Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
3.1 Land Use			
Impact 3.1.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would result in higher-intensity development consisting of mixed uses in areas that currently contain nonresidential office, R&D, and industrial uses.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.1.2 The LSAP establishes a new mixed-use land use designation that provides for higher multi-family residential densities and increased floor area ratios for nonresidential development in the plan area.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.1.3 The project would not conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plans or natural community conservation plan.	NI	None required	NI
Impact 3.1.4 Project implementation would not contribute to cumulative land use impacts associated with the division of an established community or conflicts with land use plans and regulations that provide environmental protection.	LCC	None required.	LCC
3.2 Population and Housing			
Impact 3.2.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would increase the number of housing units in the plan area by approximately 2,300 and would increase nonresidential office/R&D/industrial square footage by 1.2 million square feet.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.2.2 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would not result in the displacement of housing or persons.	NI	None required.	NI
Impact 3.2.3 Cumulative development in Sunnyvale, including in the LSAP, would result in a cumulative increase in population and housing growth in Sunnyvale.	LCC	None required.	LCC

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC - Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC - Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

TABLE ES-1
LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
Impact 3.2.4 Cumulative development could result in displacement of substantial numbers of housing or persons, but the LSAP does not include proposed changes in land use designations or zoning that would directly or indirectly result in such displacement.	LCC	None required.	LCC
3.3 Hazards and Human Health			
Impact 3.3.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would allow for land uses that would involve the routine use, transport, and disposal of hazardous materials in the plan area.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.3.2 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.3.3 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could encounter contaminated soil, soil vapors, or groundwater, which may pose a human health or environmental risk.	PS	MM 3.3.3 The City shall require a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) prepared and submitted with any application for new development or redevelopment in any LSAP subarea north of the Caltrain tracks, the Peninsula subarea, the Lawrence/Reed/Willow subarea, or the Corn Palace property. The Phase I ESA shall be prepared by a qualified professional registered in California and in accordance with ASTM E1527-13 (or the most current version at the time a development application is submitted for the project). If determined necessary by the Phase I ESA, a Phase II ESA shall be conducted to determine the lateral and vertical extent of soil, groundwater, and/or soil vapor contamination, as recommended by the Phase I ESA. The City shall not issue a building permit for a site where contamination has been identified until remediation or effective	LS

NI – No Impact LS – Less Than Significant Lawrence Station Area Plan Draft Environmental Impact Report

PS - Potentially Significant

S – Significant

SU - Significant and Unavoidable

LCC - Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC - Cumulatively Considerable City of Sunnyvale May 2016

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		site management controls appropriate for the use of the site have been completed consistent with applicable regulations and to the satisfaction of the City of Sunnyvale, DTSC, or SFBRWQCB (as appropriate) prior to initiation of construction activities. Deed restrictions, if appropriate, shall be recorded.	
		If temporary dewatering is required during construction or if permanent dewatering is required for subterranean features, the City shall not issue an improvement permit or building permit until documentation has been provided to the City that the Water Pollution Control Plant has approved the discharge to the sewer. Discharge of any groundwater removed from a construction site in any LSAP subarea north of the Caltrain tracks, the Peninsula subarea, the Lawrence/Reed/Willow subarea, or the Corn Palace property to the El Camino Storm Drain Channel, Calabazas Creek, or storm drain shall be prohibited. The City shall ensure all plans and permits state this prohibition.	
		If the Phase I ESA determines there are no recognized environmental conditions (RECs), no further action is required. However, the City shall ensure any grading or improvement plan or building permit includes a statement if hazardous materials contamination is discovered or suspected during construction activities, all work shall stop immediately until a qualified professional has determined an appropriate course of action.	
Impact 3.3.4 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could involve the use, transport, disposal, and/or release of hazardous materials within one-quarter mile of an existing school site.	LS	None required.	LS

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC - Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC - Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

