I think the three key buildings should surround a plaza, rather than being strung out.
Traffic patterns could be a nightmare on Pastoria

It seems like it would be more difficult for older or disabled people to get from parking areas to Buildings like City Hall, Library

Next year City still needs to spend money for the election campaign. Is our city's funding ready for every necessary & emergent project?

I don't want to see a parking lot from el Camino. That is not what I want the city center to be seen as.

prefer underground parking

There should be a convenient pedestrian mall from the parking garage to the library that doesn't involve crossing the drop-off lane or loop.

security vulnerability of the installation arrangements.

Inaccessible if you have a physical disability that involves fatigue or being upright.

the parking garage across from the library invites people to walk into traffic to take the shortest route to the library. Consider moving the parking lot traffic entrance to Pastoria.

I don't like the building being spread out so far. I like location more in alt 1, but I do like to see olive removed as through street.

I do not like the one big open space. I like it broken up more like in Alternative 1.

Don't like a major parking structure on El Camino

Parking seems far away from some elements

less entrance enter the plaza that could cause traffic jam

No outside surface parking by Library

Making the library a community center with craft programs fit for community centers. Savor and treasure books for what they are and PLEASE do not turn it into a computer center unhealthy for babies and children.

The shared plaza between city hall and the library seems to be an odd shape

Changes should be limited to southern side of Olive avenue where DPS And council chambers are located. Keep the Library side as is. No need to waste money.
The stand alone parking structure. I'd be great to have underground parking, under each building.
Who will use the outdoor open space? Accessibility limited.
Open space hidden away
Parking appears far away from the library
City Hall building is too tall (reduce to 2 - 3 levels?)
Removal of mature trees at Olive and Pastoria
Large, ugly parking structure right along El Camino Real, which is supposed to be a grand boulevard
Eyesore Parking Structure on ECR frontage, and too far from Municipal Buildings.
Decentralized drop off locations are inconvenient.
Attempts to solve today's auto traffic issues but relies too much on auto access for 20+ years in future. I also don't see EV chargers.
Get rid of the parking structure
Equally senseless
Totally dislike the idea of one huge parking structure that is far away from the library. I like to be able to make quick, short stops to the library or city building, not a day trip.
like that DPS & its parking is separate from Library area. like that library does not have parking underneath it. like that there's a larger oval open space rather than 2 ovals separated by a road! like that city hall has it's own parking on first floor.
Removes the bus stop on Olive that is near the library. Getting to and from that stop does not require crossing a main street like Matilda or El Camino

not providing an Alternative that says "No Change to current campus".
Great plan!
I do not feel that the open spaces use was defined; nor was any use desired.
Location of the open space.
What I really do not like the curvy buildings. I think it will be very hard to add on to later.
i like it
anything for more open space!
Don't understand how DPS can access North Mathilda directly
Still a waste of your/my money to change
Wasting money on extra unneeded construction

9. Other comments you would like to share about Alternative 2:

Answered : 147

Skipped : 438

Public Comments:

I like using the soon-to-be noisy/ugly corner of El Camino and Pastoria as the parking structure location, and moving the majority of the open space to the quieter, lower-traffic location on the north side of the property.
I would like to see all open space elements be inviting and usable to people. Many times the open space is not inviting and people ignore it. Much of the open space at the community is not used at all. The open space should be easily utilized by casual users as well as organized events and perhaps small vendors to encourage people to linger on weekends.
Parking in corner is too far removed from main buildings

I think we need areas where there is a place for kids and people where there are no cars around. This seem to be a large area that hopefully, we won't hear cars much. It seems it'll be a place where I can take my daughter to relax by walking and biking.

I do not like this concept at all. Olive St. shouldn't be removed. It's an important and busy street. The building is ugly. It's all steel and glass which many residents did NOT want. This concept is also not reflecting the opinion of many residents who attended the workshops. It removes many of the mature trees which are at the site of the present-day City Hall.

Neither example is what I want to see. Plan for now and up to fifty years from now. That includes resources for personnel when the City grows. Maybe even temporary space for people who can not afford to live close by. I expect there to be handicapped parking available that does not require a multitude of stairs, to access the Library. Make sure parking is safe. Burying it all below ground sounds like a recipe for assaults, when the area is less busy. Make sure there is community meeting space that is easily accessible. Walking through open space that wanders is nice when I go to a park. Not when I am returning a book, or attending a meeting.

