## ALL-WAY STOP ENGINEERING STUDY

Date of Study: $\quad 7 / 23 / 13$

| Major Street: | California Avenue | Approach: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minor Street: | EB/WB |  |
|  | Pajaro Avenue | Approach: |
|  |  |  |

## BACKGROUND:

| Type of Intersection: | 4-leg | Reason for Study: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Existing Controls: | Resident Request |  |
| Stop signs facing traffic on Pajaro Avenue |  |  |

Previous Studies: N/A

## CALIFORNIA MUTCD 2012 EDITION

The following criteria should be considered in the engineering study for a multiway STOP sign installation:

## Warrant A - Collision History

Warrant Met?: $\qquad$
Five or more reported crashes in a 12-month period that are susceptible to correction by a multiway stop installation, i.e. right of way violations. Such crashes include right-turn and left-turn collisions as well as right-angle collisions.

Study Period: 7/23/2012-7/23/2013 Collisions: $1 \quad$ Correctable: 0

## Warrant B - Traffic Volumes

$\qquad$
Minimum volumes - streets less than 40 MPH $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed:
B1. The vehicular volume entering the intersection from the major street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 300 vehicles per hour for any 8 hours of an average day.

| Street Approach: | EB California Avenue | Volume: | 876 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Street Approach: | WB California Avenue | Volume |  |

Average Volume (total of both approaches): $\quad 230$
B2. The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volume entering the intersection from the minor street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 200 units per hour for the same 8 hours, with an average delay to minor-street vehicular traffic of at least 30 seconds per vehicle during the highest hour.

| Street Approach: | NB Pajaro Avenue | Volume: | 276 | Peak Hr Delay: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street Approach: | SB Pajaro Avenue | Volume: | 253 | Peak Hr Delay: |

Average Volume (total of both approaches):

```
6 6
```

Minimum volumes - streets greater than $40 \mathrm{MPH} 85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed:
B3. The vehicular volume entering the intersection from the major street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 210 vehicles per hour for any 8 hours of an average day.

Street Approach: $\qquad$ Volume: $\qquad$
Street Approach: $\qquad$ Volume: $\qquad$

Average Volume (total of both approaches): $\qquad$

B4. The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volume entering the intersection from the minor street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 140 units per hour for the same 8 hours, with an average delay to minor-street vehicular traffic of at least 30 seconds per vehicle during the highest hour.

Street Approach: $\qquad$ Volume: $\qquad$ Peak Hr Delay:
Street Approach: $\qquad$ Volume: $\qquad$ Peak Hr Delay:
$\qquad$

Average Volume (total of both approaches): $\qquad$

## Warrant C - Criteria

Warrant Met?: $\qquad$ NO

Where no single criterion is satisfied, but where Criteria A, B.1, and B. 2 are all satisfied to $80 \%$ of the minimum values. Criterion B. 3 and B. 4 is excluded from this condition.

## Warrant D - Other Considerations

Warrant Met?: $\qquad$

Other criteria that may be considered in an engineering study include:
A. High rate of left-turn conflicts (12 month history, left turn collisions):

Study Period: $\quad 7 / 23 / 2012-7 / 23 / 2013$ Number of Collisions: 0
B. Vehicle/pedestrian conflicts near locations that generate high pedestrian volumes

Study Period: $\quad$ 7/23/2012-7/23/2013 Number of Collisions: 0

## Pedestrian Volumes:

$\qquad$
C. Locations where a road user, after stopping, cannot see conflicting traffic and is not able to negotiate the intersection unless conflicting cross traffic is also required to stop.

Stopping Sight Distance per 2012 MUTCD Table 6E-1, unobstructed approach (ft):
ADEQUTE
D. An intersection of two residential neighborhood collector (through) streets of similar design and operating characteristics where multi-way stop control would improve traffic operational characteristics of the intersection.

Improve traffic operation:

QUALIFIES FOR AN ALL-WAY STOP: $\qquad$ YES $\qquad$ NO

Prepared By:
Mark Eva

# City of Sunnyvale 

From 1/1/2018 to 12/31/2018

| Total Collisions: 0 | Collision Summary Report | $3 / 11 / 19$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injury Collisions: 0 |  | Page 1 of 1 |
| Fatal Collisions: 0 |  |  |
| CALIFORNIA AVENUE \& PAJARO AVENUE |  |  |

Settings for Query:

Street: CALIFORNIA AVENUE
Cross Street: PAJARO AVENUE
Intersection Related: True
Sorted By: Date and Time


