

**ERRATA**  
**to the Environmental Impact Report for the**  
**1 AMD Place Redevelopment Project**  
**SCH No. 2017082043**

The Draft Environmental Impact Report contains a clerical error in the “Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures” on Page 2-23 and in the summary paragraph on Page 4.9-25 concerning Impact 4.9-5, Need for Expanded School Facilities. Using Santa Clara Unified School District student generation rates, the project could generate **144** new students, not 520 new students. This information is correctly stated in the discussion of Impact 4.9-5 (page 4.9-25). As a result, these corrections do not constitute significant new information that would require recirculation of the EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines, Section 15088.5.

The Draft Environmental Impact Report is corrected as follows:

-----

Page 2-23

**Table 2-1. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

**Impact 4.9-5: Result in the Need for Expanded School Facilities**

The project would generate an estimated ~~520~~ 144 new students. The project would be required to contribute funding to school facilities. Payment of such fees is considered sufficient to avoid a significant impact under the California Government Code. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.

-----

Page 4.9-25

**Impact 4.9-5: Result in the Need for Expanded School Facilities**

The project would generate an estimated ~~520~~ 144 new students. The project would be required to contribute funding to school facilities. Payment of such fees is considered sufficient to avoid a significant impact under the California Government Code. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.

Construction of the project is estimated to increase population levels in the City by 2,895 persons. Using Santa Clara Unified School District student generation rates noted above, the project could generate 144 students (elementary through high school). This could exceed the capacity of existing schools operated by Santa Clara Unified School District and Sunnyvale School District depending on factors such as the rate of site development in comparison to enrollment rates, age of students, admission to other schools in the district, and enrollment at private schools.

Government Code Section 65995 establishes the dollar amount school districts may impose on new development; however, this may not be sufficient to fund all required facilities. Funding from state grants is possible but other sources would most likely still be required. Sources include but are not limited to Proposition 51 funds, increased developer and local tax fees, and the local general obligation bond funds. New public school facilities proposed by school districts must undergo site-specific CEQA and California Board of Education evaluation before construction to identify and lessen environmental related impacts.

California Government Code Sections 65995 (h) and 65996 (b) require full and complete school facilities mitigation. Section 65995(h) states that the payment or satisfaction of a fee, charge, or other requirement levied or imposed pursuant to Section 17620 of the Education Code is deemed to be full and complete mitigation of the impacts for the planning, use, development, or the provision of adequate school facilities and Section 65996 (b) states that the provisions of the Government Code provide full and complete school facilities mitigation. In addition to the payment of these fees, the project applicant has voluntarily agreed to voluntary contribution equivalent to 50 percent of the required school impact fees. The funding would be unrestricted for use on items for the District's that may be needed such as classroom space, equipment, computers, and other technology to assist in teaching (Matchniff 2018) Therefore, the project's public school facility impacts would be less than significant.

**Mitigation Measures**

No mitigation is required.

---