TABLE ES-1
LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
Impact 3.3.5 Construction of subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could temporarily interfere with emergency response or evacuation plans.	PS	MM 3.3.5 Prior to issuance of a permit for a specific development project or prior to approving a City-initiated roadway improvement identified in the LSAP, the City shall determine whether project construction activities have the potential to affect traffic conditions on roadways as a result of construction of the development project or roadway improvement(s). If there is the potential the activities could impair or inhibit emergency response or evacuation, a Construction Traffic Control Plan shall be prepared for City review and approval. The plan shall include, but not be limited to, schedule of construction and anticipated methods of handling traffic for each phase of construction to ensure the safe flow of traffic and adequate emergency access, including maintaining an open lane for vehicle travel at all times. All traffic control measures shall conform to City of Sunnyvale, Santa Clara County, and/or Caltrans standards, as applicable. The City shall ensure final approved plans for private development projects specify the requirement, as appropriate, to implement the construction traffic control plan.	LS
Impact 3.3.6 Occupancy and activities associated with subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would not interfere with adopted emergency response and evacuation plans.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.3.7 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, could increase the use of hazardous materials and may involve project development on contaminated sites.	LCC	None required.	LCC
Impact 3.3.8 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, could affect emergency response and evacuation routes.	LCC	None required.	LCC
3.4 Transportation and Circulation			

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
Impact 3.4.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would be accommodated by transit services and facilities in the area. Traffic operations in the LSAP area would not adversely impact transit travel times.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.4.2 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would increase the demand for bicycle facilities as well as include new facilities and improvements to support bicycle usage.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.4.3 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would increase the demand for pedestrian facilities as well as provide for improved pedestrian facilities and opportunities.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.4.4 Subsequent projects and roadway and pedestrian/bicycle facilities improvements developed under the LSAP would increase the number of people and vehicles in the plan area, which could increase the risk of vehicle and bicycle/pedestrian conflicts, and would intensity urban uses in adjacent to the Caltrain tracks.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.4.5 The roadway improvements proposed in the LSAP would not adversely affect emergency access.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.4.6 Implementation of the land uses under the LSAP would contribute to significant traffic operational impacts to intersections and freeway segments as compared to existing conditions.	S/CC	 MM 3.4.6 Should the proposed Land Use and Transportation Element update not be adopted, the following roadway improvements are required as a component of the implementation of the LSAP: Wolfe Road & Kifer Road – Construction of a second southbound left-turn lane and a second westbound left-turn lane. Both left-turn lanes would need to have the same length as the original left-turn lane. Depending on the width of each travel lane, the north and east legs of the intersection will need to be widened between 8 feet and 11 feet. The through lanes at this intersection will be 	CC/SU

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC - Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC - Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

TABLE ES-1
LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		realigned. The required right-of-way would need to be acquired from the northwest, northeast, and/or southeast quadrants of the intersection. Existing bicycle and pedestrian facilities will be retained. This improvement would be a requirement for projects within the LSAP only and not a city-wide requirement.	
		With this improvement, the intersection would operate at an acceptable LOS D during the AM peak hour. There would be secondary deficiencies associated with this improvement such as increased pedestrian and bicyclist exposure to traffic when crossing the intersection. The increased exposure time would range from approximately 2 to 3 seconds for pedestrians and from 1 to 2 seconds for bicyclists. This increased exposure time would be minimal. Located in an industrial area and immediately between the rail tracks and Central Expressway, this intersection is also not expected to serve a considerable amount of pedestrian and bicyclist volume. The required right-of-way acquisition would be minimal and would not displace businesses or parking spaces.	
		Wolfe Road & Fremont Avenue – Construction of an exclusive southbound right-turn lane for the length of the segment. The eastbound inner left-turn lane will require restricting the U-turn movement to allow a southbound overlap right-turn phase. Vehicles wishing to perform the eastbound U-turn movement would instead perform the U-turn at Eleanor Way. Depending on the extent of the median on the north leg that could be removed, the north leg would be widened between 3 and 11 feet. The north leg would be realigned to accommodate the southbound	

