

City of Sunnyvale

Meeting Minutes - Final Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission

Thursday, January 19, 2023

6:30 PM

Telepresence Meeting: City Web Stream

CALL TO ORDER

Pursuant to Government Code Subdivision 54953(e), the meeting was conducted telephonically; pursuant to state law, the City Council made the necessary findings by adopting Resolution No. 1089-21, reaffirmed on January 10, 2023.

Chair Mehlman called the meeting to order at 6:31 p.m. via teleconference.

ROLL CALL

Present 6 - Chair Leia Mehlman

Vice Chair Bryce Beagle Commissioner Alex Bonne Commissioner Arwen Davé Commissioner Dan Hafeman Commissioner Timothy Oey

Dennis Ng, Transportation and Traffic Manager, Lillian Tsang, Principal Transportation Engineer, Thinh Le, Transportation Engineer and Angela Wong, Transportation Engineer attended via teleconference.

Council Liaison Cisneros (present)

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Public Comment opened at 6:33 p.m. No speakers.

Public Comment closed at 6:33 p.m.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Public Comment opened at 6:35 p.m.

No speakers.

Public Comment closed at 6:35 p.m.

1.A Approve the Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission Meeting

Minutes of November 17, 2022.

Approve the Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission Meeting Minutes of November 17, 2022 as submitted.

Commissioner Davé moved and Commissioner Bonne seconded the motion to approve item 1.A.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes 5 - Chair Mehlman
Vice Chair Beagle
Commissioner Bonne
Commissioner Davé
Commissioner Oey

No 0

Abstain 1 - Commissioner Hafeman

PUBLIC HEARINGS/GENERAL BUSINESS

2 23-0214 Ranking of 2023 Study Issues

Lillian Tsang, Principal Transportation Engineer, explained the Study Issue process to the BPAC. Ms. Tsang discussed each Study Issue and provided Staff's recommendations. The Commissioners then discussed each Study Issue.

- 1. CDD 22-04 Adopt Personal Electric Vehicle (PEV) Parking Standards
- Staff recommendation: Drop
- 2. DPW 21-01 Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road
- Staff recommendation: Drop
- 3. DPW 22-02 Simplify Sidewalk Riding Ordinance
- Staff recommendation: Drop
- 4. DPW 23-02 Develop a Safe Routes to Park Master Plan

- Staff recommendation: Drop
- 5. DPW 23-03 Develop Bicycle Wayfinding Signage
- Staff recommendation: Drop
- 6. FIN 22-01 Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects
- Staff recommendation: Drop

Commissioner Oey asked about the following:

- CDD 22-04 & DPW 22-02 These two show they were deferred by City Council but they were not; they were deferred by the BPAC. Is that true? Ms. Tsang stated she will look into it.
- DPW 23-03 Can't staff put up signage on city streets without doing a study issue? Ms. Tsang stated it depends on which area is being studied. The Lawrence Station Area Plan has identified wayfinding signs designs that will be installed and the Moffett Park Specific Plan will also have wayfinding signs installed. Mr. Ng stated that staff is able to put up directional signs without a study issue.
- Can you install wayfinding signs for pedestrians and bicyclists? Mr. Ng stated that staff can put up signs.
- Do the current plans stipulate where all the signs should go or are they generalized? Mr. Ng stated they are general stipulations as part of the Area Plans that staff will be working on wayfinding signage in these areas.
- Are there any general guidelines and signs elsewhere in the City? Mr. Ng stated staff is working with Google on wayfinding signage for their green link telling people which direction to go to the Bay Trail.

Commissioner Davé asked about the following:

- DPW 23-03 Are there any provisions for detour signs when there is construction that can't be seen from one end of the route? Ms. Tsang stated that the City has traffic control plan guidelines which would put in temporary signs if there is a sidewalk closure due to construction. This study issue does not include temporary signs that would be needed due to construction. This study issue's intent is to look at permanent locations for wayfinding signs to various destinations.
- DPW 23-02 Is there any sort of priority on safe routes near places that children use a lot besides schools? Ms. Tsang stated currently there is no improvement recommendations based on the proximity to parks in the Safe Routes to School Chapter. There are projects and recommendations to make improvements based on the Pedestrian Plan as well as the ADA Transition Plan. The City is looking at

funding opportunities to implement those improvements and to bring certain facilities up to standard.

