

To Whom it May Concern:

We are the owners of the 7/11 property across from the proposed child care development. Recently, one of our representatives attended the Community Meeting and discussed the development with the property owner and Bright Horizons employees. In conclusion, we believe this project will be good for the neighborhood and add much needed child care for Sunnyvale and the surrounding communities.

Feel free to contact me at

Thank you

Rajinder K. Msutta

Momoko Ishijima

From: Emma Duque
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2017 1:14 PM
To: Momoko Ishijima
Subject: Letter of Endorsement for proposed development at 1010 Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road

Dear Momoko,

The development of Bright Horizons has been well-received and we feel it is a welcome addition to the neighborhood.

We believe our property will be the most impacted, since we are directly adjacent to the lot. Four of our nine homes have decks behind the parking lot wall. As such, there is a concern regarding the lack of light or possible loss of light, if the wrong type of tree is planted in the parking lot. With this, we have reviewed the landscape plans and the alternative trees. We would like to request Chinese Pistache trees to be planted in the parking lot.

Furthermore, the existing wall is approximately 9' in height and in good condition; we have been assured by Dollinger Properties that it will not be affected or damaged as a result of the development.

On behalf of the MacDowell Terrace HOA, we urge the Commission to support the proposed project and approve it.

Best,
Emma Duque
President, MacDowell Terrace HOA

Momoko Ishijima

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2017 9:35 AM
To: Momoko Ishijima
Subject: Re: Traffic Impact Analysis 1010 Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd
Attachments: DayCare CA Licensing Regs.pdf; DayCare CA Licensing Regs.pdf

Dear Momoko,

As a property owner on Azure St, which is behind the primary intersection of the Traffic Impact Analysis of Bright Horizons Child Care Center, my concerns are:

1. Parking

Bright Horizons' 49 members must be present and parked for it to achieve **its own desired staff:child ratio for 240 children**. Attached is the CA State DayCare Regulation showing they will need even more staff if they increase the number of younger children. **As a daycare center, Bright Horizons' profit goal is to achieve maximum child occupancy and therefore maximum staff occupancy.** This leaves **only 11 parking places for all parents arriving in am and pm peak traffic times, including parents who stay to nurse their infants.** Since parents must walk in and sign in their children, **each of 240 cars will need to wait in a queue for several minutes while 11 parked parents walk children to their classrooms, or potentially stay much longer to nurse.**

2. Protracted lane obstruction at the intersection of Remington Ave and Sunnyvale/Saratoga Ave: the queue of 240 parents waiting for parking spaces will form a queue of stopped cars on the right lanes of Remington Ave, and SV/Saratoga Rd, and at the worst possible time - peak am and pm hours.

3. New right-turn lane planned at the intersection is ALREADY needed for existing traffic conditions. Far better to wait until the City can afford to put it in, than to get it earlier at the expense of letting a private party such as Bright Horizons fund it and bring hundreds more cars into the intersection at peak hours daily. Cars, in fact, which will stop, queue and wait in that very lane until one of a few parking spaces that Bright Horizons provides for parents, opens up.

4. Privatization and monopolizing of neighborhood streets and parking by Bright Horizons: Parents desperate to find a parking space and desperate to get to their own workplace on time, will have to turn onto Azure and Cumulus to park in the closest residential neighborhood, **which is designed for residents and is already burdened by non-residents who are driving in to use the area for car storage since new apartment units in surrounding areas do not provide enough parking for their residents' cars. Or worse, Bright Horizons' STAFF WILL PARK ALL DAY ON AZURE STREET TO FREE UP PARKING FOR THEIR PARENTS.**

5. Traffic

Traffic that wants to move and escape the long Bright Horizons queue will be forced to **use Azure St as a cut-through** to avoid the nightmare traffic jams of the Remington and SV/Saratoga intersection. **Azure St, a quiet residential street with families living on both sides and visibility limited by the curving road, will become an extension of Sunnyvale/Saratoga Road.**

Cars exiting from Azure Street onto Remington Ave during peak am and pm hours, and will have long waits, forming a queue down Azure St. which will mean **Azure residents near Remington will not be able to get out of their driveway.**

6. Cost / Benefit

Benefit - Bright Horizons is deriving all the benefit of this project, by profiting from the business of the hundreds of car trips they are bringing daily to the intersection, every one of which cars, whether staff or parent, will require a parking space.