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		right turn. There is existing right-of-way on the northeast quadrant of the intersection. With this improvement, the intersection would operate at an unacceptable LOS E during the PM peak hour, but would no longer have an LSAP intersection deficiency. Secondary deficiencies on the pedestrian and bicycle facilities associated with this improvement would not be considerable. The increased exposure time would range from approximately 1 to 3 seconds for pedestrians and from 1 to 2 seconds for bicyclists. This increased exposure time would be minimal. The required right-of-way acquisition would be minimal and would not displace businesses. This improvement would be a requirement for projects within the LSAP only and not a city-wide requirement.	
3.5 Air Quality			
Impact 3.5.1 Subsequent land use activities associated with implementation of the proposed Lawrence Station Area Plan would not conflict with the Bay Area 2010 Clean Air Plan.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.5.2 Subsequent land use activities associated with implementation of the proposed Lawrence Station Area Plan would not conflict with the Bay Area 2010 Clean Air Plan.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.5.3 The proposed project could result in short-term construction emissions that could violate or substantially contribute to a violation of federal and state standards.	S	MM 3.5.3a Prior to the issuance of grading or building permits, the City of Sunnyvale shall ensure that the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's (BAAQMD) basic construction mitigation measures from Table 8-1 of the BAAQMD 2011 CEQA Air Quality Guidelines (or subsequent updates) are noted on the construction documents. These basic construction mitigation measures include the following:	SU

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC – Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC – Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

TABLE ES-1
LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		1. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day.	
		2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered.	
		3. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.	
		4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 miles per hour (mph).	
		5. All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.	
		6. All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturers' specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation.	
		7. A publicly visible sign shall be posted with the telephone number and person to contact at the lead agency regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The BAAQMD's phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.	
		MM3.5.3b In the cases where construction projects are projected to exceed the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's (BAAQMD) air pollutant significance thresholds for NOx, PM10, and/or PM2.5, all off-road diesel-fueled equipment (e.g., rubber-tired dozers, graders, scrapers, excavators, asphalt paving equipment, cranes, and tractors) shall be at least California Air Resources Board (CARB) Tier 3 Certified or better.	

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
Impact 3.5.4 The proposed project would not contribute to localized concentrations of mobile-source CO that would exceed applicable ambient air quality standards.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.5.5 The proposed project could result in increased exposure of existing or planned sensitive land uses to construction-source toxic air contaminant (TAC) emissions.	PS	MM 3.5.5 In the case when a subsequent project's construction is span greater than 5 acres and is scheduled to last more than two years, the subsequent project shall be required to prepare a site-specific construction pollutant mitigation plan in consultation with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) staff prior to the issuance of grading permits. A project-specific construction-related dispersion modeling acceptable to BAAQMD shall be used to identify potential toxic air contaminant impacts, including diesel particulate matter. If BAAQMD risk thresholds (i.e., probability of contracting cancer is greater than 10 in 1 million) would be exceeded, mitigation measures shall be identified in the construction pollutant mitigation plan to address potential impacts and shall be based on site-specific information such as the distance to the nearest sensitive receptors, project site plan details, and construction schedule. The City shall ensure construction contracts include all identified measures and that the measures reduce the health risk below BAAQMD risk thresholds. Construction pollutant mitigation plan measures shall include, but not be limited to: 1. Limiting the amount of acreage to be graded in a single day, 2. Restricting intensive equipment usage and intensive ground disturbance to hours outside of normal preschool hours, 3. Notification of affected sensitive receptors one week prior to commencing on-site construction so that any necessary precautions (such as rescheduling or relocation of outdoor activities) can be implemented. The written notification shall include the name and telephone number of the individual empowered to manage construction of the project. In the event	LS

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC – Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC – Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