Vice Chair Beagle asked about the following:

- DPW 21-01 Why is staff prioritizing private vehicle parking on public streets ahead of pedestrian and bicyclists when the City's policy LT 3.6 is that the order of consideration of transportation users shall be pedestrians, non automotive and single occupant automobiles. Ms. Tsang stated staff did look at individual routes during the development of the Active Transportation Plan (ATP) one by one to try to understand what the existing constraints are and at the same time staff tried to balance between providing facilities, not necessarily on every single roadway but to ensure that there are facilities on parallel roadways that if bicyclists would want to bike from one end to another.
- Can City staff outline how many public and private schools are on Hollenbeck Avenue where they can't have an alternative route? Ms. Tsang stated there are two private schools and one public school.
- How to consider alternative routes if there are four different schools that would use Hollenbeck Avenue as their primary route? Ms. Tsang stated that in the ATP there are some recommendations in terms of providing additional improvements along Hollenbeck, north of Danforth.
- How does City staff come up with their pricing for the study issues? For example, why is the Sidewalk Ordinance Study Issue \$25,000 cheaper than the entire study for bike lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue. Mr. Ng stated that the sidewalk ordinance requires a lot of benchmarking against other agencies. Staff determines that the consultant would need to contact other jurisdictions and then do research on them. Consultants have to have a minimum project size and they do not take \$10,000 or \$20,000 jobs. Mr. Ng stated study issues require different consultants with different skill sets and experience.
- DPW 23-03 Can you confirm the reason for staff dropping the wayfinding study issue is due to the VTA and Lawrence Station projects which include wayfinding signage? Ms. Tsang stated VTA as a regional transportation agency would provide guidelines that the member agency would follow.
- If the Study Issue does not go through, would there be an initiative to adopt VTA's guidelines? What is the initiative steps for us to take in order to put signs on the ground? Ms. Tsang stated the City would have to look for a funding opportunity to fabricate and to install some of the signs. Mr. Ng stated that at the same time the City would work with developers to install wayfinding signs per VTA's guidelines.
- CDD 22-04 What would it take to have the City adopt this Study Issue then refer it to the VTA recommendation? Mr. Ng stated as part of development projects, staff sets conditions of approval following VTA's recommendations within the Bicycle Technical Guidelines on the number of Class I and Class II bicycle parking each

developer is required to install.

Commissioner Bonne asked about the following:

- Is the projected time to complete a study issue based on staff hours or consultant time? Ms. Tsang stated that staff would work on a proposed scope and work with the finance department to put out a request for proposal to solicit consultants. Once a consultant is selected they would start the study and at the end it would be brought to City Council to present finding and then adoption. The projected time is the entire process that includes City staff and the consultants that work on the project.
- What is an estimate of time for staff? Ms. Tsang stated it depends on what the project requires.
- Why is there a need for consultants when City staff are qualified to do the work? Ms. Tsang stated staff has daily duties and projects that they work on outside of study issues. Staff doesn't have the bandwidth to do the studies themselves since they typically manage multiple projects at the same time. In order to facilitate multiple projects, staff relies on consultants to perform some of the analysis so that staff can implement more studies and projects.
- Provide more detail on estimating costs
- Does staff really believe that all the current study issues should be dropped? Mr. Ng stated staff looks very closely at all the proposed study issues and all the projects currently being worked on to see if there is any correlation since staff has limited resources. If staff thinks a Study Issue is currently being worked on as part of another project, or could be covered by something else then that goes into consideration for a reason why staff would recommend drop, defer or support.

Chair Mehlman asked about the following:

- Does City staff use the ATP as a ceiling or a floor with respect to bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure? Ms. Tsang stated their goal is to implement the improvements and recommendations that are identified in the ATP. Mr. Ng stated that staff applies the Safe Routes to School principles and improvements that are identified in the Vision Zero Plan and the ATP for implementation as a model for other locations.
- What can the BPAC and public do to address requests for changes to infrastructure other than a study issue? Mr. Ng stated staff does look at small improvements that can be implemented.
- Doesn't want to drop a study issue just because there is an ATP
- How will the City implement VTA's recommendations on wayfinding signage? Mr. Ng stated when VTA develops the recommendation it will go into an amended chapter within the Bicycle Technical Guidelines which every City uses. City staff will work with developers and implement as part of a project.