Parking Enter/Exit should be ONLY from side streets NOT Mathilda & El Camino. Need to minimize traffic flow interruption on Mathilda & El Camino new plans

Make sure there is plenty of parking

I absolutely do not support removing Olive.

We like this plan because feels more forward-looking, based on the needs of a denser population in the future.
We want any plan to preserve as many of the mature trees as possible, either by building around them or replanting (when possible).

Please do not waste public funds on this

The City should complete the Downtown project before starting a Civic Center project.

Removing Olive will mean that this huge Civic Area will remain unseen by most of the population who just drives by and won't see it. Being able to drive through and discover by chance will help to open people's eyes and stop. Please do not remove Olive. Also, having a huge separate parking structure away from the library is not conducive to frequent in/out trips to the library. You need to prioritize having adequate parking for these quick in/out trips.

Could underground parking options similar to those in Alternative 1 be applied to Alternative 2? The more underground parking, the better. It doesn't seem forward-looking to devote a big chunk of land to an above-ground parking structure--I feel that this applies to both alternatives. It could also send a message at odds with the city's sustainability efforts. Keeping most parking below-ground would free up valuable land for other uses--more open space, or if needed, even the possibility of further campus expansion many, many years down the road.

Adamantly against removing Olive as a through street.

Removing Olive is tough, but probably a good trade off to create a larger community space.

I like the idea of a large campus which is not divided by a street. I think it would be important for pedestrians and bikes to be able to cross the campus. I'd make sure bikes can go along both diagonals, not just along the current path of Olive.

Libraries are declining in popularity. Does it make sense to show that having less square footage per capita as a negative. Perhaps Sunnyvale is forward thinking in not wasting money?

Do not create additional traffic and noise. Protect the neighbors' privacy. What good is a park that is bombarded by traffic noise?

If there is an option to close Olive anyway, I'd rather do it now, that it feel disruptive when the closures happen, e.g. like when Murphy is closed.

Even though I would likely bike because I live so close, it's not clear why there is much more underground parking in Alternative 1 than 2. Regardless, I really like creating a pedestrian focused City Center.

Make sure that there is adequate 10 minute car parking very near to the book drop off location.
If it becomes necessary to go into underground or upper level parking, it is going to be VERY inconvenient.
As it currently is, it is VERY convenient, although there are often not enough 10 minute parking.

As El Camino is increasingly congested, it is nice to have Olive as an alternative

Alternative 1 has parking under the buildings which I like, while alternative 2 does not. Alternative 2. The walk to the Library is too far from the parking garage which is on Mathilda. Or is it? Hard to tell.

<p>Please keep access of Olive. We do not all ride bicycles. Some of us do drive. Also cost is a huge concern. You are not spending money wisely.</p>
<p>The location of the parking structure could lead to traffic nightmares on Pastoria</p>
<p>I object to any building or modification to the existing campus in order to save City's resources</p>
<p>I like that fact that it becomes a larger park, plaza, community space. A place to WANT to spend time at.</p>
<p>Playground or structure</p>
<p>We need to remove Olive Ave. cut through. It makes no sense and detracts from the usability of the open space</p>
<p>Same comments apply as for Alternative 1. See above.</p>
<p>I am happy to see a new CIVIC center but please prove it will not increase residents' burden anymore by showing the source of funding to residents before developing CIVIC center and doing a thorough cost-benefit analysis for this over \$110M project. We all know Sunnyvale has more debt than assets when adding increased employee's pension. This year, in addition to utility fees, city increases all kinds of fees from 100% to 1000%. Next year, will our utility fees and other fees increased again? Next year it is an election year for Sunnyvale. Please think three times before you act on NEW CIVIC Center Project.</p>
<p>Removing Olive Street will cause more congestion on already crowded Mathilda Ave near the busy intersection with El Camino Real. On election days when people are voting there will not be adequate capacity for traffic flow to handle the volume of visitors to City Hall.</p>
<p>The buildings are ugly. Please go with a classic look. This is not San Jose. Don't build a big glass monstrosity like google.</p>
<p>I do not support either alternative. Why should \$18 million be used for an addition to Public Safety in Phase 1, only to be taken out later? Project financial analysis and funding methods should be made public, so that there can be proper discussion and planning. The proposed overhaul of the site does not pay attention to good features that should be kept; or the wishes of half of the attendees of the community outreach workshops in 2016. There should be an advisory vote on the plan to be chosen.</p>
<p>No.</p>

alternative2 brings more unity to civic center. removing olive throughfare is a good safety measure.