TABLE ES-1 LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		that complaints are received, the individual empowered to manage construction shall respond to the complaint within 24 hours. The response shall include identification of measures being taken by the project construction contractor to reduce construction-related air pollutants. Such a measure may include the relocation of equipment.	
Impact 3.5.6 The proposed project could result in the development of housing units (sensitive land uses) near stationary or mobile-source TACs.	PS	 MM 3.5.6 The following measures shall be utilized in site planning and building designs to reduce TAC and PM2.5 exposure where new receptors are located within 1,000 feet of emission sources: Future development with the LSAP that includes sensitive receptors (such as residences, schools, hospitals, daycare centers, or retirement homes) located within 1,000 feet from Caltrain and/or stationary sources shall require site-specific analysis to determine the level of health risk. This analysis shall be conducted following procedures outlined by BAAQMD. If the site-specific analysis reveals significant exposures from all sources (i.e., health risk in terms of excess cancer risk greater than 100 in one million, acute or chronic hazards with a hazard Index greater than 10, or annual PM2.5 exposures greater than 0.8 μg/m3) measures shall be employed to reduce the risk to below the threshold (e.g., electrostatic filtering systems or equivalent systems and location of vents away from TAC sources). If this is not possible, the sensitive receptors shall be relocated. Future nonresidential developments projected to generate more than 100 heavy-duty trucks daily will be evaluated through the CEQA process or BAAQMD permit process to ensure they do not cause a significant health risk in terms of excess cancer risk greater than 10 in one million, acute 	LS

NI – No Impact LS – Less Than Significant Lawrence Station Area Plan Draft Environmental Impact Report

PS - Potentially Significant

SU - Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant

LCC - Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC - Cumulatively Considerable City of Sunnyvale May 2016

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		or chronic hazards with a hazard Index greater than 1.0, or annual PM2.5 exposures greater than 0.3 μ g/m3.	
Impact 3.5.7 Future development within the LSAP would not result in exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial odorous emissions.	LS	None required	LS
Impact 3.5.8 The proposed project, in combination with cumulative development in the SFBAAB, could result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria air pollutants for which the air basin is designated nonattainment.	СС	Implement Mitigation Measures MM 3.5.3a and MM 3.5.3b.	CC/SU
3.6 Noise			
Impact 3.6.1 The proposed project would not expose residents to traffic noise or stationary sources of noise in excess of established standards.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.6.2 Project operation would generate increased local traffic volumes that could cause a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.6.3 Planned development under the proposed LSAP would be required to comply with City noise standards set forth in the General Plan and the Municipal Code.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.6.4 Planned development under the proposed LSAP would not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of the City of Sunnyvale's noise standards, as short-term construction noise is exempt from all noise level standards and construction is limited to daytime hours. However, this temporary noise impact would be potentially significant.	PS	MM 3.6.4 Subsequent projects in the LSAP shall employ site-specific noise attenuation measures during construction to reduce the generation of construction noise. These measures shall be included in a Noise Control Plan that shall be submitted for review and approval by the City of Sunnyvale Building Services Division. Measures specified in the Noise Control Plan and implemented during construction shall include, at a minimum, the following noise control strategies:	LS

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC – Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC – Cumulatively Considerable

TABLE ES-1
LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		 Equipment and trucks used for construction shall use the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds; 	
		• Impact tools (e.g., jack hammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. Where use of pneumatic tools is unavoidable, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust shall be used; this muffler can lower noise levels from the exhaust by up to about 10 dBA. External jackets on the tools themselves shall be used where feasible; this could achieve a reduction of 5 dBA. Quieter procedures, such as use of drills rather than impact tools, shall be used; and	
		 Stationary noise sources shall be located as far from adjacent receptors as possible, and they shall be muffled and enclosed within temporary sheds, incorporate insulation barriers, or include other measures. 	
		 Noise reducing pile-driving techniques shall be employed during Project construction. These techniques shall include: 	
		 Installing intake and exhaust mufflers on pile-driving equipment; 	
		 Vibrating piles into place when feasible, and installing shrouds around the pile- driving hammer where feasible; 	

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		 Implement "quiet" pile-driving technology (such as pre-drilling of piles and the use of more than one pile driver to shorten the total pile driving duration), where feasible, in consideration of geotechnical and structural requirements and conditions; Use cushion blocks to dampen impact noise, if feasible based on soil conditions. Cushion blocks are blocks of material that are used with impact hammer pile drivers. They consist of blocks of material placed atop a piling during installation to minimize noise generated when driving the pile. Materials typically used for cushion blocks include wood, nylon and micarta (a composite material); and At least 48 hours prior to pile-driving activities, the applicant shall notify building owners and occupants within 600 feet of the Project area of the dates, hours, and expected duration of such activities. 	
Impact 3.6.5 Project operation would not result in a substantial contribution to cumulative noise levels.	LCC	None required.	LCC
3.7 Geology. Soils, and Paleontological Resources			
Impact 3.7.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would result in the exposure of people, structures, and infrastructure to strong seismic groundshaking. However, California Building Code standards, as implemented by the City through Chapter 16.16 of the Municipal Code, would address seismic hazards.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.7.2 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would involve construction and grading activities that could temporarily increase soil erosion. However, continued	LS	None required.	LS