Commissioner Oey asked about the following:

- Do projects in the ATP require further study issues to be accomplished? Ms. Tsang stated there are improvements that staff could implement that came out of the ATP. However, there are some locations where additional studies are required.
- Do we need a study issue for wayfinding signage or can we use the ATP to implement way finding signage? Ms. Tsang stated after the VTA has adopted a guideline then there would be no need to have another study issue to develop a guideline.
- Can staff recommend an ordinance without a study issue? Would we need even a study issue to implement wayfinding signs or is what is in the ATP sufficient once VTA is done? Mr. Ng addressed the questions.

Vice Chair Beagle asked about the following:

- Has there ever been a ballot measure before for ATP funding or something similar? Mr. Ng stated no there has not been one in the City of Sunnyvale but has seen it in other cities.

Commissioner Davé asked about the following:

- Could the BPAC put forward to the City Council something that is already being funded in another way and emphasize that they would like that particular part of it focused on? Councilmember Cisneros stated yes it does carry weight for an individual or group to point out a particular issue.

Chair Mehlman asked about the following:

- Did the City have a choice with respect to the Caltrain Overcrossing Grade Separation Project due to the Caltrain Electrification? Mr. Ng stated that Caltrain is moving forward with their electrification and as a result of that they are going to be able to run more trains at a closer headway and at higher speeds. The City is not forced by Caltrain to do Grade Separations.
- Can a study issue requests a bond measure to get funding when the City doesn't have enough money for that project? Mr. Ng stated that is correct. Councilmember Cisneros stated that a bond measure is going to need to be on a general election. Typically cities only want to have one bond measure on the ballot at a time.

Vice Chair Beagle asked about the following:

- Which study issues are going to die if BPAC defers them? Ms. Tsang stated there is no policy to limit the number of years and the number of items that a study issue could be deferred.

Chair Mehlman called for a recess at 8:09 p.m. Chair Mehlman reconvened the meeting at 8:15 p.m.

Public Comment opened at 8:16 p.m.

Nick, member of the public, commented on the following:

- Supports funding to implement improvements in the ATP
- Would like to see more progress on the bike lanes on Hollenbeck and not wait for it to be part of the ATP
- Would like the City to consider ways to reduce spending in other areas of the City so that safety improvements could take place

Public Comment closed at 8:20 p.m.

Commissioner Oey commented on the following:

- Need more funding to implement ATP improvements
- Have the Hollenbeck Avenue amended to be included in the ATP to get the critical corridor recognized and connected up
- Willing to let go of the Sidewalk Ordinance Study Issue
- PEV parking standards not as important, willing to let go
- Would like to do wayfinding signage without a study issue, ok with deferring it
- Would like to see plan for the signage
- Has mixed reviews on the Safe Routes to School Master Plan

Vice Chair Beagle stated he doesn't think there is a way to justify spending \$150,000 for word tweaking.

Vice Chair Beagle moved and Commissioner Hafeman seconded the motion to drop DPW 22-02, Simplify Sidewalk Riding Ordinance.

Commissioner Hafeman stated he thinks DPW 22-02 should be dropped because the state and county guidelines are appropriate and that if you are going to be on a sidewalk with pedestrians, you should walk your bike and if there are no pedestrians then you can ride it.

Commissioner Oey stated he is ok with dropping DPW 22-02 because it is not a pressing issue for the BPAC since there are only minor issues on the sidewalks. It is not worth the \$150,000.

Chair Mehlman stated that if the wording needs to be changed then bring it up to the City Council as a direct measure rather then having a study issue. Ask City Council to rewrite the ordinance so that it is easily understood. MOTION: Vice Chair Beagle moved and Commissioner Hafeman seconded the motion to drop DPW 22-02, Simplify Sidewalk Riding Ordinance. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 6 - Chair Mehlman
Vice Chair Beagle
Commissioner Bonne
Commissioner Davé
Commissioner Hafeman
Commissioner Oey

No 0

Commissioner Oey moved and Commissioner Bonne seconded to drop CDD 22-04, Adopt Personal Electric Vehicle Parking Standards (PEV).

Commissioner Oey stated CDD 22-04 is not important enough at this time and it is also very cutting edge and ahead of its time.

Vice Chair Beagle moved to defer CDD 22-04 and thinks the BPAC should not be bringing it to Council at this time. Waiting to see how VTA makes changes. Deferring it until there is a clearer picture would be more useful. Since items can be deferred over and over again it is better to defer than drop. Commissioner Oey declined the motion to defer CDD 22-04.

Commissioner Oey stated he will stay with his motion to drop since it is going to be a long time before VTA will make any changes.