It's not clear if there is a safe pedestrian pathway between the parking structure and the library building. It would be nice to have a walkway to the library that does not require crossing the driveway.

I don't really see much of a shared plaza between City Hall and the Library. It seems that Alternative 1 actually does better in that regard.

Building locations are too close to streets without inviting open space to adjacent neighborhood.

Why is city hall seem like it's tucked away and so far from the street? It seems like people with disabilities will have a harder time getting to the door quickly

Parking is too far removed. Vehicle access to lot should be behind building so that there is a safe pedestrian access to the library and other buildings without crossing a road or parking lot entrance. Buildings are not that attractive. Public meetings at city hall are usually held at night - parking should be safe, well lit, above ground, and close. There are too many access points that are not linked (enter off Mathilda for city hall and off Pastoria for library and parking garage). Can Pastoria even handle that much traffic? A through street should be maintained.

I prefer this design. I think the architecture is more interesting and visually pleasing. Removing Olive makes the space more friendly to pedestrians, bikers, and families. I also like the location of the parking in this design better than in Alternative 1.

Do not get rid of Olive Ave please!!

Shorten the lane to the library drop off loop so there is a pedestrian only plaza between the parking lot and the library. Have one level of underground parking for the library for rainy days or dark evening visits. Include shade trees and chess tables on the outside periphery of the large grassy oval so that people can play games or do homework while watching the action. Include a fenced off-leash dog area so that pedestrians are not regularly confronted by scoff-laws and unclaimed feces. Plan to include roof-top gardens or learning spaces. A shaded playground close to the library and the oval would be helpful.

I think this plan will bring about a greater sense of community and with far greater use options. The community always needs more free or inexpensive meeting spaces!

Don't have good feeling with parking space under the buildings. Rather have them set aside and allocate some security men powers policing them.

I like the idea of creating a "campus" with this Alternative. I don't feel strongly about removing Olive Ave., but I think the area will have a nice community feel with no street running through it, and it feels safer and more secure for the public and employees. I also like the way the buildings are laid out in this Alternative - the curved architecture has a cool look and I like the way the buildings are evenly distributed within the space.

If I wanted to go to the library, there's no parking close to the building. My disability is fatigue, and I pass out if I'm upright too long so parking close is very important. This layout would be totally inaccessible to me and I'd no longer be able to go to the library at all. Since this is currently one of the few ways I actually leave my house and reading provides most of my entertainment, that would be a real bummer. Even right now the limited disabled parking sometimes means I go, find that there's no accessible parking and then end up returning home instead, which is frustrating.

While Alternative 1 includes more open space and bike paths, I believe removing Olive as a through street would make the area much safer and more congenial. For this reason I prefer Alternative 2.

Curved buildings are more distinctive.

the parking garage across from the library invites people to walk into traffic to take the shortest route to the library. Consider moving the parking lot traffic entrance to Pastoria.

please clarify if all parking structures / underground parking are for the public; if so, people will park in the city hall lot to get to the library, so will need a lot of 20 minute spots and 5 minute spots.

Please account for a very safe pedestrian/bicycle friendly route to get from this area to the renovated "SV Town Center"

When I go to the Mountain view Library, do I look forward to entering a parking structure and finding an elevator to a lobby? No, I look for convenient outside parking and a pleasant short walk to a convent entrance. I don't forward to walk across their park-like plaza, as nice as it is. Seems inefficient to accessing my library work.

I don't see anything addressing handicap access to any buildings and I'm very concerned that available parking for handicap and access to the library will be more difficult.

I love removing olive as through street. However I like the buildings locations in alt 1 more as they are closer together. Ideally a solution where the building are centered as in alt 1, but with olive not being a through street.

I also like alt 1 about being green along el camino.

Please keep our children safe by creating programs from homeless, smokers and solicitors. Perhaps there should be more space at the community center for adults who need help. Children library spaces are squashed. I recommend learning from libraries who really respect children's space, like; Mountain view, Cupertino, Los Altos, Los Gatos, Santa Clara... our children are our future. They too matter

I'm not sure who would use "walking trails" for exercise as the space is quite small for actual exercise. Space for hanging out would be used more frequently. Locating parking adjacent to El Camino is a better use than putting green space there. I like the library located closer to El Camino rather than the more northerly location in Alternative 1.