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC – Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC – Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

TABLE ES-1
LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
implementation of the City's Municipal Code would ensure that there are no adverse impacts from erosion.			
Impact 3.7.3 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP occur on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, thus creating substantial risks to life and property. However, continued implementation of the City's Municipal Code and compliance with the CBC would ensure that potential development is not adversely impacted by unstable soils.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.7.4 Construction of subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could affect paleontological resources.	PS	MM 3.7.4 All subsequent projects within the LSAP plan area shall be required to include information on the improvement plans that if, during the course of grading or construction fossils are discovered, work shall be halted immediately within 50 feet of the discovery, the Sunnyvale Community Development Department shall be notified, and the significance of the find and recommended actions are determined by a qualified paleontologist. In addition, prior to the commencement of project site preparation, all construction personnel shall be informed of the potential to discover fossils and the procedures to follow.	LS
Impact 3.7.5 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, could expose people and structures to seismic hazards, be located on soil or rock units that could be unstable, or cause or increase erosion.	LCC	None required.	LCC
Impact 3.7.6 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, could result in cumulative impacts on paleontological resources.	LCC	None required.	LCC
3.8 Hydrology and Water Quality			
Impact 3.8.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would include construction-related activities that could expose soil to erosion during storm events, causing degradation of water	LS	None required.	LS

NI – No Impact LS – Less Than Significant Lawrence Station Area Plan Draft Environmental Impact Report

PS - Potentially Significant

S – Significant

SU - Significant and Unavoidable

LCC - Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC - Cumulatively Considerable City of Sunnyvale May 2016

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance	
quality. Runoff from urban uses may contribute to the degradation of downstream water quality. Compliance with existing State regulations and City Municipal Code requirements would reduce these impacts.				
Impact 3.8.2 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would not interfere with groundwater recharge or flows or substantially deplete groundwater supplies.	LS	None required.	LS	
Impact 3.8.3 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could result in the exposure of additional people and/or structures to potential risks from flooding hazards.	PS	MM 3.8.3 Prior to approving any subsequent projects in the LSAP at any location where fill is placed in the FEMA AO zone to elevate the ground surface above the base flood elevation, the project applicant shall submit a hydraulic analysis prepared by a California-registered professional engineer for City Engineer review and approval. The analysis shall, at a minimum, identify: (1) the specific locations where changes in water surface elevations due to fill encroachment could occur; and (2) drainage improvements that will be used to ensure placement of fill will not increase flood hazards in areas not previously subject to flooding during occurrence of the base flood discharge.	LS	
Impact 3.8.4 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, could introduce additional non-point source pollutants to surface waters.	LCC	None required.	LCC	
3.9 Biological Resources				
Impact 3.9.1 Construction of projects developed under the LSAP in the Southern Residential subarea (Corn Palace parcel) could result in substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on special-status burrowing owl.	PS	MM 3.9.1 If clearing and construction activities will occur during the nesting period for burrowing owls (February 1 – August 31) on the vacant portion of the Corn Palace site, then a qualified biologist shall conduct focused surveys for burrowing owls on and adjacent to the project site. Surveys shall be conducted in accordance with the CDFW's Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation, published March 7, 2012. Surveys	LS	

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC – Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC – Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