Commissioner Davé moved to defer CDD 22-04 because there needs to be some sort of standards that cover other types of vehicles and bicycles. Commissioner Oey declined the motion to defer CDD 22-04.

MOTION: Commissioner Oey moved and Commissioner Bonne seconded to drop CDD 22-04, Adopt Personal Electric Vehicle Parking Standards (PEV). The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 4 - Chair Mehlman
Commissioner Bonne
Commissioner Hafeman
Commissioner Oey

No 2 - Vice Chair Beagle Commissioner Davé

Vice Chair Beagle moved and Commissioner Oey seconded the motion to defer DPW 23-02, Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan.

Vice Chair Beagle stated DPW 23-02 is kind of covered in the ATP and that it is not City Council's or the BPAC's top priority but is a good thing to have and just defer it for now.

Commissioner Hafeman moved to put forward rather than defer DPW 23-02 because there needs to be a focus on access to parks. Not sure if it is smart to defer it unless the BPAC thinks in a future year that it will be more attractive to do the work.

Commissioner Bonne agreed with Commissioner Hafeman in that the BPAC is a committee that sends a message to the City Council on what is their priority. It is important to communicate to the City Council what is important to the BPAC. Commissioner Bonne opposes the motion to defer and instead proposes to accept it.

MOTION: Vice Chair Beagle moved and Commissioner Oey seconded the motion to defer DPW 23-02, Develop a Safe Routes to Park Master Plan. The motion failed with the following vote:

Yes 1 - Commissioner Oey

No 4 - Vice Chair Beagle Commissioner Bonne Commissioner Davé Commissioner Hafeman

Abstain 1 - Chair Mehlman

Commissioner Oey moved and Chair Mehlman seconded to defer DPW 23-03, Develop Bicycle Wayfinding Signage Plan.

Commissioner Oey stated that he would like to see what happens with VTA first.

Chair Mehlman agreed with Commissioner Oey in that she would like to see what

happens with VTA standards.

Vice Chair Beagle agrees with the motion to defer because the BPAC doesn't have a message to send to the City Council just yet.

Commissioner Davé does not want to defer DPW 23-03 because her top 3 priorities are safety, safety and safety and she views this as a safety issue. She would like to bring it to the attention of the City Council regardless of whether it is feasible at this time.

Chair Mehlman agrees that safety is the BPAC's top priority and is asking for deferral on the basis that VTA is developing signage standards and we are waiting on the VTA standards so that they can be implemented.

Commissioner Oey stated when VTA standards are made and there is existing ATP language then progress can be made without a study issue.

MOTION: Commissioner Oey moved and Chair Mehlman seconded to defer DPW 23-03, Develop Bicycle Wayfinding Signage Plan. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 5 - Chair Mehlman
Vice Chair Beagle
Commissioner Bonne
Commissioner Hafeman
Commissioner Oey

No 1 - Commissioner Davé

Chair Mehlman stated the BPAC has voted to drop, defer and rank the following:

CDD 22-04 - Adopt a Personal Electric Vehicle Parking Standards - Drop

DPW 22-02 - Simplify Sidewalk Riding Ordinance - Drop

DPW 23-03 - Develop Bicycle Wayfinding Signage - Defer

DPW 21-01 - Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road - Rank

DPW 23-02 - Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan - Rank

FIN 22-01 - Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects - Rank

Commissioner Oey commented on the following:

- FIN 22-01 By stating it is the BPAC's #1 choice would send a strong message to the City Council that is a top priority
- DPW 21-01 #2 choice because it is a very important corridor that many people use. Need it to be part of the ATP

DPW 23-02 - #3 choice because the other two items are more important and the ATP and Safe Routes to School cover some of the Safe Routes to Parks

Commissioner Hafeman commented on the following:

- DPW 21-01 # 1 choice because the way the Hollenbeck Study Issue was written communicated what a Councilmember said 10 years ago saying "I love bike lanes as long as they don't disturb travel lanes and take away parking". Taking away parking on one side of Hollenbeck Road is not that big of a deal since most homes have 2 car garages or driveways
- FIN 22-01 #2 choice because it is very important and need to get creative for the bike plans
- DPW 23 -02 #3 choice

Vice Chair Beagle commented on the following:

- DPW 21-01 #1 choice because he wants to prioritize what the BPAC wants to accomplish
- FIN 22-01 #2 choice because if reinforces how they should get the funding
- DPW 23-02 #3 choice because Safe Route to Parks is important but it falls below the other two items