Cost - By providing only 60 parking spaces for 240 parents who must park am and pm and 49 staff (maximum daycare capacity of younger children will need even MORE staff than this), **Azure St. will pay the cost by becoming a traffic cut-through** for Bright Horizons' traffic impact on the intersection, and **quite likely as their all-day staff parking lot as well.**

7. Representation by the City

It took City Planners to create the lovely quiet neighborhood on Azure Street and it will take City Planners to protect it from the all-too-human tendency of the more powerful party to privatize and monopolize the public resources of the voiceless majority.

Only the City is in a position to prevent a private party like Bright Horizons from monopolizing the intersection at Remington and Sunnyvale/Saratoga Rd and the neighborhood streets and parking, for use by its own customers.

It is not surprising that a traffic impact analysis done by Bright Horizons concludes that they can do what they want to do, which is to maximize their profit and minimize the area dedicated to non-revenue producing parking spaces. Bright Horizons is a profit-making entity, after all, and privatization of public roads and parking for its own private use is profitable for them.

As representatives of the public who will pay the cost in traffic and parking jams of Bright Horizons' failure to accommodate the parking needs of their own staff and customers, can the City consider underground parking? Or can the City have our own City Staff do a traffic analysis that fairly represents and protects the existing neighborhood from the abuse of privatization? Even the law recognizes the need and right for professional representation by both parties, and where is the neighboring residents' professional representation in this traffic and parking analysis?

8. Conclusion

Without help from the City, it appears likely that Azure Street is the default solution for the coming traffic and parking impacts of Bright Horizons. Our street will be used as a cut-through from Remington to Sunnyvale/Saratoga Rd, and will simultaneously be used as a parking lot for their staff and customers.

Thank you for receiving my concerns and request for help.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Bullard

From: Momoko Ishijima <mlshijima@sunnyvale.ca.gov>
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Ralph Garcia <RGarcia@sunnyvale.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 15, 2017 3:21 PM
Subject: Traffic Impact Analysis 1010 Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd

Hello Jennifer,

Thank you for your call regarding the project at 1010 Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd.

Please find attached, a copy of the Traffic Impact Analysis – Bright Horizons Child Care Center.

Please take the time to email me back with your concerns related to the project, specifically queuing at the intersections of Azure/Remington and Remington/Sunnyvale Saratoga and parking on Azure.

Best regards,



Follow us on:



MOMOKO ISHIJIMA
Associate Planner
Community Development Department

Phone: 408-730-7532

Fax: 408-328-0710

Email: mishijima@sunnyvale.ca.gov

Sunnyvale.ca.gov

Regulation and Licensing of Child Care Programs In California

Child care centers and family day care homes in California are required to be licensed by the Community Care Licensing Division of the State Department of Social Services.

All programs must meet the regulations specified in *Title 22* of the California Administrative Code, which is based on the Health and Safety Code. In addition, state subsidized child care centers must meet *Education Code* requirements, commonly known as *Title 5*, which sets stricter adult/child ratios and staff qualifications than Title 22.

Several forms of child care are not subject to state licensing (these programs are known as legally exempt).

Programs not subjected to licensing are:

- Child care in child's own home (i.e. nanny, babysitter)
- Family day care programs which care for the children of only one family other than the provider's family
- Informal child care arrangements such as co-ops, shares, exchanges, and play groups where no money changes hands for care and supervision
- Certain school age care programs (parks/recreation programs, on-site run by school district)
- On-site Military care programs, which are regulated separately by the military **or** programs located on federal property.