TABLE ES-1
LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		shall be repeated if project activities are suspended or delayed for more than 15 days during nesting season. If no burrowing owls are detected, then no further mitigation is required. If active burrowing owls are detected, the Project proponent will implement the avoidance, minimization, and mitigation methodologies outlined in CDFW's Staff Report prior to initiating project related activities that may impact burrowing owls.	
Impact 3.9.2 Construction of subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could result in substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, to special-status bats	PS	MM 3.9.2 Prior to the removal of trees or building demolitions, a bat survey shall be performed by a qualified biologist no more than 3 days prior to the start of construction activities. If bat roosts are identified, the City shall require that the bats be safely flushed from the sites where roosting habitat is planned to be removed. If maternity roosts are identified during the maternity roosting season (typically May to September) they must remain undisturbed until a qualified biologist has determined the young bats are no longer roosting. If roosting is found to occur onsite, replacement roost habitat (e.g., bat boxes) shall be provided to offset roosting sites removed. If no bat roosts are detected, then no further action is required if the trees and buildings are removed prior to the next breeding season. If a female or maternity colony of bats are found on the project site, and the project can be constructed without the elimination or disturbance of the roosting colony (e.g., if the colony roosts in a large oak tree not planned for removal), a qualified biologist shall determine what buffer zones shall be employed to ensure the continued success of the colony. Such buffer zones may include a construction-free barrier of 200 feet from the roost and/or the timing of the construction activities outside of the maternity roost season (after July 31 and before March 1).	LS

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
		If an active nursery roost is documented onsite and the project cannot be conducted outside of the maternity roosting season, bats shall be excluded from the site after July 31 and before March 1 to prevent the formation of maternity colonies. Nonbreeding bats shall be safely evicted, under the direction of a bat specialist.	
Impact 3.9.3 Construction of subsequent projects allowed under the LSAP could result in direct disturbance of nesting raptors and other migratory birds.	PS	MM 3.9.3 All construction and clearing activities shall be conducted outside of the avian nesting season (January 15–August 31), when feasible. If clearing and/or construction activities occur during the nesting season, preconstruction surveys for nesting raptors, special-status resident birds, and other migratory birds protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act shall be conducted by a qualified biologist, up to 3 days before initiation of construction activities. The qualified biologist shall survey the construction zone and a 250-foot radius surrounding the construction zone to determine whether the activities taking place have the potential to disturb or otherwise harm nesting birds. If an active nest is located within 100 feet (250 feet for raptors) of construction activities, the project applicant shall establish an exclusion zone (no ingress of personnel or equipment at a minimum radius of 100 feet or 250 feet, as appropriate around the nest). Alternative exclusion zones may be established through consultation with CDFW and the USFWS, as necessary. The City shall be notified if altered exclusion zones widths are authorized by these agencies prior to the initiation of work. The exclusion zones shall remain in force until all young have fledged.	LS
Impact 3.9.4 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could result in the construction of tall buildings, but would not pose an increased risk of bird collisions with buildings.	NI	None required.	NI

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC – Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC – Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

TABLE ES-1
LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
Impact 3.9.5 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could result in the loss of riparian vegetation and/or sensitive natural communities.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.9.6 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would not result in degradation of federally protected waters.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.9.7 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would not result in significant impacts on the movement of native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or established migratory corridors.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.9.8 Construction of subsequent projects under the LSAP could result in the removal of trees, but implementation of Municipal Code requirements and LSAP policies and guidelines would ensure no net loss of trees.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.9.9 The LSAP would not conflict with the provisions of an adopted habitat conservation plan, natural community conservation plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.9.10 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would not reduce the number or restrict the range of an endangered, rare, or threatened plant or animal species or biotic community, thereby causing the species or community to drop below self-sustaining levels.	NI	None required.	NI
Impact 3.9.11 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, could result in impacts on biological resources.	LCC	None required.	LCC
3.10 Cultural Resources			
Impact 3.10.1 There are no locally designated historic structures within the plan area. Further, compliance with resource	NI	None required.	NI

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant Lawrence Station Area Plan Draft Environmental Impact Report

PS - Potentially Significant S - Significant SU - Significant and Unavoidable

LCC – Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC – Cumulatively Considerable City of Sunnyvale May 2016