Commissioner Davé commented on the following:

- Would like to bump the priority of Safe Routes to Parks because of the number of times her family almost got hit trying to go to Fair Oaks Park when her kids were on tricycles which is a safety issue

Chair Mehlman commented on the following:

- DPW 21-01 #1 choice because she would like to remind City Council that Hollenbeck Avenue is a major thoroughfare that needs accommodations for bike lanes and links up to several public and private schools and major route to Homestead High School
- FIN 22-01 #2 choice because there is an ATP and would like to see it implemented. Even if the Study Issue most likely won't be done in time for the 2024

ballot, then wouldn't be competing with the bond to construct the library. Need to consider a more secure and consistent funding to implement the goals that are specified in the ATP

- DPW 23-02 - #3 choice because it needs to go to Council to say there needs to be a plan to include parks in our Safe Routes to School since this is where a high percentage of kids will be congregating.

Ms. Tsang explained how the ranking works for study issues. Ms. Tsang stated the DPW 21-01: Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road FIN 22-01: Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects and DPW 23-02: Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan need to be ranked by the BPAC.

Vice Chair Beagle ranked the Study Issues as follows:

- 1. DPW 21-01 Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road
- 2. FIN 22-01 Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects
- 3. DPW 23-02 Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan

Commissioner Hafeman ranked the Study Issues as follows:

- 1. DPW 21-01 Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road
- 2. FIN 22-01 Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects
- 3. DPW 23-02 Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan

Commissioner Bonne ranked the Study Issues as follows:

- 1. DPW 21-01 Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road
- 2. DPW 23-02 Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan
- FIN 22-01 Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects

Commissioner Oey ranked the Study Issues as follows:

- 1. FIN 22-01 Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects
- 2. DPW 21-01 Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road
- 3. DPW 23-02 Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan

Chair Mehlman ranked the Study Issues as follows:

- 1. DPW 21-01 Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road
- 2. FIN 22-01 Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects
- 3. DPW 23-02 Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan

Commissioner Davé ranked the Study Issues as follows:

- 1. DPW 21-01 Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road
- 2. DPW 23-02 Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan
- 3. FIN 22-01 Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects

Commissioners overall ranking of the Study Issues as follows:

- 1. DPW 21-01 Bike Lanes on Hollenbeck Avenue between El Camino Real and Homestead Road
- 2. FIN 22-01 Explore a General Election Ballot Measure to Fund Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Projects
- 3. DPW 23-02 Develop a Safe Routes to Parks Master Plan

Vice Chair Beagle moved and Commissioner Oey seconded the motion to accept the overall Study Issue Rankings.

MOTION: Vice Chair Beagle moved and Commissioner Oey seconded the motion to accept the overall Study Issue Rankings with DPW 21-01 ranked as #1, FIN 22-01 ranked as #2 and DPW 23-02 ranked as #3. To defer DPW 23-03 and to drop CDD 22-04 and DPW 22-02. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 6 - Chair Mehlman

Vice Chair Beagle

Commissioner Bonne

Commissioner Davé

Commissioner Hafeman

Commissioner Oey

No 0

3 23-0215

Report and Discussion of Recent Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) Meeting

Commissioner Bonne, VTA BPAC Sunnyvale Representative, gave the meetings

summary reports for December 2022 and January 2023 regarding the following topics:

January 18, 2023 meeting:

- Elect leadership for calendar year 2023. Vice Chairman & Chairman
- New member Eugene Bernosky described bike program in Gilroy, which takes donated bikes, repairs them, and donates to needy residents in Gilroy area. He also helps organize the "Ride the Gardens" event in Gilroy, which is the 2nd largest event for SVBC
- Stacy Bannerjee (Chairman) and Betsy Megas (vice-chairman) were unanimously elected
- Lauren Ledbetter introduced the VTA board members
- VTA introduced advertising campaign to raise awareness of human trafficking.
 Employees have been trained to spot human trafficking
- "Transit Royale" marketing program allows users to see additional service status system-wide. Monthly fee waived for initial 2 years
- Caltrans Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-24 Sustainable Transportation Planning Grant Application due March 9
- Measure B Pedestrian and Bicycle Planning Studies grant application due mid-Feb.
- Measure B update from Nov. 2022
- Virtual meetings may be held under Brown Act teleconference rules, after State of Emergency is lifted
- VTA BPAC will meet virtually through March 2023. February will be soft-open, with a hybrid meeting. VTA meetings will attempt to match times with transit services
- SCLA County staff report, Ben Aghengnehu, will update in Spring 2023
- Larissa Sanderfer described projects in SCC Transport Fund for Clean Air (TFCA)
- Better Bus Stops Project update scheduled for Feb. 2023
- Central Bikeway Study, to be presented to BPAC by March
- Homestead Road Safe Routes to School introduction anticipated 2023
- January 18, 2023 Stevens Creek Trail committee meeting
- January 26, 2023 public meeting regarding Full Time Bike Lanes on Homestead Road