I like it!

I like the idea of all Sunnyvale civic buildings and library close together. Easily walkable without having to cross a street or more. I appreciate effort to keep mature trees and plans to add new trees. I disagree with getting rid of Olive since traffic will simply flow to nearby residential streets, like Iowa, that aren't built to handle the traffic flow. Are there plans to add restrooms accessible when the civic and library building are closed? How about drinking and bottle refill fountains? I'd like to see more seating areas: benches, tables, artworks that can be sat on.

Reducing the choices for emergency vehicle access seems foolish to me. Access to the library by elderly patrons, moms with strollers seems noticeably worse than what we currently have.

I do like Alternative 2 it looks great but I think we should not do the project we have people moving out of the city because they can't afford living here any more. We should make more affordable apartments. For a one bedroom is 3,000 or more and not to mention a two bedroom even more. The BMR project only gives 5 to 6 apartments to rent.

This alternative allows for separate road use by DPS and commuters/visitors, which is better in an emergency. The closure of Olive will keep pedestrians and visitors safer on their visit. The Library is positioned closer to Pastoria, which will increase its visibility. Large parking structure is closer to the Library. Great shared space between the Library and City Hall that can serve a variety of purposes.

Bicycle access to buildings will be more difficult, and the parking structure location is likely to be hazardous to cyclists traveling northbound on Pastoria.

Seems to have less parking than Alt 1, and to require going outside to get to the buildings, since there's no parking in them, only in the garage.

The parking structure is in the absolutely wrong place. Placing it on the most visible corner will be an eyesore. Look at what the San Jose Airport had to do when it placed the parking structure in the most visible place. Besides being in the worst place for optics, it is a long ways from where people want to be. Bad layout.

Worry about traffic in surrounding areas due to Olive closure.

Feels like a unified area that's designed for people instead of cars. Much better.

The parking is not convenient for families with kids to get to the library. It's shared with all those other buildings I see that lot getting busy and unsafe for families with kids.

Somehow closure of Olive street doesn't help to reduce building footprint. If the olive street is removed I would like to see a lot more open space with trees/trails/landscaping

Where is service road for library?

I do not like that it looks like you must cross vehicle access to get to the library. I would prefer that you can park in the parking structure and then be able to walk to the library without worrying about getting hit by a car. This is also for safety of children who don't look both ways either.

As much as I like the open space that Alternative 2 would allow, I feel that the traffic on Iowa -- our neighborhood main street -- would be heavily impacted. Olive allows for an alternative route to drive to help traffic flow and limit congestion on the surrounding access streets.

While the location of open space isn't optimal, this is a better choice than alternative 1 in that the largest area doesn't front up against El Camino.

Maybe just make the Civic Center a nice big park, with the needed public buildings. Make computer center separate from the library.

Please put the unhealthy WiFi and computer dangers on your EIR study. 18 countries now ban/limit WiFi for children in schools and libraries.

Alternative 2 gives a central, unbroken space of the proper scale for a city of Sunnyvale's size.

Underground parking is a safety issue, especially for women and children. Multi-layer parking garages become eyesores.

I like the curved building, but would it cost more?

The parking seems to be very far away from City Hall. The only parking underground at City Hall does not seem sufficient for the amount of staff and visitors to the City.

I use Olive almost every day. DO NOT REMOVE AS A THROUGH STREET.

Alternative 2 provides more contiguous garden areas and provides a more cordoned off area for relaxation and activities.

It's too dangerous. Look at how many people can't drive safely on El Camino as it is. The entrances straight from a road like El Camino to a parking garage is begging for collisions, esp. with these idiot cell phone drivers. Also, it's too much walking for handicapped people and small children.

I am usually on my bike when I go to the library, and I also ride on that stretch of Olive frequently on my way to downtown locations. Surprisingly, I see two potential problems with Alternative 2 for cyclists: 1) the potential for cyclist-pedestrian collisions in the shared space (easier to just have a bike lane -- people don't walk in it!), and 2) having to tangle with cars going into the parking garage as I approach from the south on Pastoria. Please don't ruin the current route down Olive for bikes.

Changes should be limited to southern side of Olive avenue where DPS And council chambers are located. Keep the Library side as is. No need to waste money.