Staff Qualifications (Child Care Centers)		
	Title 22	Title 5
Teachers Aides and Assistants	<i>Teachers Aides and Assistants:</i> must be 18 years or older and work at all times in the presence of a teacher	<i>Teacher Aide:</i> Same as Title 22 <i>Teacher Assistant:</i> 6 ECE units
Teachers	Teacher must have 12 semester units in Early Childhood Education/Child Development (ECE/CD) and 6 months of experience	<i>Associate Teacher:</i> 12 ECE Units <i>Teacher:</i> 24 ECE units + 16 GE units <i>Master Teacher:</i> Teacher + 6 ECE units in specialization + 2 adult supervision units
Supervisors	Supervisors must have 12 ECE units (core) and 3 administration units	<i>Site Supervisors:</i> AA or 60 units with 24 ECE units + 5 administration units + 2 adult supervision units <i>Program director:</i> BA + 24 ECE units + 6 administration units + 2 adult supervision units
Staff Qualifications (Family Day Care)		
	Title 22	Title 5
Providers:	Must be 18 years or older	Does not apply
Assistants:	Must be 18 or 14 to 17 years and be under direct supervision of provider at all times	Does not apply

Adult to Child Ratio (Child Care Centers)		
	Title 22	Title 5
0 - 2 years	1 adult : 4 children	1 adult: 3 children- or -1 adult : 4 children in combination age classrooms (0 - 3 years)
2 - 6 years	1 adult : 12 children	1 adult: 8 children (for ages 2.9 to 6 years)
6 - 14 years	1 adult : 15 children	1 adult : 14 children

Adult to Child Ratio (Family Day Care Homes)	
Title 22: Small Family Day Care Homes	Title 22: Large Family Day Care Homes
1 adult: 4 (infants are considered children under the age of 2)	2 adults: 12 children (may include up to 4 infants)
1 adult : 6 children (may include up to 3 infants) 1 adult: 8 children (may include up to 2 infants and must include at least 2 children over the age of six)	2 adults: 14 children (may include up to 3 infants and must include at least 2 children over the age of six)
**Note: Provider's own children under the age of 10 must be included in adult to child ratio.	

Criminal Records Check: All child care center staff, all family day care providers, and all persons living in a family day care home over the age of 18, must submit fingerprints to Community Care Licensing for a Criminal Clearance Check and a Child Abuse Index Check through the California Department of Justice.

Discipline: Children in child care programs may not be subjected to corporal or unusual punishment, including spanking, humiliation, mental abuse, or punitive interference with daily living functions such as eating, sleeping or toileting.

Parents Access to Program: Parents must be allowed to visit the program in which their child is enrolled at any time during business hours.

Physical Environment: Licensed child care centers must have at least **35 square feet** of indoor space and **75 square feet** of outdoor activity space, per child, based on the total licensed capacity. Family day care homes do not have a square footage requirement. Regulations also cover the sturdiness and safety of all equipment (both indoor and outdoor), the removal of all potential safety hazards, and the reporting of accidents, injuries and illness. All child care centers and large family daycare homes (ones which are licensed for 12) are subject to local building, fire and zoning ordinances.

Complaints: Parents and others can direct concerns or complaints about a child care program to Community Care Licensing, who will investigate. When the complaint involves child abuse or other criminal behavior, Community Care Licensing has jurisdiction, however, other law enforcement agencies may also be involved. Complaints may be made anonymously and the individual who reports a complaint has the right to be informed regarding the outcome of investigations.

For further information regarding child care licensing regulations:

**California Community Care Licensing Division -
Department of Social Services** (state office)
744 P Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916)324-4031

Santa Clara County Community Care Licensing
(local office)
111 N Market St Suite 300
San Jose, CA 95113
(408)277-1286