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
protection policy provisions of the Sunnyvale General Plan and further project-level CEQA review of individual development projects would ensure potential impacts are avoided or mitigated.			
Impact 3.10.2 Construction of subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could indirectly result in the potential disturbance of undiscovered cultural resources (i.e., prehistoric sites, historic sites, and isolated artifacts and features) and unrecorded human remains.	PS	MM 3.10.2 All subsequent projects within the LSAP plan area shall be required to include information on the improvement plans that if, during the course of grading or construction cultural resources (i.e., prehistoric or historic sites) are discovered, work will stop in that area and within 100 feet of the find until a qualified archaeologist can access the significance of the find and, if necessary, develop appropriate treatment measures as part of a treatment plan in consultation with the City and all other appropriate agencies. The treatment plan shall include measures to document and protect the discovered resource. Consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4 (b)(3), preservation in place will be the preferred method of mitigating impacts to the discovered resource. Pursuant to Government Code Section 6254.10, information on the discovered resource shall be confidential.	LS
Impact 3.10.3 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, could result in cumulative impacts on cultural resources.	LCC	Implement mitigation measure MM 3.10.2.	LCC
3.11 Public Services and Utilities			
Impact 3.11.1.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could increase the demand for fire protection and emergency medical services.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.1.2 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, would increase the demand for fire protection and emergency medical services.	LCC	None required.	LCC

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC – Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC – Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

TABLE ES-1
LSAP IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
Impact 3.11.2.1 Development of subsequent projects under the LSAP would result in increased demand for law enforcement services.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.2.2 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, would result in increased demand for law enforcement services.	LCC	None required.	LCC
Impact 3.11.3.1 The residential component of the LSAP could result in an increase in student enrollment in Sunnyvale schools.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.3.2 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, could require new or expanded school facilities to accommodate projected growth.	LCC	None required.	LCC
Impact 3.11.4.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could increase the use of existing parks and recreation facilities in Sunnyvale and result in demand for new facilities.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.4.2 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, would increase the use of existing parks and recreation facilities and could increase the demand for additional facilities.	LCC	None required.	LCC
Impact 3.11.5.1 Subsequent development under the proposed LSAP would increase the demand for water, but new water supply entitlements or expansion of local or regional water supplies would not be required.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.5.2 Future development under the proposed LSAP would require extensions of water distribution infrastructure.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.5.3 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, would increase the demand for water supplies.	LCC	None required.	LCC

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
Impact 3.11.5.4 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, would require improvements to existing water distribution infrastructure.	LCC	None required.	LCC
Impact 3.11.6.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would increase wastewater generation in the city. However, projected wastewater flows would remain within the capacity of Sunnyvale's wastewater collection and treatment system and would not exceed applicable wastewater treatment requirements of the RWQCB.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.6.2 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would increase wastewater flows and require the use of infrastructure and treatment facilities to accommodate anticipated demands.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.6.3 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, would contribute to the cumulative demand for wastewater service.	LCC	None required.	LCC
Impact 3.11.7.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would generate increased amounts of solid waste that would need to be disposed of in landfills or recycled.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.7.2 Implementation of the LSAP would not result in conflicts with any federal, state, or local solid waste regulations.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.11.7.3 Cumulative development, including the LSAP, would increase the amount of solid waste requiring disposal.	LCC	None required.	LCC
Impact 3.11.8.1 Development of subsequent projects under the LSAP would result in increased energy demand.	LCC	None required.	LCC
3.12 Visual Resources and Aesthetics			

NI - No Impact LS - Less Than Significant PS - Potentially Significant

SU – Significant and Unavoidable S – Significant LCC – Less than Cumulatively Considerable CC – Cumulatively Considerable

City of Sunnyvale May 2016

Impact	Level of Significance Without Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Resulting Level of Significance
Impact 3.12.1 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP would not substantially degrade the visual character or quality of the plan area or its surroundings.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.12.2 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could result in an increase of nighttime lighting and glare.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.12.3 Subsequent projects developed under the LSAP could increase the amount of shade and shadow in public areas.	LS	None required.	LS
Impact 3.12.4 Implementation of the proposed LSAP would not result in a significant contribution to the cumulative conversion of open space or illumination of the night sky.	LCC	None required.	LCC
3.13 Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change			
Impact 3.13.1 The project would not conflict with an applicable plan adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions.	LCC	None required.	LCC