Where will the NOVA offices be located? With these changes do you anticipate greater use visitation of this area? Currently the street provides parking, that I have had to use because lots were full. The plan says it will roughly accommodate the same quantity of parking. Does this include the street?

The bicycle circulation path looks like it would conflict with pedestrians on narrow, winding sidewalks. This is not a safe circulation path for high-speed bicycle commuters who currently use Olive as a bike boulevard to bike through Sunnyvale. We need to keep efficient, low/slow-traffic routes that cyclists can use to get around. Central and El Camino are sewers, and eliminating Olive leaves Washington as the only efficient east-west route in the area.

I like the existing campus and there is no change necessary at this time.
Appears to be high cost renovation that will receive limited use.
I like the more interesting building shapes
Why spend on parking structures? Seems much cheaper and better use to leave the parking instead of multi outdoor use.
Reduce the amount of parking, introduce parking fees for both City staff and visitors, and adopt a shared, district-wide approach to parking. Many people could walk, ride or take transit but don't bother because there is always plenty of free parking.
Generally prefer this option. Please make the parking adequate.
See comments from Alternative 1. The Plaza in Alternative 2 between City Hall and Library does not seem as welcoming as Alternative 1. I don't like the highly visible parking structure directly across from the Library. Each of the buildings should be designed with energy Net Positive (or at least Net Zero), and be designed to capture and reuse rainwater plus recycle water. I'm not clear on the vehicle circulation for each of the 4 buildings in Alternative 2. It seems like there could be underground parking in Alternative 2 under the DPS and Library to minimize (or eliminate) the big parking structure.
Library parking needs to be right next to the library. I can't always walk well.
How do people return books in either plan? It is currently possible to drive to a point close to the drop-off box. Books are heavy, and carrying them a long way is not convenient. Building a second story on the library makes more sense than either of these plans. Building underground parking is a plus.
Would prefer if DPS can still get out to Pastoria even if that thoroughfare is only for DPS/emergency vehicles.
I believe as many mature trees on the current Civic Center as possible should be saved - especially the redwood grove. Lots of bike parking and easy access for bicyclists would also be good.
Still too much parking.

The traffic circle drop off design will bog traffic during commute and event times and is much less efficient for public transit. This option appears to be designed more for the employee's enjoyment and use than the public's.

LOVE the layout and that Olive would be removed to make for tons of open space and that central plaza which is conducive to so many great outdoor events, music, hanging out in comfy chairs, heck even a food cart/coffee cart there. The connection between the library and CH is also fantastic!

please keep Olive as a through street!!!! I use it everyday to send my kids to classes nearby

We pick up books from the library's hold system nearly every week and the short term parking close to the library is a lifesaver. If there is only parking in a parking lot for the library I foresee that we won't be as likely to hop in/out of the library like we do right now. This is an important feature for my family to keep.

I don't see a bank of EV charging stations in either alternative. I don't see an evidence of a shuttle to/from downtown and Caltrain.

Instead of having a huge parking structure, use the idea from Alternative 1 to have parking underneath the main buildings. That way the space used for the parking structure can be used for either more park space or a dedicated transit center.

Some mindless or corrupt officials want to spend our money on useless, futile projects. like it was done in the ghost (down) town Sunnyvale.

With regards to the amount of open space, it would be interesting if more information was given about planned building heights. If they are to one- or two storey buildings, less open space would feel necessary than if taller buildings are planned. I'd hope for taller buildings to make this very central part of Sunnyvale more dense, and if so, more open space as in this option would be needed to balance it.

With the large use of Craigslist and the like, this plan should have an area in front of the police station where strangers can meet to safely sell used goods.

I like the larger park area on the top left, and the full circle of walking/biking green space. A good comparable is Civic Center, whose walking paths and outdoor space are really actively used.

What an interesting idea to remove Olive as a through street. How nice to gain additional space to that can be utilized as larger open space for the community to use. Great idea. Like it very much.

My concerns include: how will traffic flow through neighborhoods? How accessible will the campus be for mobility-limited residents? How will the open space be monitored to keep it safe and prevent people from sleeping/living on the campus (since we have some even now who do so).

Alternative 2

Parking is too far away from the building, and would be hard for older to access.
Too much open grass land need too much water in the summer.
Arc shape building design cost more, but do not have efficiency as square design.

