



VERDE DESIGN

2455 The Alameda, Suite 200
 Santa Clara, CA 95050
 t 408.985.7200 f 408.985.7260
 www.verdedesigninc.com

MEETING REPORT

DATE: March 23, 2018 MEETING DATE: March 21, 2018
 FACILITATOR: Mark Baginski MEETING TYPE: Community Workshop
 RECORDER: Pat Healy MEETING TIME: 6:30pm
 PROJECT NAME: Fair Oaks Park PROJECT NUMBER: 1713500
 MEETING LOCATION: Fair Oaks Park Bldg
 540 N. Fair Oaks
 ATTENDEES: Humza Javed, City of Sunnyvale
 Jim Stark, City of Sunnyvale
 Russ Melton, City of Sunnyvale
 Nancy Grove, City of Sunnyvale
 Olenka Villareal, Magical Bridge Foundation (MBF)
 Peter Jensen, MBF
 Mark Baginski, Verde Design (VDI)
 Pat Healy, VDI
 Daniel Correia, VDI
 Community Members

COPY TO: File

FILE NAME & PATH: I:\2017\1713500-fair oaks park renovation-sunnyvale\meeting items\2018-03-21_public meeting #2\2018-03-22_community mtg #2 meeting report.docx

MEETING REPORT REVIEW / COMMENTS: *This report, if not corrected within seven (7) days after receipt by any party in attendance, shall be acknowledged as an accurate report of the events that took place at this meeting.*

MEETING CONTEXT: Community Outreach Meeting #2

MEETING PURPOSE: Introduce (3) Concept Plans and gain community feedback

- INTENDED RESULTS:
1. Introduce design team and City team
 2. Describe project program and milestones
 3. Record community input on (3) Conceptual Plans and Playground
 4. Record community input on interactive plan diagram exercise

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>DISCUSSION AND ACTION</u>
I. Project Team Introductions	I. Project Team Introductions – Humza introduced the project team a. City Staff Introduction i. Humza described the current progress for the park project 1. Outlined previous meetings, survey, and dates 2. Notified attendees of next public meeting and council and parks and recreation commission meetings b. Design Team i. Mark introduced Verde Design team (Derek, Pat, and Daniel) ii. Described project milestones iii. Describe the input process from the 1 st public meeting, survey results, and pop-up event and outlined the desired outcomes for the meeting.
II. Community Input Progress	I. Mark gave an overview of the survey results and notable comments from previous public input meetings. Pat noted a couple anomalies discovered in the online survey: a. Most park users were not aware of the “Old English” theme b. There is a notable amount of interest in tennis courts II. Based on all the input received so far, Mark described the core program list for each concept: i. Synthetic Turf Fields ii. Magical Bridge Playground iii. Hard court improvements

ITEM

DISCUSSION AND ACTION

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> iv. Picnic areas spread throughout the park v. Dog park vi. Walking/jogging path with measured lengths vii. Concessions building and additional restrooms viii. Fill in underutilized park areas
III. Conceptual Park Plans	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I. Pat reviewed the existing park plan and existing site use diagram II. Pat outlined the Design Principles that guided the design of each concept: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Strengthen park identity with monumentation and wayfinding b. Create interior and exterior walking/ jogging paths c. Increase field use with synthetic turf and permanent striping d. Improve existing programmed areas e. Add program to unused and underutilized spaces f. Distribute picnic areas throughout the park g. Add site amenities and infrastructure (restrooms, parking, seating) III. Conceptual Design Presentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Pat presented Option A showing the synthetic turf field within the footprint of the existing field b. Pat presented Option B showing an expanded synthetic turf field based on the relocation of (2) lights along the western edge c. Pat presented Option C showing a further expanded synthetic turf field based on the relocation of (6) lights along the west, north, and east edges.
IV. Magical Bridge Playground	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I. Pat introduced Peter Jensen who gave an overview of the Magical Bridge playground use areas II. Peter reviewed the play zones introduced in the previous meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The site plan shows the layout of the zones with a curved walk leading up the slide mound and the upper level of the play house. b. The community will have the chance to select equipment for the play zones shown on the poster in the back of the room.
IV. Group Concept Assessment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> V. Mark led a group discussion with the community to gather feedback on each concept, noting what they like, dislike, or think is missing from each concept. The general comments are listed below and attached to this report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Option A: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Whatever is done, ensure it can be kept clean ii. The dog park is in a good location along Wolfe Rd. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 5 attendees voted by hand for this location iii. For the area around the community building, there was a tepid response to bocce courts, slightly more excitement for game tables, and a general consensus for flexible space that can be used for a variety of activities. iv. Warm-up areas are good v. Design should focus on accommodating sports and park users. vi. Sports lights are important because most practices start after at 6:30 pm (Pop Warner) vii. Sports programming and permitting will remain the same or be improved. viii. 60x100 yd soccer fields are not preferred; too small b. Option B: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. 110 yd soccer field is preferred ii. Good idea to separate big and small dogs at the dog park iii. Pavilions/shade structures in picnic areas are good iv. Tennis courts are not strongly desired. A minimum of two would be needed to ensure drop-in availability and allow one to be scheduled. v. East batting cage works for this concept vi. Too much space for the dog parks in relation to the picnic areas; The dog park could be consolidated to 11a and 11b could become more picnic space vii. Batting cages should be closer to field for use during practices, within eyesight of coaches (Swap picnic and batting cages)

ITEM

DISCUSSION AND ACTION

	<p>c. Option C:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Liked the expanded field space ii. Liked the expanded parking lot in the east iii. Community garden would be good; other available community gardens have wait-lists iv. Warm up areas are needed near softball v. Covered picnic areas, as shown, are preferred vi. Lighted cages are a good idea especially if they operate independent from sports field lighting and on a timer. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cages paid for by user groups? Typically yes.
VI. Breakout Session	<p>VI. Mark described the next activity in which each attendee was given time to provide written feedback on the conceptual plans that were included in a packet that was distributed at the beginning of the meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The group was given 15 minutes to record their ideas individually or in small groups – see attached scanned comments b. After the 15 minutes, attendees shared some of the additional points with the rest of the community <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Water play area would be nice ii. Include storage space for athletics including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. goal storage when they are not in use 2. Provide space for Pop Warner, currently storing equipment in shipping container in Britton parking lot iii. Batting cages should be located on the south side of the field where the noise will be less disruptive iv. More drop-off areas needed on the northeast corner – Britton Ave v. Possible striping desired for pop-warner football practice (80yd field for younger groups – 110yd field for older age groups) vi. Tennis courts used to be at the King’s Academy side of the park and was well used although tennis is not a priority. vii. Space for food truck parking viii. Keep or replace the snack shack (concessions) ix. The majority of attendees preferred Option C.
VII. Project Schedule Recap	<p>VII. Mark shared the project milestones and next community meeting dates with the group.</p> <p>VIII. Humza encouraged attendees to attend the next public meeting as well as the city council meeting and parks and recreation commission meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Several community members expressed interest in moving the date of the next community meeting to the following week because it currently falls within spring break. b. Humza suggested pushing the next meeting date back to 4/25
VIII. Next Steps	<p>IX. Next Steps</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. VDI to share meeting notes with team for review and approval b. VDI and MBF to coordinate site plans for City to review prior to Community Meeting #3 (confirmed new meeting date of 4/25 as of 3/22)

END OF MEETING REPORT