Chair Mehlman asked about the following:

- Project on Homestead Safe Routes to School

Ms. Tsang addressed the question.

Vice Chair Beagle asked about the following:

- Call for VTA projects BPAC specific projects
- City proposed projects for grants

Commissioner Bonne and Ms. Tsang addressed the questions.

Commissioner Oey asked about the following:

- Measure B grants for BPAC
- VTA meeting notes copied on BPAC meeting minutes

Ms. Tsang and Mr. Ng addressed the question.

Commissioner Hafeman asked about the following:

- Safe Routes to School Project on Homestead factoring into the Homestead Project in Sunnyvale
- Will it be consistent on Hollenbeck westward

Commissioner Bonne and Ms. Tsang addressed the questions.

December 07, 2022 meeting:

- BPAC, CAC, VTA staff joint session summary
- Wide-ranging discussion on VTA vision and plan for future of transportation in Bay Area
- Logo of VTA does not display full spectrum of transportation modes supported or offered by VTA
- How to change the culture of biking, walking bus, train and driving?
- Improve bicycle expressways
- Make public transport free?
- Quantitative data on actual use and desired use needed, including VMT, Ridership, bike distances, car occupancy rates
- Define goals for last/first mile
- Improve VTA routes to SJC, SFO and other small airports
- Match housing to commuting and commuting to housing
- How to shift private shuttle commute rides to VTA
- Modernize expressway design guidelines to improve access to/from when using VTA + walking/biking

Commissioner Oey asked about the following:

- How to get quantitative data
- Will modernizing expressway design guidelines make biking and walking along expressways better

Commissioner Bonne addressed the questions.

Public Comment opened at 9:27 p.m.

No speakers.

Public Comment closed at 9:27 p.m.

STANDING ITEM: CONSIDERATION OF POTENTIAL STUDY ISSUES

NON-AGENDA ITEMS & COMMENTS

-Commissioner Comments

Commissioner Oey commented on the following:

- Bike to Work and Bike to Wherever Day in May
- Bikesiliconvalley.org/ed for upcoming classes
- January 21/22 King Tide Ride

-Staff Comments

Lillian Tsang, Principal Transportation Engineer, commented on the following:

- In preparation of having in-person meeting in the future, the City Clerk's office will be offering in-person training for Boards and Commissioners on how to participate in a meeting from the Council Chambers. Email was sent out to the Commissioners asking for top 3 choices for day of training.
- At the January 24 City Council meeting the 2023 BPAC Draft Annual Work Plan will be brought to City Council for approval
- At the January 24 City Council meeting the Annual Public Hearing on Study Issues and Budget Proposals will be presented
- January 26 at 8:30 a.m. there will be a Council Strategic Workshop where the City Council will receive updates on the existing Council Policy Priorities, discuss various strategic topics for the City and an opportunity to update the Council Policy Priorities
- Homestead Full Time Bike Study will have a 3rd Community Meeting on Thursday, January 26 between 6:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. More information at www.sunnyvale.ca.gov
- Bernardo Avenue Undercrossing Community Meeting will be held on Thursday, February 2 at 6:00 p.m. More information at www.sunnyvale.ca.gov
- Study Issues and Budget Proposals Workshop will be held on Thursday, February 16 at 8:30 a.m.
- February BPAC meeting will discuss Transportation Development Act Article 3 funding recommendation

Vice Chair Beagle asked about the following:

- Future Community Meetings/Workshops: in-person/hybrid?

Ms. Tsang addressed the question.

- Utility Bill Insert Concept Design discussion in February and March BPAC meetings
- At February BPAC meeting will discuss sitting arrangement within the City Council

INFORMATION ONLY REPORTS/ITEMS

23-0216 BPAC 2023 Draft Annual Work Plan

23-0217 Active Items List January 2023

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Mehlman adjourned the meeting at 9:42 p.m.