Prefer any and all parking to be underground. We should focus on a non car future. City centers should be gravitational centers with art and focal points that encourage people to enjoy the environment that is sunnyvale. Provisions should be in place that move people from the train station to the center without the need for cars.

Way too "city-fied" and inconvenient for users. For the most part, I never use the city service area for more than one purpose. When I go, it is for one thing (city council meeting, library, etc). Trying to make it into a cohesive area by making parking far away from everything just inconveniences everyone. I like the idea of a nice public meeting area for events, but I don't see why it would need to be by other city services. Any other large park (or even the Community Center) in Sunnyvale works just as well. City buildings aren't even open on the weekend anyway, so any weekend events would be taking place in a basically "closed" office park which does not make sense. The large open space looks nice, but I don't think it would be very well used unless it had a play structures area, and the only reason to put it near the City services is the library.

Take note from big businesses such as apple. Structure the main business around open space. Provide a healthy exterior. Provide ample, yet hidden, parking. Be green. Be smart. Do not go cheap like all of the current horrific Sunnyvale structures.

Alternative 2 is the best one for this project. Not having the area split by Olive Ave, provides a more efficient use of the space. It is so nice to see this project move forward. It is very much needed so that the different city departments involved will have the added space to better serve the citizens of Sunnyvale.

There is a "drop off" area near the library but I am concerned about accessible handicap parking near the library for people with disabilities who drive themselves to the library; where would they park?

Does not seem to have as much auto parking as Alt 1. This is fine IF there's support for good public transportation (bus, train) and walking/bikes.

Do not prefer the parking structure on ECR, but not sure of a better location.

Parking underground is really ideal. Let's not clutter the neighborhood with ugly parking lots/garages.

Would like to see an off leash area for dogs

Why is there so much open space? Would it not be cheaper to make more surface parking?

This layout is unfriendly to the disabled or families that want to picnic or attend an event. Because the parking is way too far away from the open spaces. Even with the (one, inadequate) drop off, you're expecting people to carry things way too far, and a disabled person has to go way too far to anywhere from the handicapped parking.

i see this as the only acceptable option. has much better flow & degree of beauty. Alt 1 just seems awkward & poorly designed. i would look forward to seeing how Alt 2 actually turned out instead of resisting any change to current civic center.

The parking structure is located too close to the new development happening where the pumpkin patch had been (other corner of El Camino & Pastoria). Traffic in and out of each will conflict with each other and make the traffic in that stretch of Pastoria even worse than it is today. In contrast, Alternative 1 has parking less concentrated and further away from problem traffic areas.

Do not put the parking garage on El Camino. We do not need more structures on El Camino that make Sunnyvale look like the ugly parts of Santa Clara and San Jose. Please do not disturb the urban fabric of Sunnyvale by erecting a parking structure that faces so Camino

I do not believe that closing Olive Ave is a good idea. Traffic in the area will get worse and Olive Avenue will continue to allow people to access the Civic Center from a number of directions. Having to go around it will create more traffic and less accessibility. In regards to the open space: The public was simply asked if they wanted open space and it sounded good so some said 'yes'. However, no needs analysis was ever done. It just looks like clustering buildings to later sell off the other land.

I can't tell which Alternative would create a single, larger, shared, outdoor space, something like the Plaza of many cities in Mexico. I think it is Alternative 2. Whichever one it is, that's the one that I would prefer.

Prefer closing Olive to create more open space. Prefer lots of open space and underground parking. Open space is Sunnyvale's most valuable asset!

It seems like some buildings in Alternative 2 would be more difficult for disabled persons to access.

See other comments. City Hall and the planning commission is really destroying this city with all of this construction/overbuilding. Don't you care about the people you represent. Leave this as is. It is a very nice area as it is!

Like the outdoor space along Mathilda. Some on Pastoria is good but don't know why there's so much. Washington and Las Palmas parks are only a few blocks away in opposite directions.

I do not like that the surface parking is so far away from some of the buildings. As our population ages, parking close to the library & city hall will be especially important. Surface parking is often easier for seniors to navigate.

Are we confident that the building sizes are large enough to allow for future growth? This is a very important aspect to consider!

In the development of these alternatives, it appears we reached a point and it was said, we are preserving all trees larger than "N" inches in diameter, where N is a number not further discussed. And the trees not further discussed-- they could be ginkgo or liquid amber or dying Monterey Pine. They appear to be where the current library and the current Public Safety building are now. We cannot just add on to the Public Safety building apparently because of the trees that are growing there. Growing where? We need a government and citizen group be willing to have public meetings to go over the tree obstruction question, yes, tree by tree.

Same as Alternative 1

I don't like the parking building is located on El Camino Real since the traffic is already very bad in the morning. Also it will make Pastoria Road feels very crowded and overwhelming with tall buildings.

I wish a similar amount of money were to be spent on schools. As a former teacher I am always shocked at the luxury of the civic center as is, as opposed to the lack of even necessities on school campuses. No parking, accessibility, or modernization is wasted on Sunnyvale schools. The last renovation was poorly handled and took out wonderful useful things that were still in very good condition, while adding useless big counters that took away the things really needed in a classroom, like book shelves and space for small group instruction.

The parking structure in the SW corner should have more than one entrance. The current plan will result in a bottle neck.

I like the curved building design along the path of (now) Olive Ave. I'd like to see Olive Ave returned with the buildings and the road echoing the curved path through the space. I like the idea of a plaza space - it could be across Olive (the lighted crosswalks and closing Olive for State of the City worked well). The bus that stops at the Library could be supported by retaining the route,

Maybe the south Mathilda driveway access to the dps should be expanded to a full stop light intersection on Mathilda, so that emergency vehicles can access north Mathilda without having to make a u-turn at El Camino. This is a big issue.

For Alternative 2, could some of the green open space near the Library (in the upper left corner (Pastoria towards West Iowa) be dedicated as an off-leash space for dogs?

So that it would be removed from parking garages/parking and traffic; if the area could be contoured to be sloped (as in Cuesta Park's off leash area) there would be no need for fences and the dogs, dog owners and dog enthusiasts could enjoy open spaces with Sunnyvale's canine residents.

I think Alternative 2 has too much open space in one part of the design, and I don't like that the library parking is in a separate building. Despite our generally wonderful weather, we do occasionally get rain, and I don't fancy carrying an armful of books through the rain from the parking structure to the library.

Library and parking structure should be switched. Parking should be underground. And why isn't light rail in the picture?







I love the idea to remove the Olive Ave! Closing Olive Ave will add more safe space to the City Hall campus that benefits everyone in Sunnyvale!

I would just like to be sure that this alternative, and any alternative is constructed in a way that, if needed in the future, additional stories could be constructed without truly a complete rebuild once again. I am fully aware that this has been an ongoing project since the early 1980's. Additional work space has been needed for employees. I look forward to completion now.

Alternative 2 also seems unnecessary, when there are so many other important issues to tackle in Sunnyvale (especially helping residents with alleviating the rising costs associated with housing and living expenses, including utilities). I would like to see the city do more to take care of its residents, instead of building new facilities. Residents need financial relief, not new buildings.

I sense that city council has already vetoed removal of Olive Avenue, so I'm not sure you are actually presenting a real choice.






10. I most frequently use the Civic Center Campus as:

		Response Percent	Response Count
Employee (I work here)		5.9%	34
Sunnyvale Resident		88.8%	513
Sunnyvale Business Employee or Non-Resident Property owner		0.5%	3
Visitor		1.6%	9
I have never been to the Civic Center Campus		1.6%	9
Other		1.7%	10






Other Comments:

City/DPS Volunteer
currently seeing position opening with City of Sunnyvale
Employee and Sunnyvale Resident
Friends of the Library Volunteer
I didn't even know we have a Civic Center! I've lived here 9 years.
I do not go there.
I never use the "Civic Center Campus"
Library is primary to public life in Sunnyvale. It is popular with all demographics and overall community. It needs adequate space, parking, and funding as a building and as an institution. Study its use, visit its site throughout day as part of planning
NOVA is currently the most frequent use. Resident is next second.
Volunteer at DPS & Planning Commission

11. I live approximately _____ from the Civic Center.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Less than 1 mile		20.8%	121
1-2 miles		43.0%	250
3-5 miles		29.8%	173
6-10 miles		2.8%	16
10+ miles		3.6%	21

12. I work approximately _____ from the Civic Center.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Less than 1 mile		13.6%	66
1-2 miles		16.0%	78
3-5 miles		25.9%	126
6-10 miles		23.5%	114
10+ miles		21.0%	102